

MAGISTERARBEIT

Titel der Magisterarbeit

"Leverage in commercial banks – Is Basel II regulation enough?"

> Verfasser Philipp Hackl, BA MA

angestrebter akademischer Grad Magister der Sozial- und Wirtschaftswissenschaften (Mag.rer.soc.oec.)

Wien, 2010

Studienkennzahl It. Studienblatt: Studienrichtung It. Studienblatt: Betreuer:

A 066 915 Magisterstudium Betriebswirtschaft em. Univ.-Prof. Dr. Erich W. Streissler

Eidesstattliche Erklärung:

"Ich erkläre hiermit an Eides Statt, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbständig und ohne Benutzung anderer als der angegebenen Hilfsmittel angefertigt habe. Die aus fremden Quellen direkt oder indirekt übernommenen Gedanken sind als solche kenntlich gemacht. Die Arbeit wurde bisher in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form keiner anderen Prüfungsbehörde vorgelegt und auch noch nicht veröffentlicht."

Table of contents

1. Int	roduction	1	
1.1.	Aim and Methodology	2	
1.2.	Structure	3	
2. Ba	nking Regulation	5	
2.1.	Efficient market hypothesis	5	
2.2.	Crisis as a trigger for regulation in history	6	
2.3.	Basel I regulation and weaknesses	8	
2.4.	Basel II regulation	. 16	
2.4	.1. The first pillar	. 16	
2.4	.2. The second pillar	. 20	
2.4	.3. The third pillar	. 22	
2.5.	Basel II weaknesses	. 23	
2.6.	Basel III reform package	. 24	
2.7.	Leverage ratio	. 35	
2.8.	The Austrian Banking Sector	. 39	
3. Co	mmercial Banking	. 43	
3.1.	Investment versus commercial banking	. 43	
3.2.	Leverage and derivatives in financial institutions	. 45	
3.3.	. Liquidity, Leverage and the role of Sovereigns5		
3.4.	Are banks too big to fail?	. 60	
4. Le	verage in commercial banks	. 65	
4.1.	Methodology	. 65	
4.2.	Results	. 68	
4.3.	Further discussions of a new Leverage ratio	. 75	
5. Co	nclusio	. 78	
Bibliog	Bibliography		
Figures, Equations and Tables			
Appen	Appendix		
Abstract			
Curriculum Vitae			

1.Introduction

The recent financial crisis is considered to be a regulatory failure. The current regulation is the Basel II regime, which is a regulation for global operating banks that was established by the G10 countries. Although the Basel regime is currently implemented by a lot of members, more and more countries are implementing the Basel II rules. The current crisis raised a lot of drawbacks and questions regarding the rules. Some global banks had a lot of trouble during the last year and need financial support although the reported financial ratios were almost stable. One of the problems was the level of capital required by banks in order the meet the Basel capital requirements. Some experts argued that capital requirements on Basel II are cyclical and tend to reinforce business cycle fluctuations. Others assert that the requirements are too conservative and offer possibilities for bank managers to report better ratios than the real situation is. A lot of banks used the Basel rules for hedging risk exposures using structured investment vehicles and therefore reduce their capital requirements, although the real risk does not decrease. This has also been a big topic in recent newspaper reports and forced the European Commission to publish possible changes of Basel II and work on Basel III. Furthermore new voices were raised against the calculated ratios under the current Basel Regime. Beside the Tier 1 capital ratio, a second ratio, which should be called "Leverage Ratio", could be introduced in order to meet the new challenges. A leverage cap could restrict banks from holding large leverage is the simple argument. On the other hand, a cap on leverage may dampen the growth of banks and their profitability because many banks are run as privately owned businesses. As a private investor this could increase the fees charged by the bank, in order to raise capital due to new capital requirements. In this master thesis I describe the past developments and current suggestions for Basel III. Furthermore I analyze the banks balance sheets by testing the leverage ratio.

1.1. Aim and Methodology

The regulatory requirement sets a minimum level of capital that the institution has to hold. The degree of that requirement is binding and depends on the type of institution. The Basel Accord of 1988 applies different credit risk weights by different positions. A bank that falls below the minimum adequate level is classed as undercapitalized.

A Leverage ratio requirement may also affect the asset allocation of banks that are constrained by the requirement. Banks are therefore likely to reduce low-risk weighted assets such as treasuries and increase riskier assets such as equities in order to gain from a stock market boom. One of the advantages of a leverage ratio should be the transparency of reporting and the simple calculation method to compare the ratio globally. The Tier 1 capital ratio is the ratio of a bank's core equity capital to its total risk-weighted assets. Is that ratio really a good and transparent indicator as banks can increase their risk-weighted capital ratio without raising capital? Considering the difficulties of complex financial products it is a priori not certain that the risk-based capital ratio is really a good indicator and better than simple ratios in capturing the overall risk of a bank due to regulatory arbitrage incentives.

The US leverage ratio is defined as a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total adjusted assets of 3% for strong (under the BOPEC rating system of bank holding companies) and of 4% for all other banks. The total risk-based capital ratio (sum of Tier 1 and tier 2 capital divided by risk-weighted assets) should be at least 8%. The ratio applies only on a consolidated basis and does not account for off-balance sheets exposures. Such off-balance sheet activities do not appear on bank balance sheets but expose banks to risk.

During the financial crisis some voices were raised that Basel II is pro-cyclical. Regarding the Diamond/Dybvig model (1983) a bank with deposit insurance can provide liquidity insurance to a firm, which can prevent a liquidity crisis for a firm with short-term debt. On the other hand, in the second Basel II pillar liquidity risk means risk regarding an asset that cannot be traded quickly enough in the market. An example for such a risk is reflected by a widening in the bid/offer spread for assetbacked securities. The problem of the model is that there is no distinction between systematic and unsystematic risk. A big bank could take a high leverage because in a financial crisis a systemic bank is provided by the government and would get financial support. There are incentives for banks to have higher debt, which could create moral hazard. But what is the best way to restrict leverage? Is Leverage a good complement measure to the risk-based capital model of Basel II?

In this thesis I examine the roles of different leverage ratios in banking regulation. I use different leverage ratios (simple & complex ratios) and take those ratios to compare US and European banks to Austrian banks. Leverage ratios should bear a significant negative relationship to the risk of subsequent bank failure. Are the most complex ratios (risk-weighted ratios) better than those naïve Leverage ratios as an effective predictor of bank failure over time and do the simpler ratios outperform the "complex" ratios?

The research is collected through Fed historical data, Central bank data, Federal Reserve Archival System for Economic Research (FRASER) of the Fed of St. Louis, Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED) of the Fed of St. Louis, Equity Research from global banks such as Citigroup or JP Morgan, International Swaps and Derivatives Association, the Austrian National Bank Statistics and Reporting, Statistics from the International Monetary Fund, Bloomberg data and research papers. The data for Austrian banks is collected via annual and half-year reports. For international banks I also used annual and half-year interim reports and Bloomberg for calculating the ratios because big banks are better covered by Bloomberg than small listed firms.

1.2. Structure

I divide the thesis into three main parts. The first section will address the aspects of Banking regulation, the historical development of the Basel rules and pricing in general. Furthermore in this section Basel III suggestions and possible calculations of a Leverage ratio are discussed. In the second part I will discuss the commercial banking sector. In this chapter I discuss the possible differences to investment banking and show the current market situation, especially the last financial crisis and the role of liquidity and government bonds, i.e. sovereigns. In the third and last part I examine the leverage ratio of European, American and Austrian banks.

2. Banking Regulation

Before 1933 times could be described as the period of free banking in the US. The regulation which exists prior to 1933 was the National Bank Act of 1863, which was largely ineffective.¹ The regulation of the Banking Act of 1933 reduced the rate of entry into commercial banking (comparison of the periods 1921-1935 to 1936-1962) by 50%.² In Europe, especially in Germany, the German Banking Act, which was also valid for Austria, was established in 1934 as we will see below. Generally, the banking regulation changed very slowly in history and often originated after a crisis. A good example for historic confidence in science is the efficient market hypothesis by Fama (1969), which was criticized but not fully rejected by many authors in the past. The hypothesis is connected with the Basel regulation and will therefore be shortly discussed.

2.1. Efficient market hypothesis

The efficient market hypothesis indicates that financial markets are efficient, which means that at any time security prices fully reflect any information available about a company and send signals for capital allocation. There are three forms of the efficient market hypotheses, the weak form, in which the information set covers just historical prices, the semi-strong form, in which any publicly available information (for example earnings announcements) is available and the strong form, in which also 'insider' information is available at any time.³

The efficient market hypothesis was important for designing the regulation of the Basel Accord on Banking Supervision by the Basel Committee. The riskiness of banks' holdings will be judged by market prices and ratings from private agencies (i.e. Standard & Poors, Moody's and Fitch). According to the EMH such rating contains reliable information. During the financial crisis much criticism arose, regarding the validity of the EMH.

¹ See Peltzman (1965), p. 11. ² See Peltzman (1965), p. 48.

³ See Fama (1969), p. 383.

94% of the S&P 500 firms are rated in the US, while only 53% of the firms have a credit rating in Europe, for example the DAX-30. Therefore most European banks should use the Internal (IRB) Approach rather than Standard Approach because the Standard Approach includes higher capital requirements for unrated securities. The risk weights of firms might only be feasible if rating agencies across the world act consistently across issuer category (corporates versus sovereigns) and through time.⁴ The disclosure of Basel II, especially the third Pillar should provide investors with all relevant information to assess investments. The question is why investors had made poor decisions before the crisis, although such information was accessible? The answer is clear because there are already information asymmetries in the market. Furthermore some investors did not read the prospectus carefully. Due to the complexity of products such as Mortgage-Backed-Securities, Asset-Backed-Securities or Collateralized Debt Obligations, 'rational' investors failed to understand the risks or the securities during the sub-prime mortgage meltdown.⁵ A lot of experts now believe that the efficient market hypothesis is no more valid. Some professionals argue that only the strong form of the hypothesis is wrong, others believe that all forms are no longer valid and justify this by the crisis. Malkiel (2003) argues that markets are efficient, because they do not allow investors to earn above-average risk-adjusted returns.⁶ In my view financial markets do not operate perfectly due to asymmetries of information and principal-agent problems.

2.2. Crisis as a trigger for regulation in history

Mishkin (2007) outlines five categories of factors that cause financial crises. Theses factors are:⁷

- an increase in uncertainty due to a failure of an important financial institution,
- asset market effects on state of firms' Balance Sheets ,
- problems in the banking sector,
- an increase in interest rates, and
- Government Fiscal Imbalances.

⁴ See Danielsson et al. (2001), p. 12.

⁵ See Schwarcz (2007), p.6ff.

⁶ Malkiel 2003), p. 5.

⁷ See Mishkin (2007), p. 206f.

All of these factors are interesting and show that banks play an important role in the economy. Furthermore there is an interaction between these points. Uncertainty due to a failure of an institution, a stock market crash or recession is not new in history. A crisis would make it harder for banks to separate good credit risks from bad ones and causes adverse selection. Due to effects of asset prices on balance sheets, banks will have fewer resources to lend and bank lending will decrease. If banks do not trust each other, a bank panic could occur. Due to the decline of bank lending during a crisis, the supply of funds available to borrowers decreases, and the interest rates grow. The results are adverse selection and moral hazard problems in credit markets. If people will not buy government bonds anymore, and there is a fear that those bonds could default (for example during the Argentine crisis 1999-2002), banks have to buy them and this would affect their balance sheets.⁸

The banking crisis of 1931 was the primary motive to establish a state supervision of all banks. The peak of crisis was the Black Friday on Wall Street which initiated the Great Depression (1929-1939). A direct response to this was the US Banking Act of 1933 (Glass-Steagall Act named after the legislative sponsors Carter Glass and Henry B. Steagall), which established the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as a temporary agency and separated commercial from investment banking. The US Federal Reserve System was established through the Federal Reserve Act in 1913.⁹ In Austria, the Creditanstalt-Bankverein AG, which was established 1855, got also into trouble and triggered a bank run on German banks in 1931.¹⁰ The Creditanstalt-Bankverein AG was financially restored by the Austrian Republic, the Austrian National Bank and the House of Rothschild (an influential family of bankers in Europe) and merged with the Wiener Bankverein in 1934.¹¹ The response to the bank runs was the German Banking Act (Kreditwesengesetz) in December 1934. This Banking Act was also valid in Austria until 1979 and was replaced by the

⁸ See Mishkin (2007), p. 206ff.

⁹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2020), URL: http://www.bis.org/bcbs/#Consultative_Group, retrieved January 25th, 2010.

¹⁰ See BaFin (2010), URL: http://www.bafin.de/cln_171/nn_720486/EN/BaFin/Legalbasis/History/history.html#doc721614bodyText1, retrieved April 1st, 2010.

¹¹ See AEIOU (2010), URL: http://www.aeiou.at/aeiou.encyclop.c/c796078.htm;internal&action=_setlanguage.action?LANGUAGE=en, retrieved April 1st, 2010.

Austrian Kreditwesengesetz of 1979. In January 1994 the Kreditwesengesetz was again replaced by the Federal Banking Act (Bankwesengesetz).¹²

In 1974 the troubled German bank Herstatt was forced into liquidation. Due to foreign relations, especially some banks had released payment of DEM to Herstatt in exchange for USD. Due to counterparty risks banks did not receive their USD payments. This was the trigger for the G10 countries to form a committee under the name Bank for International Settlements (BIS).¹³

The savings and loan crisis between 1980 and 1994 in the US has been the greatest banking collapse since the Great Depression.

The Asian Financial Crisis (1997-1998) is sometimes mentioned for introducing and the establishment of the Basel II Capital Accord.

The current financial crisis, which began in 2007, was triggered through liquidity failures of the market. Lehman Brothers is an example of a financial service firm, which filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy in 2008. Northern Rock and IndyMac are two examples of bank nationalizations due to the crisis. In Austria, the Hypo Group is the latest example of nationalization.

2.3. Basel I regulation and weaknesses

The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (formerly Committee on Banking Regulations and Supervisory Practices) was established by the central-bank governors of ten¹⁴ countries (G10) in 1974, which has now eleven members because Switzerland joined the G10 in 1983.¹⁵

¹² See OeNB (2010a), URL:

http://www.oenb.at/de/ueber_die_oenb/wirtschaft/das_handbuch_der_oenb/finanzwesen_und_banken/oesterre ichs_kapitalmarkt/wie_hat_sich_oesterreichs_kapitalmarkt_entwickelt_jsp, retrieved April 1st, 2010.

¹³ See Contingency Analysis (2010), URL: http://www.riskglossary.com/link/basle_committee.htm, retrieved February 25th, 2010.

¹⁴ The G10 (Group of Ten) is made up of eleven members which are United States, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Sweden, Netherlands, Japan, Italy, Germany, France, Canada and Belgium.

¹⁵ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009b), p. 1.

The first meeting was held in February 1975 and the first report published in September 1975 set Principles for the Supervision of Banks and joint ventures between host and parent authorities (Report on the supervision of banks' foreign establishments – Concordat).¹⁶

The Committee's members today are Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, Hong Kong SAR, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Korea, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, the United Kingdom and the United States. The Committee's Secretariat is based at the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, where the name of the 'Basel Accords' comes from. The Basel Committee has four sub groups. The sub-committees are:

- The Standards Implementation Group
- The Policy Development Group
- The Accounting Task Force
- The Basel Consultative Group¹⁷

Especially the Policy Development Group and the Consultative Group are important for implementing and developing capital ratios. Seven working groups are supporting the Policy Development Group. One of the subgroups is the Working Group on the Definition of Capital. This subgroup is working on the quality, consistency and transparency of capital, in particular the most important Tier 1 capital. The Consulting Group is publishing banking supervisory issues by contacting supervisors around the world.¹⁸

To strengthen the stability of the international banking system a first consultative document was published in December 1987. Following these comments the Basle Capital Accord (Basel I), which was published in July 1988, was amended in

¹⁶ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009b), p. 1f.

¹⁷ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2010), URL: http://www.bis.org/bcbs/#Consultative_Group, retrieved January 25th, 2010.

¹⁸ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2010), URL: http://www.bis.org/bcbs/#Consultative_Group, retrieved January 25th, 2010.

November 1991, July and December 1994 and April 1998.¹⁹ The original text of the consultative document was changed only marginally. In the Basle Capital Accord, for example, preferred stock was divided into non-cumulative perpetual and cumulative preferred stock and the first one is included in the core capital while in the consultative document, there was no distinction.²⁰ The Basel I Accord, which was applied in end-1992, established a minimum capital ratio (capital to risk-weighted assets) of 8% and a core capital ratio (core capital which is Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets) of 4%.²¹ The wish of the member countries was to set a precise ratio which could be used as an international indicator for comparison. The proposal of 1987 outlined that a low minimum boundary of that ratio would be difficult to reach for some banks in short-term. On the other hand a high ratio would not strengthen the balance sheets of the banks.²²

This is an interesting point, because banks are almost operating on an international basis, as discussed later. Therefore the big topic of today, the internationalization of banks was not as important as it is today.

The tier 1 capital under Basel I covered:

- equity capital
 - o issued and fully paid-up ordinary shares/common stock and
 - non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock (excluding cumulative preferred stock)
- disclosed reserves
 - o retained earnings,
 - o surplus capital i.e. share premiums,
 - o general and legal reserves and
 - minority interests in the equity of subsidiaries.²³

For calculating the risk-weighted capital ratio, goodwill will be deducted from Tier 1 capital. Direct investments in unconsolidated banking and financial subsidiaries and

¹⁹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1988), p. 2.

²⁰ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1987), p. 4.

²¹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1988), p. 13.

²² See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1987), p. 18.

²³ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1988), p. 3.

investments in capital of other banks and financial institutions (at the discretion of national authorities) will be deducted from total capital.²⁴

Under Basel I, hybrid (debt/equity) instruments are quoted under Tier 2 capital, which was in detail:²⁵

- undisclosed reserves
- asset revaluation reserves
- general provisions/general loan-loss reserves
- hybrid (debt/equity) capital instruments (for example: long-term preferred shares in Canada, perpetual subordinated debt and preference shares in the UK or mandatory convertible debt instruments in the US) and
- (fixed-maturity) subordinated debt

Furthermore the Tier 2 capital must not be over 100% of Tier 1 capital. The subordinated debt must be under 50% of Tier 1 capital. The general provisions/loan-loss reserves are limited to a maximum of 1.25% of risk-weighted assets. The capital base is the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital minus deductions as goodwill, investments in unconsolidated banking and financial subsidiary companies and investments in the capital of other banks and financial institutions.²⁶

In April 1993 the Basel Committee issued a paper with emphasis on the treatment on market risks. The Committee suggested modifying the definition of permanent capital by adding a Tier 3 capital regarding subordinated debt. There are three differences to the tier 2 subordinated debt.

- The minimum maturity is shorter (two years).
- The debt is valued at par (compared to amortised over the last five years).
- There is a lock-in feature, which means the debt is available to absorb losses if allotted capital falls below an early-warning level of 20% above the minimum.²⁷

²⁴ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1988), p. 6.

²⁵ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1988), p. 14f.

²⁶ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1988), p. 14f.

²⁷ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1993), p. 10f.

The BIS published a research paper that pointed out that between 1990 and 2001 banks mostly issued subordinated debt with a maturity that is longer than 10 years.²⁸ Therefore the influence of Tier 3 capital was only marginal. The reason for a lock-in was that short-term debt is more appropriate for trading activities. The proposal comprised to limit the Tier 3 capital by 250% cap of Tier 1 capital allocated to support securities trading-book risks. The alternative to that was that Tier 2 plus Tier 3 capital should not exceed Tier 1 capital.²⁹ Subordinated Tier 2 debt is amortised in its final five years of maturity, which is nothing else than a call option. Tier 3 subordinated debt is not amortised.³⁰ As mentioned subordinated debt should not exceed 50% of Tier 1 capital, it should therefore not exceed 2% (50% of the maximum of Tier 1 capital ratio) of risk-weighted assets irrespectively of the total capital requirement filled by Tier 1 equity. An empirical analysis of G10 banks show that on average banks hold 3.6% of risk-weighted assets in subordinated debt.³¹

In November 1991 a Basel amendment was published to include the general provisions or loan-loss reserves in the capital, precisely in the Tier 2 capital, for calculation of the ratio. General provisions are used against the possibility of future losses but only not yet identified losses should be added to the capital.³² In January 1996 the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision published a possibility for banks to use Tier 3 capital at the discretion of the national authority. Tier 3 capital consists of short-term subordinated debt with a maturity of at least two years and must be unsecured and fully paid up and can only be used as permanent capital to absorb losses in the event of insolvency. Furthermore it should not be repayable before the authority agrees and should be subject to a lock-in clause which means that interest and principal must not be paid if the bank falls below or remains below its minimum capital requirement. Moreover the Tier 3 capital is also limited to 250% of the Tier 1 capital. The Tier 3 capital could only be part of eligible capital if it could be used to cover and support market risk.³³

²⁸ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2003), p. 2.

²⁹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1993), p. 11f.

³⁰ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2003), p. 6.

³¹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2003), p. 7.

³² See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1991), p. 2.

³³ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1996), p. 7f.

In April 1999 the first working paper was published by a Research Task Force specialising in capital requirements and bank behaviour. The group analysed the impact of the Basel Accord of 1988 with focus on minimum capital requirements and capital ratios.³⁴ The report documented that the average total capital ratio (ratio of capital to risk-weighted assets) of the major G-10 banks rose from 9.3% to 11.2% between 1988 and 1996. However the reasons are controversial. Banks raised capital and boosted retained earnings in booms and cut loans in economic downturns. There is evidence that weakly capitalised banks sometimes reduce lending activities to lower risk-weighted assets and therefore increase the capital ratios. An important point is that innovations in the market, for example securitisations, made it possible for banks to use structured finance to increase their risk relative to minimum capital levels, but to avoid the limitation of capital requirements.³⁵ This is an important point, because such construction would make capital ratios no more meaningful and useless. The outstanding amount of, for example, non-mortgage securitisations of the ten largest US banks was roughly \$200 bn in March 1998. In Europe the amount surged from \$8.5 bn in 1995 to \$41 bn in 1997.³⁶ The distribution of the capital ratios of the G-10 banks narrowed between 1988 and 1992 and widened again to a higher level in 1996.³⁷

In June 1999 the Basel Committee published a paper regarding supervisory lessons to be drawn from the Asian Crises. The Committee identified some issues which are relevant for future supervision. One of the topics was that capital levels of Basel I should be tailored to the riskiness of the bank. Attention should be drawn on core principles dealing with risk weightings, which should be individually changes and implemented by member countries.³⁸

The first consultative paper regarding a proposal for a new Basel Capital Accord (Basel II) was published in June 1999. The paper exhibited proposed changes, for example the introduction of three pillars, to replace the 1988 Accord.³⁹

³⁴ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1999a), p. 1.

³⁵ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1999a), p. 2ff.

³⁶ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1999a), p. 3.

³⁷ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1999a), p. 43.

³⁸ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1999b), p. 1ff.

³⁹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1999b), p. 1.

The Committee outlined the following weaknesses of Basel I:

- For too long a time focus was set on credit risks while neglecting the market risks. As above mentioned, the increase of innovations changed the situation of banks regarding risk-weighted assets.
- A bear market and differences of the measurement in certain countries made it necessary to align capital ratios on a global basis.
- Degrees of credit risk exposure are not sufficiently calibrated for the differentiation of borrowers' and default risks. Borrowers are classified in risk categories and there are no differences in credit ratings of individual borrowers.
- The arbitrage of regulatory capital requirement and divergences between true economic risk and risk measured in the 1988 Accord.
- Some problems due to modern innovations regarding risk mitigation techniques.⁴⁰

The second and the third consultative paper for a new proposal of the Basel Accord were published in 2001 and 2003 where the most relevant points of Basel II were mentioned. Appendix 1 summarized the development of the capital ratios.

As discussed above, the working paper of 2003 handled the problem of subordinated debt. A further interesting point is that between 1990 and 2001, 5,600 issues of subordinated debt took place, which represented 50% of total banking assets of 210 banks in ten analyzed countries (Belgium, France, Germany, Japan, the Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, UK and US).⁴¹ A further not surprising point is that the highest amount of subordinated debt was issued with roughly \$60 bn between 1999 and 2001.⁴²

The 2004 working paper studied bank failures in Germany, Japan, Norway, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, the UK and the US during the past 30 years. 90% of the analyzed banks reported capital ratios above the requirements imposed by the supervisor. The working group recorded as a reason that loss provisions were not

⁴⁰ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1999b), p. 8f.

⁴¹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2003), p. 1.

⁴² See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2003), p. 66.

included in asset impairment and therefore capital ratios were overvalued. While small institutions or parts thereof were historically usually liquidated, large commercial banks which were in trouble were rescued through mergers, capital injections and/or increased government control.⁴³

In June 2006 the Basel Committee published the Basel II revised framework, including elements of Basel I of 1988, the amendment of November 1995 and the Application of Basel II Trading Activities & Double Default Effect of April 2005.

⁴³ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2004), p. 1f.

2.4. Basel II regulation

one like Basel I.

On the 30th of June 2006 the European Commission published two directives relating to Basel II and transposing the new capital requirements into European law. The two directives (Banking Directive 2006/48/EC and Capital Adequacy Directive 2006/49/EC) came into effect as of the 1st of January 2007.⁴⁴ Basel II was Solvency incorporated into Austrian national law by the Regulation (Solvabilitätsverordnung) and the Disclosure Regulation (Offenlegungsverordnung), by which changes were effected in the Austrian Banking Act (Bankwesengesetz). According to Figure 1 the 2006 Accord included three pillars (Minimum Capital Requirements, Supervisory Review Process and Market Discipline) rather than just



Figure 1: The three pillars of Basel II Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 6.

2.4.1. The first pillar

The first pillar represents the minimum capital requirement for credit, market and operational risk. The total capital ratio (capital defined as the Tier 1 and tier 2 capital

⁴⁴ See Directive 1006/48/EC and Directive 2006/49/EC of 14 June 2006 relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions and on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions.

to risk-weighted assets), which is also called the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), must be at least 8%, which is stated in Equation 1. Tier 2 capital is capped by 100% of Tier 1 capital. The equity capital and published reserves from post-tax retained earnings should be at least 50% of the total capital base of the bank. The minimum capital requirement is defined by multiplying the capital requirements for market risk and operational risk by 12.5 (i.e. the reciprocal of the minimum capital ratio of 8%) and adding the sum of the risk-weighted assets for credit risk.⁴⁵ Tier 1 capital ratios have to be, as mentioned, at least 4%. The minimum ratio of core capital to risk-weighted assets is not defined, because for most countries the core capital is the same as the Tier 1 capital. The calculation of a core Tier 1 capital ratio compared to a Tier 1 capital ratio is shown in Equation 2 and 3.

 $\frac{\text{capital}}{\sum_{\text{weighted assets}}^{\text{credit risk-}} + 12.5^* \text{ (capital charge for market + operational risk)}} \ge 8\%$ Equation 1: Total Capital Ratio (incl. market and operational risk)

Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 12.

capital
eighted assets
Tier 1 capital ratio

The risk weights are dependent on the exposure of the asset type. For calculation of the capital requirements for credit risks, banks can choose to use a standardized approach or an internal ratings-based approach, which must be approved by the bank's supervisor.⁴⁶

The definition of equity capital has not changed since Basel I. The amount of Tier 1 capital is made up of:

- paid-up share capital/common stock
- reserves
- minority interests in the equity of subsidiaries
- innovative instruments (such as irredeemable non-cumulative preferred shares or instruments that incorporate a step-up provision)

⁴⁵ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 12.

⁴⁶ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 19.

- other capital instruments
- surplus capital from insurance companies⁴⁷

Innovative instruments are limited by 15% of Tier 1 capital of that institution, net of goodwill. An example of innovative instruments is non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, which is also called irredeemable non-cumulative preferred shares.⁴⁸ The Minority interest in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries in the form of a Special Purpose Vehicle⁴⁹ (not confounded with equity of subsidiaries) and should only be included in Tier 1 capital if the underlying meets the following criteria:⁵⁰

- issued and fully paid
- non-cumulative
- able to absorb losses within the bank on a going-concern basis
- junior to depositors, general creditors, and subordinated debt of the bank
- permanent
- neither be secured nor covered by a guarantee of the issuer or related entity
- callable at the initiative of the issuer only after a minimum of five years with supervisory approval

Tier 2 capital consists of:

- undisclosed reserves
- revaluation reserves
- general provisions/general loan-loss reserves
- hybrid (debt/equity) capital instruments
- subordinated debt⁵¹

Hybrid capital instruments have to be unsecured, subordinated and fully paid-up, not redeemable at the initiative of the holder, and available to participate in losses

⁴⁷ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 230.

⁴⁸ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 243.

⁴⁹ An SPV is defined as a legal entity in the form of a limited partnership, a limited liability company or a trust created by an originator i.e. a company to transfer assets to the SPV for a specific purpose, for example securitization transactions.

⁵⁰ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1998), URL: http://www.bis.org/press/p981027.htm, retrieved February 25th, 2010.

⁵¹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 14ff.

without the bank being obliged to cease trading.⁵² Hybrid securities are for example convertible bonds or cumulative preference shares which are Tier 2 capital. Other examples are mentioned above, for example long-term preferred shares in Canada. Most preferred shares are cumulative, which means that dividend payments are accumulated until they are finally paid. The difference to non-cumulative is clear, but issuance of those securities is more complex. Institutions use that, to meet the capital standards. In the last few years, some institutions issued non-cumulative preferred shares because for such preferred equity institutions have the incentive to pay dividends in order to send a positive signal to the market and investors expect a fixed payment. This situation gives banks more flexibility in financial stress. There will be no penalty if non-cumulative preferred shares are not paid. On the other hand common equity holders cannot get dividends if preferred shareholders have not received dividends. Banks could therefore issue non-cumulative preferred shares, which are gualified as Tier 1 capital, to increase the Tier 1 capital ratio. Subordinated debt, as mentioned, is normally the major part of Tier 2 capital.

The Tier 3 capital is made up of short-term subordinated debt covering market risk. Tier 3 capital is also limited to 250% of bank's Tier 1 capital that is required to support market risks. Therefore a minimum of 28.5% of market risks must be covered by Tier 1 capital.⁵³ Asset revaluation reserves i.e. latent gains on unrealized securities are subject to a discount of 55%.

Deductions from capital are again:

- goodwill (deduction from Tier 1 capital)
- increase in equity capital resulting from a securitization exposure such as that • associated with expected future margin income resulting in a gain-on-sales that is recognized in regulatory capital as documented in paragraph 562. (deduction from Tier 1 capital)
- investments in subsidiaries engaged in banking and financial activities which are not consolidated in national systems (deduction 50/50% from Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital)⁵⁴

 ⁵² See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 246.
 ⁵³ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 16.

⁵⁴ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 17.

Where no deduction applies, the risk-weight of banks' holdings of other capital instruments will be 100%.55

The core capital, in Austria used for reporting, does not include innovative instruments such as non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, which is a dividend for preferred shares that will not be accumulated if it is not paid. Innovative instruments are also called hybrid securities in the Austrian Banking Act, as defined in Article 24 (2) 5 and 6 of the Austrian Federal Banking Act. What is also an important point is that dividends which could be included in the equity capital should not be of fixed maturity. Hybrid Tier 1 capital represents innovative and non-innovative instruments are qualified under minority interests in the IFRS equity. All in all, innovative instruments such as non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock fall under Tier 1 capital, indirect investments over SPV are limited with 15% of Tier 1 capital and noninnovative fall also under Tier 1 capital while convertible bonds or cumulative perpetual preference shares as hybrid capital instruments fall under Tier 2 capital. There are three approaches under Pillar I to calculate the capital requirements for the credit risk:

- Standardized Approach (SA)
- Foundation IRB Approach (FIRB)
- Advanced IRB Approach (AIRB)

Measuring the market risk, value at risk calculations can be used. Regarding the operational risk under Pillar I, there are the Basic Indicator Approach (BIA), the Standardized Approach (STA) and the Advanced Measurement Approach (AMA).⁵⁶

2.4.2. The second pillar

Pillar II concerns banks on the one hand and Supervisors on the other hand. The second pillar postulates banks to implement a risk management system and to assess their capital adequacy relative to the risk profile, especially regarding the compliance with the minimum standards. Supervisory authorities have to evaluate

 ⁵⁵ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 18.
 ⁵⁶ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 1ff.

credit institutions via supervisory review and evaluation process. They have to assess the risk profile of the banks and qualitative factors like strategy or management. The areas which are treated under Pillar II are risks that are not fully captured in Pillar I (e.g. credit risk concentration), factors that are not taken into account in Pillar I (e.g. interest rate risk in the banking book) and factors external to the bank (e.g. business cycle effects). The Supervisor may require banks in some circumstances to hold their own funds in excess of the minimum level under Pillar I.⁵⁷

The supervisory review process is based on four principles:⁵⁸

- Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP) Banks should assess their capital adequacy in relation to the risk profile as mentioned and should have a strategy for maintain the capital levels.
- Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process (SREP) Supervisors should evaluate capital adequacy assessments strategies of the banks, monitor their compliance by capital ratios and should intervene if it is necessary.
- Supervisory Measures Supervisors should expect banks to operate above the minimum regulatory capital ratios and should have the allowance to require banks to hold capital in excess of the minimum in Pillar I.
- Supervisory Intervention

Supervisors are allowed to intervene at an early stage to prevent capital falling below the minimum levels and should maintain an action plan.

 ⁵⁷ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 204ff.
 ⁵⁸ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 205ff.

2.4.3. The third pillar

Under the third Pillar, Market Discipline, banks have to ensure disclosure requirements on transactions and their risk strategies. The disclosure topics are:⁵⁹

- Source of application
- Capital structure
- Risk exposures
- Risk assessment processes of different risks (market risk, equities, credit risk, operational risk)
- Interest rate risk in the banking book
- Credit risk mitigation techniques
- Securitisation
- Capital adequacy of the institution and
- IRB approaches

The aim of the third Pillar is to discipline the market participants.

⁵⁹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006), p. 226ff.

2.5. Basel II weaknesses

Jimenez and Saurina (2006) show that the capital requirement for banks under Basel II increases during a recession, because the probability of default increases. One way to lower the procyclical effect is adjust loan loss provisions by a stress test included in the second pillar of Basel II. Banks should use the provisions in good times as reserves for loan losses during a recession.⁶⁰ But in recent newspapers we saw different examples, which indicated that the reserves were not enough to cover the losses.

Excessive lending during a boom on the one hand and too conservative credit policies during a recession on the other hand, make a banking regulation even harder to handle.⁶¹ It is difficult and costly for a bank to raise fresh external capital in bad times and this will force it to cut back lending activities, which will contribute to a worsening of the initial downturn.⁶²

Goodhart (2005) shows, that the CAR for the IRB is lower during good times with strong growth than the standardised approach. Moreover, the variance of the IRB approach is higher. During a recession, the CAR for the IRB is higher than for the others. This is very important because banks want to shift their portfolio to a better rating and therefore use the IRB instead of the standardised approach. The rating might be better, but then the risk curve is also steeper. This is again an argument for the pro-cyclicality of Basel II.⁶³

The problem is that only one single risk curve will be used in the Basel II framework, rather than a family of risk curves. Therefore the ratio of a capital charge for an AA credit and a BBB credit will be treated in the same way as an AA credit and a second AA credit, which was downgraded during a recession to BBB.⁶⁴ Kashyap and Stein (2005) underlined the cyclicality in capital charges, which depend on a bank's

⁶⁰ See Jimenez/Saurina (2006), p. 91f.

⁶¹ See Jimenez/Saurina (2006), p. 94.

⁶² See Kashyap/Stein (2004), p. 18.

⁶³ See Goodhart (2005), p. 123f.

⁶⁴ See Kashyap/Stein (2004), p. 21f.

customer mix and the used credit-risk models. They suggested setting the CAR down from 8% to 6%, whenever the GDP growth falls below a threshold.⁶⁵

Northern Rock had a concentration of assets in mortgage products of 75%, just before 2007. The rate of asset growth was around 25% before 2007. The concentration of mortgage products would have reduced their capital requirements under Basel II because mortgage products are treated as low-risk assets. The objective of the management of Northern Rock was to force mortgage products and drive their expansion. In 2007 the Tier 1 capital ratio was around 11% of risk-weighted assets, but only 2% of total assets, because the risk-weighted assets were only 16.7% of total assets.⁶⁶ This example demonstrates one of the problems of Basel II.

Another suggestion is to use a smoothed trend of asset prices to estimate the gap between the current asset price and its fundamental value that could solve the problem of cyclicality. The problem is that this would be inconsistent with the efficient market hypothesis. Goodhart (2005) suggested relating the capital requirement on bank lending to the rate of changes of asset prices, for example the CAR on mortgage lending is related to the rise in house prices (relative to Harmonised Index of Consumer Price Inflation).⁶⁷

2.6. Basel III reform package

Between 2006 and 2009 no significant paper regarding the capital adequacy ratios were published by the Basel Committee.

In January 2009 the Basel Committee published a consultative document with proposed enhancements to the Basel II framework to strengthen the response to the financial crisis. The proposal suggested using higher risk weights for re-securitization (especially collateralised debt obligations) exposures.⁶⁸ The idea of an introduction of

⁶⁵ See Kashyap/Stein (2004), p. 28f.

⁶⁶ See Blundell-Wignall/Atkinson/Lee, (2008), p. 9.

⁶⁷ See Goodhart (2005), p. 126.

⁶⁸ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009c), p. 1.

a leverage ratio, beside the current capital ratios, to intensify evaluation of capital adequacy under stressed conditions was mentioned for the first time.⁶⁹ The introduction of a leverage ratio similar to equity/asset ratio but with focus on offbalance sheet exposures was also mentioned in the IMF paper published in February 2009. The idea behind it is to constrain excessive leverage in the upswing, as it was the case between 2003 and 2007.70

Based on the consultative document of July 2009, the Basel Committee published a paper with emphasis on revisions to the Basel II market risk framework. Due to innovations, some risks are no more captured. Therefore the measures for risks in the trading book, for example for unsecured credit products, should be included. This paper was the first one suggesting to change the Basel II framework due to financial crisis.⁷¹ In this paper, there were no improvements regarding a new leverage ratio.

Month	Year	Item
January	2009c	Consultative Document (Proposed enhancements to the Basel II framework)
July	2009d	Revisions to the Basel II market risk framework
December	2009a	Consultative Document (Strengthening the resilience of the banking sector)

Table 1: The development of the Basle Accord between 2006 and 2010 with focus on the first Pillar (Capital Adequacy Ratios)

Source: The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision

In December 2009 the Basel Committee published again a consultative document to strengthen the regulation standards. This reform package should draw lessons of the recent financial crisis. The most significant problem had been the excessive on- and off-balance sheet leverage on the one hand, and not enough liquidity i.e. own capital funds on the other hand. The pro-cyclical effect of leverage strengthens the crisis instead of weakening it. The liquidity shortages in the banking system were transferred to the whole financial system und real economy, resulting in a credit

 ⁶⁹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009c), p. 25.
 ⁷⁰ See IMF (2009), p. 13.

⁷¹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009d), p. 1.

crunch. Due to bad liquidity, the public sector had to intervene with capital injections, guarantees and in the worst case by nationalisation.⁷²

Moreover, the Basel II Committee suggested using long-term data horizons (i.e. through the cycle) to estimate probabilities of default and introduced downturn lossgiven-default estimates to calibrate risk functions for converting loss estimates into regulatory capital requirements. This should be used to dampen the cyclicality effect of the minimum capital requirement.⁷³

The three agreements reached in the September 2009 meeting considered the following elements:⁷⁴

- First of all, the quality, consistency and transparency of the capital base will be raised. Under the current approach, banks could hold as little as 2% common equity to risk-based assets, before application of regulatory adjustments.
- Secondly, the risk coverage ratio of the capital framework will be strengthened, especially regarding credit risk exposure arising from derivatives, repos, and securities financing activities.
- Thirdly, a new leverage ratio would be included. This measure regards the • Pillar I and should help to fight against excessive leverage in the banking system. The discussion is not yet finalized because other suggestions were raised to use the leverage ratio under Pillar II and not under the strict capital requirements.
- The fourth and fifth suggestions concern measures to increase capital buffers in good times and a global minimum liquidity standard i.e. liquidity coverage ratio.

The leverage ratio should be introduced as a supplement measure to the risk-based ratios of Basel II. This new ratio should avoid destabilizing the financial system by constraining the leverage in the banking sector and should be a non-risk-based "backstop" measure. For using the leverage ratio the Basel Committee defined the capital as the Tier 1 capital or the predominant form of Tier 1 capital (common shares

 ⁷² See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 1f.
 ⁷³ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 66f.

⁷⁴ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 2f.

and retained earnings which is common Tier 1 capital) as relevant. Items that are deducted, for example goodwill or deferred taxes should be made from the capital and from the total exposure.⁷⁵ Current adjustments concerned only the Tier 1 capital or a combination of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital but did not regard the common equity component of Tier 1 capital. Therefore banks could report high Tier 1 ratios, although they have low levels of common equity. This leverage ratio is net of provisions and valuation adjustments. It is not allowed to reduce the exposure using physical or financial collateral. Off-balance sheet items, which were included, have to use a flat 100% credit conversion factor. It is an important factor that off-balance sheet items are included, because this was also a major source of leverage during the crisis, which will be discussed later. To standardize the accounting of IFRS and US GAAP regarding the netting of derivatives and repurchase agreements, some adjustments should be made.⁷⁶

As mentioned above, some banks reported high Tier 1 ratios but had low common equity. Due to lost confidence, the Basel Committee suggested to use tangible common equity (exclusive goodwill from common equity, because that is not realisable in insolvency).⁷⁷ Under the current approach, some countries implemented a common equity to risk-based assets ratio (inclusive goodwill), which must be at least 2%.⁷⁸ Citigroup, for example, issued common stock and exchanged preferred for common shares. Such shares are called mandatory convertible preferred shares, which are part of the common equity under Basel II. As a result the bank increased their tangible common equity.⁷⁹ By such a conversion a bank can avoid taking additional money but would not bring in more cash. This would only satisfy regulators.⁸⁰ Furthermore the financial crisis showed that credit losses and write-downs came out of retained earnings, which are part of the tangible common equity. Therefore Tier 1 capital base should only be the sum of common shares and retained earnings.⁸¹

⁷⁵ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 61f.

⁷⁶ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 13.

⁷⁷ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 13.

⁷⁸ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 4.

⁷⁹ See Citigroup Inc. (2009), URL: http://www.citigroup.com/citi/press/2009/090227a.htm, retrieved January 25th, 2010.

⁸⁰ See Solomon (2009), URL: http://online.wsj.com/article/SB124165234893993679.html, retrieved January 25th, 2010.

⁸¹ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 4.

Share premium (stock surplus) could be included in the common equity, i.e. as a part of Tier 1 capital, if the shares giving rise to the capital in the way that firm raises capital in excess of the nominal value of the shares which is paid by shareholders for a share i.e. what the shareholder pays in excess of the nominal value of a share. Preferred shares are excluded and must be included in the capital to which it relates. Minority interests are also excluded from the common equity. Furthermore, there should be no adjustments of common equity regarding unrealised gains or losses on debt instruments, loans, receivables, equity, own use properties and investment properties (available-for-sales reserves). Goodwill and other intangibles, as mentioned above, are deducted from the common equity component of Tier 1 and are net of any associated deferred taxes.⁸² Deferred tax assets on future profitability due to accounting purposes to be realised should be deducted (net of deferred tax liabilities) from the common equity. Deferred tax assets which do not rely on the future profitability i.e. prepayments to tax authorities (receivables from the local taxing authority) should be added to the relevant sovereign risk weighting. Investments in own shares (treasury stock) should also be deducted from the common equity. If investments in other financial institutions exceed the value of 10% of the common stock of that institution, the whole amount must be deducted from the common stock. If the common stock of all other financial institutions in aggregate exceed 10% of the bank's common equity (after all adjustments), then only the amount above 10% should be deducted.⁸³ Cash flow hedge reserves from the common equity which are not recognised on the balance sheet should be reduced from the common equity part. Changes in the fair value of all liabilities, which are due to changes in the credit risk of the banks, should be filtered out. The current filter only applied to Tier 1 level reduction of gains and losses on liabilities which are fair valued. Pension fund assets should also be deducted. This is because benefit pension funds could absorb losses on a going concern basis.⁸⁴ Table 2 summaries the baseline proposal for implementing a leverage ratio.

⁸² See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 23.
⁸³ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 24f.

⁸⁴ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 26.

Issue	Baseline proposal plus additional options	
Definition of capital	Tier 1 capital and the predominant form of Tier 1 capital and additional total regulatory capital as defined	
Exposure: Valuation adjustments and provisions	Exposure measures follow accounting treatment i.e. net of provisions and other valuation adjustments	
Cash and cash-like instruments	Include cash and cash-like instruments and additional exclude liquid assets as defined by the WGL	
Off-balance sheet items and written credit derivatives	Include the identified Off-balance sheet items with a 100% credit conversion factor (CCF). Written credit protection is included at notional value and additional apply a lower CFF for unconditionally cancellable commitments (or Basel II standardised CFF)	
Credit risk mitigation and on- balance sheet netting	Do not reduce exposure for physical or financial collateral and do not allow on- balance sheet netting	
Items deducted from the capital measure	Consistency between the capital and exposure measure	
Securitisations	Use accounting data and additional accounting on-balance sheet exposure plus underlying loan portfolio securitisations that have been de-recognised	
Other derivatives (excluding credit derivatives)	Do not allow any netting (accounting or regulatory) and additionally Basel II netting	
Repurchase agreements and securities finance	Do now allow any netting of repo and reverse repo positions and additionally Basel II netting for repo-style transactions	

 Table 2: Summary of the baseline proposal for a leverage ratio

Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 65f.

Non-cumulative perpetual preferred shares are also included in Tier 1 capital in the suggestion for strengthening the resilience of the banking sector but other innovative instruments such as step-ups are excluded. The use of call options need to be approved by supervisory. Tier 2 Capital will be simplified by removing sub-categories and set criteria. Tier 2 Capital will need to meet the minimum standard of being subordinated to depositors and general creditors. Subordinated debt has to be issued and paid-in, is neither secured nor covered by a guarantee of the issuer and has an original maturity of at least 5 years. There must be no incentives to redeem. For loanloss reserves, the cap of 1.25% of total risk assets will be eliminated.⁸⁵ The amortisation will be done on a straight line basis during the final 5 years to maturity. Tier 3 Capital will be eliminated. Non-innovative hybrid capital will no longer be

⁸⁵ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 21.

included in Tier 1 capital.⁸⁶ Table 3 gives an overview of the changes from Basel II and the Basel III proposal.

	BASEL II	BASEL III	
Tier 1	Paid-up share capital/common stock	Paid-up share capital/common stock	
	Reserves	Reserves	
	Minority interests (in the equity of subsidiaries)	-	_
	Non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock	Non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock	ier 1
	Instruments including a step-up provision (currently limited to 15% of Tier 1 Capital)	-	
	Other capital instruments	-	
	Surplus capital (from insurance companies)	-	
Tier 2I	Undisclosed reserves	-	
	Revaluation reserves	-	
	General provisons/loan-loss reserves (up to 1.25% of total risk assets)	General provisons/loan-loss reserves	Tier 21
	Hybrid capital instruments	Non-eligible hybrid Tier 1 securities	
	Perpetual Subordinated debt	Subordinated debt	
Tier 3	Short-term subordinated debt	-	Tier 3

Table 3: Basel II versus Basel III capital base

Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 1ff.

The key adjustments regarding deductions of Tier 1 capital are shown in Table 4. While there is no change between Basel II and Basel III proposal regarding the deduction of goodwill and other intangibles, there is a slight change in the deduction of deferred tax assets. Under Basel III, deduction of Tier 1 capital is only allowed for deferred tax assets which are used for future profitability. Investments in financial entities (i.e. cross-shareholdings between domestic banks) changed significantly under the Basel III proposal. Deductions must be made for investments in unregulated entities, while under current requirement, deductions are lower and such investments are deducted only 50% from Tier 1 and 50% from total equity capital, net

⁸⁶ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 15f.

of intangibles. Under the current proposal, 100% of excess expected losses are deducted from Tier 1 capital. Under the current approach, only net unrealized losses on marketable securities are deducted from Tier 1 capital, while under Basel III, both unrealized gains and losses are deducted from Tier 1 capital.

Deductions of Tier 1 Capital				
	Basel II	Basel III		
Goodwill and	Only goodwill will be deducted from	Deducted from T1 common equity (net		
other intangibles	T1 common equity (net of impairment	of deferred tax liabilities)		
	and amortization)			
Deferred tax	Deferred tax assets (exceeds 20% of	Deducted from T1 common equity (net		
assets	Tier 1 capital) ex for eligible collective	of deferred tax liabilities) when future		
	provisions are deducted and netted	profitability is required. (if not e.g. tax		
	off	prepayments included in sovereign risk		
		weighting)		
Investments in	Shares held on behalf of third parties	Deducted (including the look-through		
own shares	are not deducted, all others are	basis for index securities)		
(Treasury shares)	deducted			
Investments in	50/50 deducted from Tier 1 and Tier	Deducted if it falls outside scope of		
banking,	2 net of intangibles	regulatory consolidation (100%		
financial,		deduction from Tier 1 for excess		
insurance entities		expected losses)		
outside				
regulatory scope				
	Unrealized losses on securities (net)	Unrealized gains and losses on		
		securities		

Table 4: Deductions form Tier 1 capital (Basel II versus Basel III)

Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2010), Citigroup Inc. (2010), p. 7.

The overall objectives of the leverage ratio, supplement to risk-based ratios, are:⁸⁷

- dampen excess cyclicality of the minimum capital requirement,
- promote more forward looking provisions,
- conserve capital to build buffers at individual banks and the banking sector that can be used in stress and
- achieve a broader macro prudential goal of protecting the banking sector from periods of excess credit growth.

⁸⁷ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 7.

One negative aspect is that Basel III suggestions could enlarge counterparty credit risk exposure due to strengthened requirements, for example mark-to-market losses due to credit valuation adjustments.⁸⁸ Therefore the gap between the denominator and numerator of the Tier 1 capital ratio would be widened.

The proposal also comprised some changes in the definition of capital, but did not suggest any specific cap of a leverage ratio and further details on the procedure of calculations. In the next chapter I will formulate the suggestions for a calculation mentioned most often.

The pro-cyclical effect of leverage was also a topic of the Geneva report on the world economy in January 2009. The loss spiral is a simple concept to explain the mechanism. If the prices of assets of a bank fell, the net worth would fall faster than the rate at which the asset falls in value. To compensate, the banks could sell their assets.⁸⁹ The second concept, which also explains that leverage is pro-cyclical, is called margin/haircut spiral.⁹⁰ The difference between the current market price of a security and the price at which it is sold is called the haircut in the repurchase agreement (repo), which is a money market instrument in which the selling party agrees to repurchase a security in the future.⁹¹ The maximum restriction on leverage is determined by the haircut. If the haircut of a repo is 2%, the first bank can borrow \$98 for \$100 worth of securities. To hold \$100 worth of securities, the second bank must come up with \$2 of equity. Therefore the maximum permissible leverage (assets to equity) of the first bank is 50. If a shock reduces the haircut to 4%, the permitted leverage will be reduced to 25, therefore the bank will have to raise new equity.⁹² The new rules could cut down the core Tier 1 ratios of the European banks Lloyds Banking Group and Credit Agricole to nearly 4%. Barclays Tier 1 ratio could fall to 5%. Analysts at Credit Suisse believe that the Tier 1 ratio could be reduced from 9.6 to 8.1 by end of 2012. The effects of Basel III in relation to higher funding of equity capital, could cost European banks roughly \$200 bn. The Basel III proposal

⁸⁸ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a), p. 28.

⁸⁹ See Brunnermeier et al. (2009), p. 15f.

⁹⁰ See Brunnermeier et al. (2009), p. 16.

⁹¹ Morris/Shin (2008), p. 11.

⁹² See Morris/Shin (2008), p. 12.

would affect the capital ratios on both sides, the numerator will be reduced due to reduced capital while the denominator will rise due to higher riskiness of assets.⁹³ Moreover if prices drop, the lending channel will run short. Therefore margins increase and the risk also increases, which leads to higher external funding costs and forces banks to be risk-averse. When many financial institutions de-lever their positions simultaneously, liquidity will be reduced. Both of the spirals lead to procyclicality of leverage. Empirical results for big US investment banks show that leverage is high when the balance sheet is large and vice versa. The leverage ratio can reinforce an asset price shock.⁹⁴ To counter the problem Brunnermeier et al. (2009) suggest to take leverage, maturity mismatch and estimates of bank credit expansion into account using the CAR measure.⁹⁵ Therefore the CAR should be multiplied by a factor which relates to macro-prudential/systemic risk. This factor would increase the capital requirements during leveraging and decrease during periods of deleveraging. It is suggested that the focus for the capital ratio should be tier 1 ratio rather than tier 1 and tier or core tier 1 capital.⁹⁶ In the case of Northern Rock, Shin (2008) reported the importance how the leverage ratio is calculated. He recorded, as presented in figure 2, that the leverage with common equity in the denominator is the best one while shareholder equity (common equity plus preferred shares) and total equity (shareholder equity plus subordinated debt including deposits) could distort the real picture how levered an institution is.⁹⁷ Under Basel II capital requirement, subordinated debt and preferred shares are used as buffers against loss. On the other hand, subordinated debtholders and preferred shareholders are only another class of creditor to the bank because they do not have control of the operations of the bank as common equity holders. Northern Rock's Leverage on common equity increased from 22.8 in June 1998 to 86.3 in December 2007 while the leverage on total equity was roughly only 40 in December 2007.98 Bear Stearns had a leverage ratio (total assets to shareholders equity) of 33.5 when it collapsed in 2007.99

⁹³ See Slater (2010), URL: http://in.reuters.com/article/businessNews/idINIndia-45850920100202, retrieved February 3rd 2010.

⁹⁴ See Brunnermeier et al. (2009), p. 16f.

⁹⁵ See Brunnermeier et al. (2009), p. 29.

⁹⁶ See Brunnermeier et al. (2009), p. 30f.

⁹⁷ See Shin (2008), p. 16ff.

⁹⁸ See Morris/Shin (2008), p. 25f.

⁹⁹ See Katz/Katz (2008), URL: http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=20601109&sid=aYJZOB_gZi0I, retrieved May 27th, 2009.
The traditional view on financial regulation neglects the importance of externalities by actions of one financial institution that impacts another, for example the balance sheet maturity mismatch. Two ideas are suggested. The first one is that constraints on the composition of assets could be made. The second idea is to limit the raw leverage ratio, rather than risk-weighted assets. Constraints of building-up leverage during good times could be used as a dampener in the financial system. On the one hand this raw leverage ratio could work for the debtor and creditor as well on the other hand such a leverage ratio does not take into account the riskiness of the assets.¹⁰⁰







The latest example of nationalisation in Austria was the Hypo Group Alpe Adria. On the 14th of December 2009 the sixth largest Austrian bank, sorted by total assets, was nationalised by the Austrian government to avoid a bank collapse. The shareholders BayernLB, Grazer Wechselseitige (Austrian Insurer) and the Austrian

¹⁰⁰ See Shin (2008), p. 20f.

state of Carinthia will inject about €1 billion. The most significant reason given was bad loan provisions that caused a loss of more than €1 billion in 2009. This was the second time that Austria had to rescue a bank because Kommunalkredit had also been nationalised in November 2008. BayernLB has writedowns on its investment of €2.3 bn. The Austrian government injected €450 m.¹⁰¹

Leverage ratio 2.7.

Formally capital ratios were introduced in regulation in the US in 1981. Before 1981 minimum requirements for capital itself were set. The FDIC set the minimum primary capital (initial investment of shareholders, retained earnings and capital reserves) standard at 5% of total assets for larger regional institutions and 6% for community banks.¹⁰² The Basel Capital Accord of 1988 determined that the minimum capital ratio of banks (total capital to risk-weighted assets) should be 8% by end of 1992.¹⁰³ This was the first time that the risk-weights were introduced. The Tier 1 capital ratio was set to be at least 4%. The sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital will be the capital base. Tier 1 capital elements are permanent shareholders' equity (issued and fullypaid share capital/common stock and perpetual non-cumulative preference shares) and disclosed reserves (share premiums, retained profit, general reserves and legal reserves). Tier 2 capital is the sum of undisclosed reserves, asset revaluation reserves, general provisions (as explained below), hybrid capital instruments and subordinated debt.¹⁰⁴ The core capital is the Tier 1 capital.¹⁰⁵ The Basel Committee recommended the risk-weighted approach for international comparison reasons allows off-balance-sheet exposures to incorporate it in the measure and does not deter banks to hold liquid assets with low risk.¹⁰⁶

For the Basel II approach there were suggestions to use a supplementary capital measure, i.e. leverage ratio or a large exposure limit in the first pillar or allow

¹⁰¹ See Bryant/Wilson (2009), URL: http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/75467b10-e917-11de-a756-

⁰⁰¹⁴⁴feab49a.html?nclick_check=1, retrieved December 20th, 2009.

 ¹⁰² See Gilbert/Stone/Trebing (1985), p. 14.
 ¹⁰³ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009), p. 2.

¹⁰⁴ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1988), p. 13ff.

¹⁰⁵ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1988), p. 3.

¹⁰⁶ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1988), p. 7f.

supervisors to force banks to operate above the minimum capital requirement.¹⁰⁷ The Basel II Committee set the minimum capital requirement for credit, market and operational risk again to 8%. This ratio is called total capital ratio und is defined as the regulatory capital to risk-weighted assets. The Tier 2 capital is limited to 100% of the Tier 1 capital, which has remained unchanged since 1988. The Tier 1 capital remained the same as clarified in Oct. 1998. The Tier 2 capital is limited to 1.25% of risk-weighted assets under the standardised approach which was incurred in the amendment of 1991. The Tier 3 capital has remained also unchanged since Sept. 1997. The total risk-weighted assets are defined by multiplying the capital requirements for market and operational risk by 12.5 which means the reciprocal of the minimum capital ratio of 8% and adding the risk-weighted assets for credit risk.¹⁰⁸

As Bernanke reported, banks are well capitalized with at least Tier 1 capital to riskweighted assets at 6% and a minimum Tier 1 tangible common equity ratio (excl. goodwill) of 4%.¹⁰⁹

Currently, three countries are using or planning to use a leverage ratio, which are US, Canada and Switzerland. The calculation differs in all countries. The US leverage ratio is calculated by dividing the Tier 1 capital to total adjusted assets (derivatives netting) on a consolidated basis and does not include off-balance-sheet exposures. The ratio should be at least 3% for "strong" rated banks and 4% for all other banks. The Leverage ratio is therefore an indicator of how close the bank is to insolvency. The Canadian leverage ratio is calculated by dividing the total assets (including off-balance sheet exposure) by its Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital. The multiple should be smaller than 20 which is a ratio on a reverse basis of at least 5%.¹¹⁰

The FINMA, the Swiss Supervisory Authority, has introduced a 'new' leverage ratio to limit the leverage of banks, especially for Credit Suisse and UBS which are Swiss systemic banks. The Swiss Leverage ratio is calculated by dividing the Tier 1 capital to total assets and set a minimum of 3% at consolidated level and 4% at individual

¹⁰⁷ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2004), p. 3.

¹⁰⁸ See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2004), p. 12.

¹⁰⁹ See Bernanke (2009), URL: http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/bernanke20090511a.htm#f5, retrieved January 25th, 2010.

¹¹⁰ See Financial Systems Department of the World Bank's FPD Vice Presidency (2009), p. 2.

level. The SNB suggested that leverage over 20 is not prudent in good times, which means that the capital base should be at least 5% of the total assets. To leave the banks enough time in the ongoing turbulent time, these targets should be applied as of 2013 at the earliest.¹¹¹

It is not yet formulated whether the leverage ratio will be monitored by supervisors under Pillar II or a strict requirement under Pillar I of the changed Basel Accord. The study of Estrella, Park and Peristiani (2000) pointed out that a leverage ratio predict bank failure over one- or two-year time horizons. On the other hand, risk-weighted ratios tend to perform better indicators over the longer horizon than leverage ratios.112

Therefore the calculation is not yet defined for an implementation. The calculations are summarized in the equation 4 to 6. Equations 4 to 6 show an overview of the current minimum capital requirements of the ratios, beside the fact that the minimum capital requirements vary throughout countries.

common equity $\geq 2\%$ risk – weighted assets

Equation 4: Minimum Common equity ratio Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006)

Tier 1 $\overline{risk - weighted \ assets} \ge 4\%$

Equation 5: Minimum Tier 1 capital ratio Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006)

Total Capital (Tier 1,2 and 3) $\geq 8\%$ risk – weighted assets

Equation 6: Minimum Total Capital ratio Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006)

Equation 7 to 9 show the new calculations under the Basel III approach with different adjustments as mentioned. The Tier 3 capital, for example was abolished and the composition of the Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital changed.

 ¹¹¹ See SNB (2009), p. 7.
 ¹¹² See Estrella/Park/Peristiani (2000), p. 50.

 $Common \ equity \ ratio = \frac{common \ equity \ (new)}{risk - weighted \ assets} \ge x\%$

Equation 7: Common equity ratio under Basel III Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a)

 $Tier \ 1 \ ratio = \frac{Tier \ 1 \ (new)}{risk - weighted \ assets} \ge x\%$

Equation 8: Tier 1 ratio under Basel III Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a)

 $Total \ capital \ ratio = \frac{Tier \ 1 + Tier \ 2 \ (new)}{risk - weighted \ assets} \ge x\%$

Equation 9: Total capital ratio under Basel III Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a)

The leverage ratio (LR) should be, according to BIS, a non-risk-sensitive, comparatively simple monitoring and control measure. The most important calculation of a Leverage ratio is the second Leverage ratio that is Tier 1 capital to total assets. The other two calculation methods are summarized in Equation 11 and 12. Using the common equity or the Total capital rather than the Tier 1 capital could also be valued to see the best calculation method and possible differences if there are any.

 $Leverage \ ratio \ 1 = \frac{common \ equity \ (new)}{total \ assets}$

Equation 10: Leverage ratio 1 Source: Own view according to Swiss, Canadian and US measurement

Leverage ratio $2 = \frac{Tier \ 1 \ (new)}{total \ assets}$

Equation 11: Leverage ratio 2 Source: Own view according to Swiss, Canadian and US measurement

 $Leverage \ ratio \ 3 = \frac{Tier \ 1 + Tier \ 2 \ (new)}{total \ assets}$

Equation 12: Leverage ratio 3 Source: Own view according to Swiss, Canadian and US measurement

The Austrian Banking Sector 2.8.

Under the Austrian Banking Act a credit institution refers to an institution authorised to carry out banking transactions under Austrian federal law (Article 1 (1) Federal Banking Act). Such transactions include activities like:

- accepting funds from other parties for financial management and depository purposes
- carrying out non-cash payment- and billing transactions
- granting loans and closing loan-related contracts
- taking custody of securities and managing these for customers
- issuing different means of payment (e.g. credit cards, checks)
- issuing securities (e.g. bonds)

The Austrian banking sector is divided into three main categories (single-stage, twostage and three-stage banks) and eight sectors. Single-stage banks are independent of superior institutions holding. This means joint stock banks (Aktienbanken pursuant Article 51 Federal Banking Act) like the BAWAG P.S.K. AG or Unicredit Bank Austria AG, state mortgage banks (Landeshypothekenbanken pursuant Article 11), building and loan associations (Bausparkassen pursuant Article 4). Special purpose banks including severance funds, real estate funds and investment companies (Sonderbanken pursuant Article 93) and branch offices (Zweigstellen pursuant Article 28) refer to single-stage banks.¹¹³ Special purpose banks are corporations with specific tasks, especially financing exports (OeKB), granting investment loans, managing investment funds, issuing credit cards or preparing severance funds.¹¹⁴ Two-stage sector banks are defined as having a number of credit institutions and one superior institution with certain interference abilities and responsibilities. This must not be a corporate group. It does only mean that a superior credit institution consolidates its financial statements. The superior institutions have the coordination and liquidity management function, which means that it can control the liquidity of all institutions through compensatory operations. Under this category, Savings Banks (Sparkassen pursuant Article 56) e.g. Erste Bank AG and Volksbank credit

¹¹³ See Schnabler (2007), p. 2f.¹¹⁴ See Schnabler (2007), p. 4.

cooperatives (Volksbanken pursuant Article 69) e.g. ÖVAG are included.¹¹⁵ The Raiffeisen credit cooperatives (Raiffeisenbanken pursuant Article 558) belong to the three-level sector in Austria. The lower stage of individual Raiffeisen banks exists in all of the states (provinces). The holders of the ownership rights in the competent state banks of the province in which they are situated constitute the second level of the sector. The nine state-banks hold ownership rights in the superior (third level) central institution, namely the Raiffeisen Central Bank.¹¹⁶

In Austria, 51 Joint stock banks and private banks head offices (784 branch offices), 55 savings banks (997), 11 state mortgage banks (997), 545 Raiffeisen credit cooperatives (1689), 68 Volksbank credit cooperatives (478) and 4 building and Ioan associations (43), 92 special purpose banks (11), 29 member State credit institutions (5) are covered by the OeNB in the fourth quarter of 2009.¹¹⁷ In Austria, in total 855 head offices and 4172 branch offices are registered.¹¹⁸

Table 5 compares the total assets of the Austrian banking sector between 1995 and 2008. At the end of December 2008, joint stock banks had the largest market share in terms of total assets (29%) by €308 bn out of €1047 bn total assets, followed by Raiffeisen cooperatives and savings banks, which accounted for 25% and 17% of total assets. Total assets increased sharply from €390 bn to €1047 bn from 1995 to 2008. In 1995 the largest banking sector had been savings banks by one third of total assets. The total assets of special purpose banks such as investment companies increased from €28 bn in 1995 to €102 bn in 2008, although the offices of such banks were only 107 out of 5727 at the end of 2008. This is an interesting point, because 2% of Austrian banks have a volume of total assets of 10%, which is quite high.

¹¹⁵ See Schnabler (2007), p. 4f

¹¹⁶ See Schnabler (2007), p. 6.

¹¹⁷ See OeNB (2010b), URL: http://www.oenb.at/isaweb/report.do?report=3.1.1#optionen, retrieved March 25th, 2010.

¹¹⁸ See OeNB (2010c), URL: http://www.oenb.at/isaweb/report.do?lang=EN&report=3.1.2, retrieved March 25th, 2010.

Total assets (in € bn)	1995	2008
Joint stock banks	111	308
Savings banks	121	177
State mortgage banks	21	96
Raiffeisen credit cooperatives	78	265
Volksbank credit cooperatives	17	79
Building and loan associations	14	20
Special Purpose banks	28	102
	390	1047

Table 5: Total Assets (in €bn) of 1995 and 2008 Source: OeNB

Figure 3 shows the changes of total assets of the Austrian Banks and the number of banks between 1995 and 2008. While the total assets increased by 168%, the number of banks declined by 12%, from 5727 (1041 head offices) in 1995 to 5027 (855 head offices) in 2008. Joint stock banks had the largest total asset growth of roughly 22% or €65 bn compared to 2007. According to 1995, Volksbank credit cooperatives and state mortgage banks had the largest asset growth of 365% and 357%. The total asset growth of joint stock banks between 1995 and 2008 was 178%.



Figure 3: Total Assets versus Number of banks (incl. branch offices) between 1995 and 2008 Source: OeNB

The total number of monetary financial institutions as head offices (MFIs) in the Euro Area stood at 8,076 in December 2009. In comparison with January 1999, this is a decrease of 1,726. In the European Union, there were 10,192 MFis in December 2009, a decrease of only 717 from 10,919, compared to January 1999. The vast majority of credit institutions are commercial banks, savings banks, postal banks, credit unions, while money market funds are the minority. In Austria, 820 banks are included (791 credit institutions, 28 money market funds, 1 central bank)¹¹⁹ with an aggregated balance sheet total of €830 bn.¹²⁰ The top 6 of Austrian banks by total assets (UniCredit Bank Austria, Erste Group, RZB Group, ÖVAG, Hypo Group, BAWAG P.S.K. Group) had an aggregate amount of €700 bn, which is roughly 84%, by the end of 2008.

¹¹⁹ See ECB (2010), URL: http://www.ecb.int/press/pr/date/2010/html/pr100120.en.html, retrieved March 25th, 2010. ¹²⁰ See ECB, Statistical Data Warehouse

3.Commercial Banking

In this chapter I present a short revision of the development of investment banking and the separation to commercial banking, if there is a separation. Further the leverage and derivatives in financial institutions will be discussed in general. Moreover liquidity and leverage will be illustrated as well as the role of sovereigns, which are also called government bonds.

Investment versus commercial banking 3.1.

As we heard, the Glass-Steagall Act separated commercial from investment banking in the US after the Great Depression in 1933. In 1999 the US Congress repealed the Glass-Steagall Act and replaced it by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. In 2008, after a lot of criticism and at the beginning of the financial crisis, the investment banks were forced to change their status into commercial banks for tighter regulation purposes.¹²¹ After the Glass-Steagall Act, the investment bank Morgan Stanley for example was formed by Henry S. Morgan and Harold Stanley. In Europe the two activities can be combined in one single firm. Originally investment banks acted as brokers and helped customers raise funds or give advice on mergers and acquisitions, while commercial banks offer traditional services like taking deposits. The separation is controversial. Commercial banks are financial intermediaries with high leverage i.e. a large proportion of short-term debt such as deposits. The commercial banks' funds are moreover used to offer loans to firms and individuals. Investment banks also offer activities like trading and brokerage, asset management, and underwriting and advisory services as mentioned. Such banks therefore use their assets to issue debt. One of the possibilities is called asset backed securitization.¹²² It is clear that commercial banks exist because firms and individuals do not always have access to financial markets issuing bonds and stocks. The reason for the existence of the role of investment and commercial banks is always the same: information asymmetry. The traditional banking, which is borrowing short and lending

¹²¹ See Wiebe, (2010), URL: http://www.handelsblatt.com/politik/international/obamas-vorbild-glass-steagallact-von-1933;2516803, retrieved January, 21st, 2010. ¹²² See Iannotta (2010), p. 2ff.

long is no more valid. Mishkin (2007) outlined, that in the US, the importance of commercial banks as a source of funds to non-financial borrowers shrunk from 40% in 1974 to 30% in 2005¹²³ which is quite enough including the fact that the number of banks also decreased.

By the end of 2009, in the US the number of commercial banks which had Total Assets above \$1 bn was 514 out of 6839 total national banks. The largest 514 commercial banks had a total share of total assets of 90%¹²⁴, which is an impressive figure and shows the problematic of the size and their allocation in terms of total assets, as we will see later. Figure 4 shows the number of US Commercial Banks and their Total Assets in History between 1896 and 2009. The number of commercial banks decreased by 69%, from 23,098 to 6,839, in the 100 years from 1909 to 2009. The total assets skyrocketed in the same period from \$18,145 bn to \$11,846,114 bn. Especially the First and Second World Wars influenced the picture. In 1945 at the end of the Second World War, the number of commercial banks in the US stood at 13,302 while the total assets stood at \$157,582 bn.



Figure 4: Number of US Commercial Banks (FDIC-Insured) 1896-2009 Source: FDIC, FRASER Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

By the end of 2009, there were 6,458 credit institutions in the Euro Area registered by the European Central Bank, which is slightly below the number of the US

¹²³ See Mishkin (2007), p. 257.

¹²⁴ See FDIC (2009), URL: http://www2.fdic.gov/SDI/main4.asp, retrieved May 16th 2010.

Commercial Banks. Compared to overall monetary financial institutions in the Euro Area this is a market share of 80% (6458 out of 8076). In Austria and Germany there is an integrated provision of financial services ('universal banking system'), which means that there is no clear distinction between commercial and investment banks. Some banks, like the Deutsche Bank AG, also operate in niche products like brokerage and other special investment services.

3.2. Leverage and derivatives in financial institutions

The bank balance sheet is a list of banks' assets and liabilities. The total assets are the sum of total liabilities plus capital. The liabilities are the sources of a bank for example checkable deposits, non-transaction deposits and borrowings. The assets illustrate the use of funds. Examples are reserves, loans and securities. Banks with deposits need reserves to fill the reserve requirements and to have excess reserves to meet their obligations when funds are withdrawn.¹²⁵ Excess reserves are also an insurance against costs with deposit outflows.¹²⁶ Important factors that could increase the risk of banks, but is not considered on the balance sheet, are off-balance-sheet instruments and activities.¹²⁷

Commercial banks normally have a leverage ratio between 10 and 12. Lehmann Brothers had a ratio of 30 with only 3% equity of total assets at the end of 2007.¹²⁸ At the end of 2007 the UBS and Credit Suisse were highly levered, UBS with a simple leverage ratio of 53.¹²⁹ Normally investment banks have leverage ratios between 20 and 25.¹³⁰

¹²⁵ See Mishkin (2007), p. 222.

¹²⁶ Mishkin (2007), p. 229.

¹²⁷ See Mishkin (2007), p. 241f.

¹²⁸ See Morris/Shin (2008), p. 14.

¹²⁹ See Morris/Shin (2008), p. 23.

¹³⁰ See Greenlaw et al. (2008), p. 37.



Figure 5: Total Financial Assets of Financial Intermediaries as % of commercial banks Total Assets Source: Adrian/Shin (2008a), p. 10, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve and HFR.

Figure 5 shows Security Brokers and Dealers and Hedge Funds total financial assets on the balance sheet relative to commercial banks. For the Hedge Funds, the total assets under management (with reference to total shareholder equity) are used. This value is multiplied by a leverage of 2 as a proxy. The combined balance sheet Security Brokers and hedge funds therefore is over 50% of commercial banks balance sheet. A problem might be that the balance sheet is marked to market, so reactions could be proportionally large. The balance sheet as a decoupled figure is not a good indicator, because in the past Long Term Capital Management's (LTCM) balance sheet was relatively small to the total financial sector and the impact was a dramatic one.¹³¹

A combination of leverage and total assets could be a better indicator. Adrian and Shin (2008) found out that leverage is procyclical because, even when leverage is large, total assets are large. They show a positive relationship between the change in leverage and the change in total assets. On the other side, leverage is positively related to short-term debt, repos and other collateralised borrowings. Especially the balance sheet increases through repos and reverse repos, which is a contract when an institution sells a security and simultaneously agrees to buy it back at a fixed price on a fixed future date. Therefore investment banks use repos for financing

¹³¹ See Adrian/Shin (2008a), p. 10.

activities.¹³² The balance sheet of investment banks has often shown a lot of short-term claims i.e. repos and reverse repos which are marked-to-market.¹³³

The current credit crisis was enhanced by two mechanisms. The first one has been constituted by problems in the interbank funding market, especially collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and asset-backed commercial papers (ABCPs). These assets are held by leveraged institutions like Security brokers, off-balance-sheet vehicles or hedge funds specializing in mortgage securities.¹³⁴ More than half of the ABCP daily issuance has maturities of 1 to 4 days. The average maturity of outstanding paper is about 30 days.¹³⁵ ABCP are often held by money market mutual funds. The most traditional ABCP program is the multi-seller program, in which a bankruptcy-remote conduit purchases receivables and loans from multiple firms. The sponsor is typically a financial institution that provides the conduit (Special Purpose Vehicle) with a committed liquidity line.¹³⁶ In the end of July 2007, there were 98 multi-seller programs with \$525 bn ABCP outstanding, which was 45% of the total ABCP outstanding. Other ABCPs are issued by collateralized debt obligations in the form of structured investment vehicles. Structured investment vehicles (SIVs) are highly-rated securities which do not have explicit agreements with their sponsoring banks for committed back-stop liquidity lines to cover short-term liabilities. The difference to structured investment vehicles (SIVs) is that CDOs are not actively managed and tend to rely on explicit, but only partial liquidity support.¹³⁷ Sovereign debt and high-grade corporate bonds remain stable in the early times of crisis.¹³⁸ This situation for sovereigns changed in the later stage of the crisis as we will see later. The second problem arose from the subprime mortgages. The credit quality of mortgages began to fall in the early 2007.¹³⁹

In the summer of 2007, the US Asset-Backed Commercial paper market erupted. Due to decline in confidence in mortgage financial intermediaries and rating downgrades of structured mortgage securities, investors got reluctant to roll over

¹³² See Adrian/Shin (2008a), p.11f.

¹³³ See Greenlaw et al. (2008), p. 37.

¹³⁴ See Greenlaw et al. (2008), p. 41.

¹³⁵ See Covitz/Liang/Suarez (2009), p. 7.

¹³⁶ Covitz/Liang/Suarez (2009), p. 8ff.

¹³⁷ See Covitz/Liang/Suarez (2009), p. 8.

¹³⁸ See Greenlaw et al. (2008), p. 41.

¹³⁹ See Greenlaw et al. (2008), p. 41.

ABCPs and the outstanding ABCP amount plummeted by \$190 bn, roughly 20% in August and additional \$160 to \$830 bn by the end of 2007¹⁴⁰, as Figure 6 exhibits. The total value of asset-backed commercial paper outstanding fell by 37% from August 2007 to August 2008.141

In chapter Basel II weaknesses I mentioned the example Northern Rock. Northern Rock, which was the fifth largest UK mortgage bank, sorted by mortgage assets, began to get into trouble during the early time of the financial crisis, in mid-2007. On the 14th of September 2007, the Bank of England announced a liquidity support for Northern Rock. Some days before, on the 9th of August, short-term borrowing through securitized notes ran dry. The British mortgage lenders had many offbalance sheet investment vehicles in their portfolio, for example Asset-backed commercial papers with high exposures in the US subprime market. Their business focus was focused on non-retail funding such as interbank deposits and covered bonds and only 23% of its liabilities were retail deposits. The total assets of Northern Rock grew from £17.4 bn in June 1998 to £113.5 bn in June 2007. Between 8th and 15th of August, the amount of outstanding Asset-backed commercial papers dropped sharply by 4%, as stated in Figure 6. The problem therefore was not only the offbalance sheet investments, but also that they used short-term funding from the same Special Purpose Vehicle Pool.¹⁴²

 ¹⁴⁰ See Covitz/Liang/Suarez (2009), p. 1.
 ¹⁴¹ See Kacperczyk/Schnabl (2009), p. 1.
 ¹⁴² See Shin (2008), p. 2ff.



Figure 6: Asset-Backed Commercial Papers outstanding Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

Figure 7 shows the composition of liabilities of Northern Rock before and after the run and the liquidity support from the Bank of England. While covered bonds and securitized notes stood relatively constant, there were significant changes in retail deposits and wholesale funding i.e. non-retail funding (without covered bonds and securitized notes). Wholesales liabilities drop from £26.7 bn to £11.5 bn between June and December 2007. The wholesales liabilities were mostly short-term or medium-term and the maturing loans and deposits were not renewed by the investors. Furthermore the pool of the special investment vehicles was the same as the short-term wholesale funding i.e. short-term creditors.¹⁴³

The retail deposits plummeted from £24.4 bn to £10.5 bn by more than 50%. These deposits show a large reduction in postal account deposits and offshore deposits while the typical customer deposits fall less.¹⁴⁴

¹⁴³ Shin (2008), p. 10ff.
¹⁴⁴ Shin (2008), p. 12.



Figure 7: Aftermath of Run: Composition of Liabilites (in million £) Source: Shin (2008), p. 10.

Banking institutions began to hoard their cash positions in order to meet their ABCP obligations and became hesitant in interbank lending markets. Therefore the risk spreads for overnight interbank funding widened sharply, while the outstanding amount decreased. Figure 8 shows that the daily spreads of the AA-rated Asset-Backed Commercial Papers over the target federal funds rate in the US Market widened from 0.1 to roughly 1.1 by 1 percentage points in July and August 2007.



Figure 8: Spreads (Overnight AA Asset-backed Commercial Paper Interest Rate to Effective Federal Funds Rate) of Overnight Asset-Backed Commercial Papers

Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

Liquidity injections of national and central banks enabled opportunities for financial intermediaries to expand their balance sheets by borrowing from the central bank and forward lending to other parties.

Figure 9 summarizes the notional amount outstanding (gross nominal of all deals concluded and not yet settled on the reporting date) of interest rate and currency swaps, equity derivatives and credit default swaps from 1995 to 2008. The data is collected by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) which conducted detailed surveys of overall over-the-counter derivatives activities and has collected data since 1987 of transactions or reporting institutions worldwide. The notional amount outstanding of overall derivatives dramatically skyrocketed from \$17 trn in 1995 to \$441 trn by the end of 2008. The notional amount of interest rate derivatives outstanding, for example interest rate swaps, interest rate options, forward rate agreements and foreign exchange contracts such as currency swaps, by the end of 2008 was roughly \$403 trn which is roughly 90% of the overall outstanding amount. The most important OTC derivatives are interest rate contracts, especially interest rate swaps with a 77% market share of roughly \$309 trn out of \$403 trn overall interest rate and currency contracts. The rapid growth of derivatives was mostly due to the growth in interest rate contracts. The total credit default swaps outstanding was roughly \$38 trn by the end of 2008 and fell by 38% compared to 2007 due to the financial crisis, while the other derivatives outstanding increased. The notional amount of equity derivatives, which are especially options and futures, had been roughly \$8.7 trn with a market share of only 2% by the end of 2008.



Figure 9: Total derivatives outstanding 1995-2008 (in USD bn) Source: ISDA Market Survey (annual data)

3.3. Liquidity, Leverage and the role of Sovereigns

The importance of liquidity was emphasized by a lot of economists. Goldstein (2008) argued that much of the crisis was due to liquidity. Especially large banks in the G-7 countries have reduced the share of liquid assets before the crisis such as treasuries and got their liquidity by short-term borrowing.¹⁴⁵ In response to increase in prices, financial market liquidity can be seen as a rate of growth of aggregate balance sheets.¹⁴⁶ On a theoretical basis, if it is assumed that a financial institution holds 100 worth of securities, with debt worth 90 and the price of debt is assumed to be constant for small changes in total assets. If the price of securities increases by 1% to 101, the Leverage will fall from 10 to 9.18 (securities worth 101 divided by equity worth 11). When the bank has a target leverage of 10, then it must take additional debt and purchase securities. On the other side, if Leverage is too high, the bank could sell their securities and paying down debt. When the leverage of banks is procyclical, then leverage is high during booms and low during busts.¹⁴⁷

If financial markets are not liquid, i.e. a greater supply of assets, which are markedto-market, tends to put downward pressure on its price. Therefore weaker balance

¹⁴⁵ See Goldstein (2008), p. 6.

¹⁴⁶ See Adrian/Shin (2008a), p. 4.

¹⁴⁷ See Adrian/Shin (2008a), p. 10f.

sheets lead to greater sales of the assets, which put the asset's price down. When asset prices decrease, banks leverage tends to be higher. When asset prices increase, financial intermediaries' balance sheets become stronger and their leverage tends to be low and financials tend to hold surplus capital.¹⁴⁸ Adrian and Shin (2008) show that key balance sheet forecast changes in the Volatility Index of implied volatility in the stock market.¹⁴⁹

Figure 10 shows the development of the liquidity index on a monthly basis between the 1st of January 1997 and to 30th June of 2009. The Liquidity Index, which is published by the Bank of England, shows the number of standard deviations from the mean. It is a simple unweighted average of nine liquidity measures, normalised on the period 1999-2004. The series shown is an exponentially weighted moving average. After 1997 the indicator is more reliable as it is based on a greater number of underlying measures. Liquidity measures incorporate the gaps between bid and offer prices on bonds, currencies and stocks, the ratio of market returns to trading volumes and spreads in the credit market.¹⁵⁰ The decline which started in August 2007 is mostly due to the sharp decline in the interbank market liquidity and a response to US sub-prime market downturn. Bank's funding became vulnerable to a sudden shift in financial market conditions and worsened the credit conditions. The sharp decline of liquidity was across the global market. Especially the dramatic fall in the Liquidity Index was due to negative effects on prices of securitised assets which are globally linked to each other. Such a fall was exacerbated by the high leverage of financial institutions. A number of institutions needed financial support from the public sector including Lehman Brothers and Bear Stearns.

¹⁴⁸ See Adrian/Shin (2008a), p. 37.
¹⁴⁹ See Adrian/Shin (2008a), p. 12.

¹⁵⁰ See Bloomberg definitions



Figure 10: The liquidity index from 01-01-1997 to 30-06-2009 (monthly basis) Source: Bank of England, Bloomberg, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Debt Management Office, London Stock Exchange, Merrill Lynch, Thomson Datastream & Bank calculations

The Chicago Board Options Exchange Volatility Index (VIX), which was introduced in 1993, equals the implied volatility (estimation of future volatility) on the S&P 500 Index. The S&P 500 index publishes prices of the 500 large-cap stocks traded in the US. The VIX reflects aggregate financial market volatility and the price of risk. The VIX is used as a benchmark for US stock market volatility, is often used for financial market conditions as measure of 'fear'. The index estimates expected volatility by averaging the weighted prices of the S&P 500 put and call options over a wide range of strike prices.¹⁵¹

In history, the VIX reflects investor fear regarding a potential drop in the US stock market. The S&P 500 and the VIX Index are normalized on January 1997. Recent crises are plotted in Figure 11. Every few years an event shocked financial markets. Previous crises are the Asian Financial Crisis (1997), the Russian Crisis including Long-Term-Capital-Management (1998), 9/11 (2001), the DotCom bubble (2000-2002) and the recent problems with Bear Stearns collapse (2007) and Lehman filing for Chapter 11 (2008). The dramatic downturn in the market in late 2008 can also be seen in the VIX graph. On a normalized basis (starting point 01-01-1997) the VIX

¹⁵¹ See CBOE (2009), p. 1.

rose by almost 80% during the Asian Financial Crisis from the beginning of 1997 to November 1997. The 100% upward slope was reached during the Russian Financial crisis in 1998 and again on 9/11 and the DotCom Bubble. The years between 2003 and 2007 were years of steady growth. While the collapse of Bear Stearns had less impact on the VIX index, the Lehman failure had the historical highest impact on the VIX Index, which rose by 4x times higher than the levels bevor. Due to the turmoil, the S&P 500 fell off to the levels of 1997. During these years, the VIX flutuated between 10 and 35 and dropped sharply to 89 at the end of 2008. The Lehman bankrupcty triggered a very high volatility "regime".



Figure 11: Development of the S&P 500 and the VIX Index normalized from Jan 1997 to Jan 2009 Source: Bloomberg

Historically it is known that sovereigns have always be seen as a safe investment which can not default. A lot of scientists had build models, for example the CAPM of Treynor, Sharpe, Lintner and Mossin in the 1960s, and took the yield for long-term government bonds as a risk-free rate. The current situation is different and sovereigns play a role in the development of the crisis. The Argentina's debt crisis, starting 2001. has been biggest default in the ever in terms of

monetary amounts (\$ 90 bn).¹⁵² Greece is the latest addition, which peaked at 115% debt/GDP ratio by 2009, and according to IMF estimations could again increase to 150% by the end of 2011. The highest US debt-to-GDP ratio was 109% at the end of World War II.¹⁵³ As we heard recent crisis had significant impacts on global equity markets. The domestic impact on crisis depends on perceived global systemic risk and contagion effects on financial institutions. We are now in the fourth stage of the cycle, the sovereign risk phase. In the first stage, the financial crisis was in the builtup period, where sovereigns were almost stable and volatility markets largely ignored the problems. It started with the US housing price bubble in the subprime mortgage market in 2007. Afterwards other commercial and investment banks were affected by the mortgage meltdown and the market for asset-backed commercial papers. In the first time only highly leveraged banks were largerly affected and defaulted. The second stage was the systemic outbreak as Figure 12 summaries. This chart shows the 10-year german government bond yield compared to the 10-year government bond yield to PIIGS (Portgual, Italy, Ireland, Greece, and Spain) which is simply called the yields spread between 10-year Greek government bonds and their benchmark 10-year German Bunds. Through the massive liquidity shortages of banks, some financial institutions ran into problems i.e. Northern Rock, Bear Stearns and later Lehman.

In the third stage the central banks intervened in October 2008 to lower interest rates to provide liquidity and foreign currency. During this phase, national governments wanted to stabilize the domestic market by expanding insurance on guarantees for depositors and guarantees for banks. The IMF, for example, issued loans to Hungary (\$15.7 bn) and the Ukraine (\$16.4 bn) and granted loans to Belarus, Bosnia & Herzigovina, Iceland, Latvia, Moldova, Poland, Romania and Serbia. Banks in the EU have already nearly \$1.5 trn assets invested in Central and Eastern Europe. The crisis has underscored the interdepence between financial markets in the US and European economies.¹⁵⁴ Central banks engaged in direct injections of capital to support banks balance sheets which were also necessary in the case of Austria. Due to the Lehman bankruptcy, credit markets were frozen up and the CDS contracts that

¹⁵² See Olivares-Caminal (2010), p. 91.

¹⁵³ See Dickson, (2010), URL: http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2010/mar/26/cbos-2020-vision-debt-willrise-to-90-of-gdp/, retrieved August, 17th, 2010. ¹⁵⁴ See Jackson (2009), p. 2f.

referenced Lehman led to high counterparty risks. The crisis demonstrated that financial markets are interdependent across national borders. As we have seen, a lot of financial institutions are "too big to fail" and covered by the central bank which is banking system without an explicit Lender of Last Resort. This could explain why low interest rates did not work successfully. Furthermore, the monetary policy of central banks by narrowing interest rates to zero and injecting capital didn't work because banks didn't channel the capital into the real economy.

In the old Keynesian view, a liquidity trap is defined as a situation in which short-term nominal interest rate is zero. Increasing money supply has no effect in a liquidity trap and monetary policy is ineffetive because short-term nominal interest rate can not be less than zero as long as as commercial banks hold excess reserves at zero interest with the central bank.¹⁵⁵ The liquidity preference theory suggested that holding longterm securities, for example bonds, will yield a premium to investors rather than short-term securities due to greater uncertainty about the liquidity. In practice this is dependent on the term structure of interest rates. According to Keynes, liquid assets are bills and call loans because they are realisable at short notice without loss and therefore investments are more liquid than advances. Hicks (1962) explained that the term "without loss" should be used in a comparative manner, for example the book value to the current market value.¹⁵⁶ Hicks defines the term 'Liqudity' itself as the judgment of the adequacy of liquid assets that are comprised in it to meet the claims which are made upon them.¹⁵⁷ Furthermore for judging liquidity, someone should use conventional rules such as ratios.¹⁵⁸ In general, the judging of liquidity is not easy and short-term liquidity problems forces banks into bankrupcy.

¹⁵⁵ See Keynes (1936), p. 37f.

¹⁵⁶ See Hicks (1962), p. 789ff.

¹⁵⁷ Hicks (1962), p. 794.

¹⁵⁸ See Hicks (1962), p. 794.



Figure 12: 10-year sovereign spreads (Greece, Spain, Ireland, Italy, Portugal to Germany as a benchmark) (01-01-2008 to 21-04-2010) Source: Bloomberg, IMF, Ithuba Capital Research

In the fourth stage financial markets, on bank balance sheets or sovereign balance sheets, do not support leverage and liquidity will be withdrawn as a part of policy exits. Therefore sovereign credit risk premiums increased substantially in some of the major economies which are most hit by the crisis. By the 21st of April the Irish and Portuguese yield spreads were by 142 bp and 134 bp, while Spainish and Italian yield spreads were below 80 bp, but also rose in the first quarter 2010. In the fourth stage the Greek yield spread over German bunds rose by 73% to a new high of 413 basis points from the 1 st January to 21 st April 2010, as investors demanded a yield of 7.23% for a 10-year Greek bond, compared with a 3.10% yield on German bunds. Therefore there is a contagion of spreads for PIIGS sovereign spreads, because spreads are increasing due to increasing sovereign default risk of Greece. After April, the Greek spreads increased again. This was mainly due to private investors, who withdrew money from their deposits in the fear of taxes on deposits and the uncertainty of the banking system. The transmission of sovereign risks to the banking system could subvert financial stability as a whole. The effects of the de-leveraging process of banks are most challenging because banks have to write down loan losses. Poorly capitalized banks furthermore have to file for bankrupcty or need support from the government. Further stages are currently uncertain, but it might be possible that sovereign problems spill back to the banking sector.



Figure 13: 5y CDS Spreads Austria, Greece, Ireland, Portugal (01-01-2008 to 23-04-2010) Source: Bloomberg

Figure 13 gives an overview of the development over 5-year CDS spreads of Austria compared to Greece, Ireland and Portgual. The spreads for five-year Greece CDS increased to almost 600 basis points in 2010. This means that the costs of protecting government debt for five years against default in Greece is still rising. The influence of Greece on other countries is illustratet in the chart because also spreads of CDS of Portgual and Ireland widened. By the end of 23th of April 2010 the Austrian spreads were at around 67 basis points which is a dramatic difference to Greece. The Figure also provides the last chart of the evolution of the financial crisis and the contagion of spreads. It is not surprising that rating agencies firstly cut the banks credit rating of the four largest Banks in Greece and secondly downgraded Greek bonds to junk status.

Figure 14 plots the percentage of countries in default or restructuring historically during any given year for the years 1800 to 2006. The dataset obtains more than 90% of global GDP and shows sovereign default on external debt which is government default on its own external debt or private sector debts that were publicly guaranteed. The sovereign default is defined as the failure to meet a principal or interest payment on the due date. The periods also include instances where rescheduled debt is extinguished in terms less favorable than the original obligation. The Figure shows that long periods with a high percentage of all countries were in a state of default or restructuring. There were five peaks or default cycles. The first one

was during the Napoleonic War. The second runs, between 1820 and 1840 when nearly 50% of the countries in the world were in default. The third episode started in the early 1870s. The fourth episode started with the Great Depression of the 1930s in which also nearly half of the countries were in default. The most recent default cycle was between 1980s and 1990s, which was the emerging market debt crisis.¹⁵⁹



Figure 14: Sovereign External Debt 1800-2006 (includes 60 countries) Source: Reinhart/Rogoff (2008), p. 4.

3.4. Are banks too big to fail?

Analysts of J.P. Morgan (2010) see a problem due to the size of banks even when banks' total assets are relatively high compared to national GDP. They found out that banks in Europe have usually higher percentages of total assets to GDP ratios than banks in the US, Japan and China.¹⁶⁰

The size of the banks could be measured by the reported total assets, the adjusted assets (estimation of the US GAAP for non US banks), the risk-weighted assets (as

¹⁵⁹ See Reinhart/Rogoff (2008), p. 3f.

¹⁶⁰ See J.P. Morgan (2010), p. 1.

defined by the BIS) or total assets less insured deposits and less Tier 1 capital. The equity research report of J.P. Morgan ranked the 25 largest banks in Europe, the US and APAC (Asia-Pacific) by total assets in 1990. A not surprising point is that large banks operate in multiple jurisdictions, which means for example UBS has 11% assets domiciled in the home country, i.e. Switzerland and 89% of assets domiciled in other countries. This is a clear fact of the interconnectedness of global banks. Especially non-US banks have low proportions of their assets in the home country.¹⁶¹

Table 6 and 7 show the 25 largest global banks sorted by total assets in the years 2008 and 1990. The assets are compared with their national (nominal) GDP. The tables attribute the significant increase of the balance sheets in the last two decades. The sum of the assets of the top 25 banks was \$6.8 trn in 1990, and guadruplicated to almost \$43.7 trn in 2008. In 1990 many the leading global banks, in terms of total assets, were located in Asia, whereas in 2008 European Banks are top-ranked. The Asian banks were on top also due to mergers, for example Dai-Ichi Kangyo Bank, Fuji Bank and the Industrial Bank of Japan merged to Mizuho Holdings Inc in October 2000.¹⁶² Regarding, European banks, most European countries rely heavily on bank finance in contrast to the US.

In 1990, none of the banks had a balance sheet which was greater than their national GDP. Compared to 2008 six of the 25 banks had greater assets than the 'home' GDP. Noticeable is also that the percentages of assets to national GDP increased sharply over time. One reason of that is the increase in the derivatives market and Leverage, although there is a reporting difference in European and American banks because US banks permit greater netting of exposures relating to derivatives.¹⁶³ The globalization and internationalization of banks is again pointed out in the tables.

¹⁶¹ See J.P. Morgan (2010), p. 5f.

¹⁶² See Mizuho Holdings (2000), URL: http://www.mizuho-

fg.co.jp/english/company/info/html/20000929release_eng.html, retrieved January 25th, 2010. ¹⁶³ See J.P. Morgan (2010), p. 7.

Rank	Company	Country	Region	Assets (\$bn)	% 'home' GDP
1	Royal Bank of Scotland Group	United Kingdom	Europe	3.500	131%
2	Deutsche Bank AG	Germany	Europe	3.073	84%
3	Barclays PLC	United Kingdom	Europe	2.992	112%
4	BNP Paribas	France	Europe	2.896	101%
5	HSBC Holdings PLC	United Kingdom	Europe	2.527	95%
6	Credit Agricole	France	Europe	2.307	80%
7	J.P. Morgan Chase	United States	US	2.175	15%
8	Citigroup Inc.	United States	US	1.938	14%
9	Mitsubishi UFJ Financial	Japan	APAC	1.933	39%
10	UBS AG	Switzerland	Europe	1.885	383%
11	Bank of America Corp.	United States	US	1.818	13%
12	Societe Generale Group	France	Europe	1.577	55%
13	Mizuho Financial Group Inc.	Japan	APAC	1.546	31%
14	Banco Sandander	Spain	Europe	1.464	91%
15	Unicredit Group	Italy	Europe	1.459	63%
16	Industrial & Commcerial Bank of China	China	APAC	1.430	33%
17	Wells Fargo & Co	United States	US	1.310	9%
18	Sumitomo Mitsui Financial	Japan	APAC	1.121	23%
19	China Construction Bank	China	APAC	1.107	26%
20	Credit Suisse Group AG	Switzerland	Europe	1.095	222%
21	Bank of China	China	APAC	1.018	24%
22	Dexia	Belgium	Europe	922	182%
23	Intesa Sanapaolo	Italy	Europe	888	38%
24	Goldman Sachs Group Inc.	United States	US	885	6%
25	Commerzbank AG	Germany	Europe	872	24%

 Table 6: Global Banks, sorted by Total Assets year 2008
 Source: Bloomberg, IMF

Furthermore total assets are an indicator for potential losses of a banking system. A high percentage of assets to national GDP could enable large losses in the whole banking systems of a country. The tables also show that America has a less concentrated banking system compared to Europe. The ratios of the largest banks are signifcant lower than in Europe. The largest two banks in the US, J.P. Morgan Chase and Citigroup had a ratio of roughly 15%, while the largest European Banks had ratios above or slightly below 100%.

Rank	Company	Country	Region	Assets (\$bn) %	6 'home' GDP
1	Dai-ichi Kangyo Bank	Japan	APAC	428	13%
2	Sumitomo Bank	Japan	APAC	409	13%
3	Mitsui Taiyo Kobe Bank	Japan	APAC	409	13%
4	Sanwa Bank	Japan	APAC	403	12%
5	Fuji Bank	Japan	APAC	400	12%
6	Mitsubishi Bank	Japan	APAC	392	12%
7	Credit Agricole	France	Europe	305	20%
8	BNP Paribas	France	Europe	292	20%
9	Credit Lyonnais	France	Europe	287	6%
10	Deutsche Bank AG	Germany	Europe	266	19%
11	Barclays PLC	United Kingdom	Europe	259	14%
12	Tokai Bank	Japan	APAC	250	23%
13	Norinchukin Bank	Japan	APAC	250	8%
14	Mitsubishi Trust & Banking	Japan	APAC	238	8%
15	NatWest	United Kingdom	Europe	233	7%
16	Bank of Tokyo	Japan	APAC	223	21%
17	Societe Generale Group	France	Europe	220	7%
18	Sumitomo Trust & Banking	Japan	APAC	219	15%
19	Mitsui Trust & Banking	Japan	APAC	211	7%
20	Industrial Bank of Japan	Japan	APC	209	6%
21	Long-Term Credit Bank	Japan	APAC	201	6%
22	Dresdner Bank AG	Germany	Europe	187	10%
23	UBS AG	Switzerland	Europe	183	71%
24	Yasuda Trust & Banking	Japan	APAC	176	5%
25	Daiwa Bank	Japan	APAC	171	5%

Table 7: Global Banks, sorted by Total Assets year 1990Source: J.P. Morgan (2010), p. 7.

Figure 15 supports the difference of the banking system in Europe and US. The Figure shows the sum of the total assets of the Top 25 European and US/Canadian banks. While the GDP of the Top 25 European Banks doubled from \$7.3 trn in 2001 to \$15 trn in 2008, the total assets of the balance sheets tripled from \$ 9 trn to \$33.7 trn. In America the situation is different. The GDP was higher than the total assets, despite the fact that the gap between the two figures tightened. In 2001 GDP was around \$10.9 trn with total assets of \$4.7 trn, while the numbers increased to \$15.8 trn and \$11.2 trn. This is an indicater that the balance sheets rose disproportionally to national GDP.



Figure 15: Total Assets for Top 25 Banks in US/Canada and Europe¹⁶⁴ versus GDP in 2001 and 2008 Source: Bloomberg, IMF

Table 8 shows that the situation in Austria is almost the same as in most European countries, a concentration of assets of only some banks. The top 6 Austrian banks, ranked by total assets held in sum €694 bn at the end of 2009. In Austria, the six banks UniCredit Bank Austria, Erste Group, RZB Group, ÖVAG, Hypo Group and Bawag P.S.K. Group were called 'systemic relevant banks'. The biggest two banks UniCredit Bank Austria and Erste Group had roughly a 75% ratio of Total Assets to national GDP.

The cross-border financial assets and liabilities in Austria (including Direct Investment, portfolio investment, loans, deposits, derivatives and reserve assets), i.e. the rate of internationalization, was 582% of GDP at the end of 2008. In 1999 the rate of internationalization was only around 280% of GDP. The highest figures are displayed by debt securities. Austrian's external financial assets were around \notin 767 bn.¹⁶⁵

Rank	Company	Country	Region	Assets (€bn)	% 'home' GDP
1	UniCredit Bank Austria	Austria	Europe	204	74%
2	Erste Group	Austria	Europe	202	73%
3	RZB Group	Austria	Europe	151	55%
4	Österreichische Volksbanken AG	Austria	Europe	54	20%
5	Hypo Group	Austria	Europe	42	15%
6	BAWAG P.S.K. Group	Austria	Europe	41	15%

 Table 8: Top 6 Austrian banks, sorted by Total Assets in the year 2009

 Source: Annual Reports Companies, IMF

¹⁶⁴ Europe including UK, Germany, France, Switzerland, Spain, Italy, Belgium, Denmark, Sweden

¹⁶⁵ See Fuchs (2009), p. 6ff.

4. Leverage in commercial banks

When the financial system as a whole holds long-term illiquid assets financed by short-term liabilites, any bank could cause stress to another one in the system, even if some banks are resistant against greater stress to the assets due to maturity mismatch.¹⁶⁶ Adrian/Shin (2006) exhibited that many of bank's liabilities are not counted as money and not all items of them qualify as money. Especially the first point is more relevant in a financial system that relies on capital markets more than on the banking system. As stated above, capital markets play a much bigger role in the past. Other items of liabilites such as repurchase agreements or certificates of deposits are under the control of the banks and tend to be most volatile over time. For a bank-dominated financial system, where liabilities of the banking sector can be identified with various components of money, excess liquidity means excessive growth of the money stock. To measure the growth of the Leverage, in a financial system, off-balance sheet items suchs as OTC derivatives are also important, even more important for a valid size.¹⁶⁷

In the following chapters 4.1 and 4.2 I present the methodology, the results of the regression analysis and the calculated leverage ratios in comparison to the Basel II regulation standards. Furthermore in the chapter 4.3 I discuss further possibilities of an implementation.

4.1. Methodology

The primary sources of the data for the analysis are the reported annual reports and half-year interim reports. To complete these tables, Bloomberg data was also used. The analysis is based on a time series data of individual banks' balance sheets from global player in Europe and America over a five year period on a half-year basis from Q4/2005 to Q4/2009. Furthermore the Top 5 Austrian Banks are used to compare the results with the biggest banks globally.

¹⁶⁶ See Adrian/Shin (2008b), p. 1.
¹⁶⁷ See Adrian/Shin (2006), p. 15ff.

For European Banks I used Royal Bank of Scotland (GB), Credit Agricole (FR), Barclays PLC (GB), Deutsche Bank (GE) and UBS (SZ) rather than BNP Paribas or HSBC Holdings PLC because data sources are better usable. The American banks used for the analysis are Bank of America (formerly Merrill Lynch), JP Morgan Chase & Co, Citigroup Inc, Wells Fargo & Co and US Bancorp with are the Top 5 US banks according the Total Assets by the end of 2009. The Austrian banks used are the Volksbanks AG (ÖVAG), the Erste Group, the Raiffeisen Zentralbank Österreich AG, the UniCredit subsidiary Bank Austria and the Hypo Group rather than the BAWAG. The reason is, as mentioned above, the Hypo Group had a lot of troubles in the past and this might be a good comparison with other banks. Furthermore the period between 2005 and 2009 covers the situation before the financial crisis, during the crisis and the situation in the current development. Additionally, the change between Basel I and Basel II (2008) is also included, which is relevant for the Bankscope database.

Using the Top 5 banks, regarding the balance sheet, for analysis is also better than using smaller ones, because as also mentioned above, the biggest banks have many total assets and cover more than ten smaller banks and can therefore affect the stability of the banking system as a whole. The global Canadian und Japanese banks are excluded from the sample.

On the Basis of Basel, the following ratios are being calculated for the 15 banks between Q4/2005 and Q4/2009:

- Tier 1 Ratio
- Capital Adequacy Ratio (Total Capital Ratio)
- Core Tier 1 Ratio (which is Tier 1 capital minus Minority interests, hybrid Tier 1 capital such as trust preferred securities), preference shares and other innovative Tier 1 capital)
- Shareholders Equity to Risk-Weighted Assets
- Leverage Ratio 1 (Shareholders Equity to Total Assets)
- Leverage Ratio 2 (Tier 1 Capital to Total Assets)
- Tier 1 Capital (excluding Minority Interests) to Total Capital
- Core Tier 1 Capital to Total Assets

- Leverage Ratio 3 (Total Capital to Total Assets)
- Reported Tier 1 Ratio of US Banks

For the analysis I took the simple mean of the ratios for the banks. For European and US Banks the share prices, the Return on Equity (Profit after Tax divided by Shareholders Equity) and the Return on Capital are also calculated.

Moreover, in order to find out whether the relationship between Leverage and the bank's balance sheet dependency still holds, some bank's return measures are calculated. Therefore the linear regression is used to determine whether there is a significant linear relationship between the independent variable x (Leverage growth) and the dependent variable y (Asset growth) at a significance level of 0.01. The null hypothesis states that the slope is equal to zero, while the alternative hypothesis states that the slope is not equal to zero, which means that there is a significant linear relationship between the Leverage growth and the Asset growth.

4.2. Results

Figure 16 shows a scatter plot. The horizontal axis measures the change in the Leverage growth (Total Asset to Shareholders Equity), while the vertical axis measures the change of the Total Assets on a half-year basis between Q4/2005 and Q4/2009 of the above mentioned five European Banks. The Figure points out the positive relationship between Leverage Growth and Asset growth. The regression statistics is included in the Appendix 11.



Figure 16: Linear Regression of Asset to Leverage Growth of Top 5 European Banks (Q4/2005-Q4/2009) Source: Annual Reports, Bloomberg, Own calculations

Testing the time series for the European Banks between Q4/2005 to Q4/2009 on a half-year basis, the p-value for the intercept is 0.0115 and therefore more than the significance level of 0.01, which means that the null hypothesis is not rejected. Therefore there is a significant relationship for European Banks between the Leverage growth and the Asset growth with a coefficient of determination (R²) of 0.60. This means that R² is near 1 and therefore 60% of the total variance in Y is explained by the linear regression model which is quite good.



Figure 17: Linear Regression of Leverage to Asset Growth of all Top 5 Banks (Q4/2005-Q4/2009) Source: Annual and Interim Reports, Bloomberg, Own calculations

In Figure 17, again the scatter plot shows the relationship of Asset growth to Leverage growth. This scatter plot shows again a positive relation, which means Leverage grew, when Total Assets rose.

For the regression test of all 15 banks between Q4/2005 and Q4/2009, p-value is less than 0.01, which means that the null hypothesis is rejected and there is no significant relationship including the data of all banks. The coefficient of determination is 0.17.

All in all, this means that the top European banks are targeting Leverage and will adjust their balance sheets to hit the ratio, while the evidence including all banks is mixed across countries.

Figure 18 shows the comparison of the mean of the Tier 1 ratios and the Total Tier Ratios (Capital Adequacy Ratios) for European, US and Austrian Banks between Q4/2005 and Q4/2009. The calculated ratios are attached in Appendix 16. The chart illustrates that the two measures simultaneously change up and down. After introduction and the use of Basel II regulation in Q1/2008, the ratios rose in all cases on average, in spite of the financial crisis and liquidity shortages of global banks. Furthermore the Tier 1 ratios and the Total Tier ratios of all banks were significant
over the minimum, defined by the BIS, of 4% and 8%. The difference to the BIS definitions was around 2%, although UBS had the highest average ratios of 12.13% and 15.43%.



Figure 18: Reported Tier 1 Ratio & Capital Adequacy Ratio (Q4/2005-Q4/2009) Source: Own calculations

In order to compare the core Tier 1 capital to the Tier 1 capital I calculated the difference. The core Tier 1 capital is made up of the regulatory Tier 1 capital net of Minority interests, hybrid Tier 1 capital such as trust preferred securities, preference shares and other innovative Tier 1 capital. The reason is that some changes could be implemented by the Basel III application. Figure 19 shows the spreads between the two ratios in percentage points. The results show that such hybrid Tier 1 capital is more important for the European and Austrian banks than the US banks. Furthermore European banks significantly increased their hybrid capital after the implementation of Basel II. After deduction of the mentioned hybrid capital and minority interests the mean of the Tier 1 ratios for European and Austrian banks only ranged between 4% and 6% between Q4/2005 and Q2/2009, which is slightly over the defined BIS minimum. Therefore hybrid capital and Minorities are an important part of the Tier 1 capital and especially in Austria.

In detail, Minority interests are rougly 40% of Tier 1 capital for the top 5 European Banks and 16% of the Tier 1 capital for the Austrian Banks in Q4/2009. The

interesting point is also that the importance for Minorities for European Banks increased from 17% to the mentioned figure of 38% in Q4/2005 while Austrian Banks showed the reverse development. For the US Banks Minority interests stood constant on a 5% level of overall Tier 1 capital of the analysed banks. The analysis is shown in Figure 20. The fraction of the Minority interests changes over the time on a constant basis calculating the leverage ratio 1 (Shareholders Equity to Total Assets). This indicates that Minority interests, in some cases reduce the Tier 1 capital but do not influence the leverage ratio 1, although there is a significant difference of Shareholders Equity excluding Minorities, especially for Austrian Banks.

Preference shares are not relevant for Austrian banks, but are still essential for European banks with a portion of 17% of total Tier 1 capital in Q4/2009. For the US banks, the importance of including preference shares in the total Tier 1 capital increased over time from 1% in Q4/2005 to 7% in Q4/2009 as a fraction of Tier 1 capital.



Figure 19: Spread between Tier 1 Ratio and Core Tier 1 Ratio (Q4/2005-Q4/2009) Source: Own calculations

For comparison reasons, I calculated the relevance of goodwill in the Tier 1 capital, which is actually included in the Tier 1 calculations under Basel II. The calculations are documented in USD with the relevant exchange rates in Appendix 15. In detail, 30% of the Tier 1 capital is attributable to goodwill and other intangibles for the

European Banks in Q4/2009. Goodwill in the United States is most relevant with a fraction of 47% of total Tier 1 capital. In Austria goodwill and other intangibles did not play an important role in the past.



Figure 20: Leverage Ratio 1 including and excluding Minority Interests (Q4/2005-Q4/2009) Source: Own calculations

Figure 21 illustrates the comparison the calculated Leverage Ratio 2 to the Leverage Ratio 3. The results could be compared to the CAR and Tier 1 ratio. Again the results show that the two measures correspond to each other and change simultaneously.



Figure 21: Leverage Ratio 2 versus Leverage Ratio 3 (Q4/2005-Q4/2009) Source: Own calculations

The compare the calculated Leverage Ratio 2 (Tier 1 capital to total assets) with the reported Tier 1 Ratio (Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets) the calculations show complete different findings for the European, US and Austrian Banks. The difference between the reported Tier 1 ratio and the Leverage Ratio 2 is the hightest for European banks by roughly more than 6%, while the difference of Austrian Banks is slightly more than 2% and for US Banks around 2%. This is again an interesting point because the difference between the total assets and the risk-weighted Assets of the analysed European Banks were significantly higher than for US banks. The graph also shows that the calculated leverage ratios were less volatile than the reported Tier 1 ratios and increased less over time. As Appendix 15 states, the risk-weighted Assets for European Banks are around 25% of total assets, while the number was 66% for US Banks and 55% for Austrian Banks in Q4/2009. Compared to Q4/2005 these fractions did not change moderately.



Figure 22: Reported Tier 1 Ratio versus Leverage Ratio 2 (Q4/2005-Q4/2009) Source: Own calculations

The above figures show a comparison of the Total Capital ratios. Figure 23 states the difference between the reported CAR (Total Capital to risk-weighted assets) and the Leverage Ratio 3 (Total Capital to Total Assets). The results indicate that the difference of the two ratios rose on average by roughly 3% and show the relevance

of the calculation method. Especially European Banks are, according to the US view, of a Leverage ratio undercapitalized with a mean Leverage Ratio under 4%.



Figure 23: Reported CAR versus Leverage Ratio 3 (Q4/2005-Q4/2009) Source: Own calculations

Figure 24 shows the considerable difference of the return situation of the global banks to the calculated ratio of Shareholders Equity to Total Assets. While the leverage ratio 1 (left scale) stood relatively constant for US and European Banks, the return on equity dramatically declined during the financial crisis.



Figure 24: Leverage Ratio 1 versus Return on Equity (Q4/2005-Q4/2009) Source: Own calculations

The overall results exhibit that the leverage ratio is not a good indicator for the profit and the liquidity of a commercial bank. The results show that hybrid and innovative capital, goodwill and other intangibles play an important role in the banking system. Furthermore, the reported Tier 1 and Total Capital ratios as well as the leverage ratios increased during the financial crisis. On the one hand, this could be a result of Basel II regulation, but on the other hand could also be due to capital injections during the crisis. The return measures such as return on equity and return on capital decreased after Q4/2007, while the capital ratios increased. The current approach that higher riskiness of bank's assets requires more capital does have some drawbacks.

4.3. Further discussions of a new Leverage ratio

Hildebrand (2008) pointed out that the leverage ratio should be implemented as a independent measure of capital adequacy and banks should meet a minimum requirement for both measures.¹⁶⁸

The problem of this approach is that the independence, as documented above, is not as much as it should be for a second backstop measure of a Basel III regulation. The second problem of setting minimum requirements for a leverage ratio is that the differences among the global banks are much higher than for the current ratios. Such a minimum level of a leverage ratio could be changed by the national supervisor, but the differences of the European Banks to the US Banks are enormous.

Furthermore Hildebrand argued that two capital ratios are much more difficult to arbitrage.¹⁶⁹ When both measures are pro-cyclical and change in the same direction over time, i.e. are highly correlated, this is not true.

Hildebrand added that leverage ratios help the banking system in greater stress scenarios due to a smaller buffer to absorb negative stress tests in a financial

¹⁶⁸ See Hildebrand (2008), p. 8.
¹⁶⁹ See Hildebrand (2008), p. 10.

crisis.¹⁷⁰ This is consistent with my analysis as shown above. The difference between the leverage ratios and the reported ratios is large and some banks are significant under the minimum buffer levels. The minimum Canadian Leverage Ratio (Total Capital to Total Assets) must be at least 5%. In my analysis the average of the Leverage Ratio for four out of five European Banks was below 5%, while all US Banks are above this level.

In the Turner Review (2009), named after the chairman of the Financial Services Authority Adair Turner, the arguments for using a leverage ratio are mainly based on experiences of the financial crisis. In a systemic crisis, when low-risk assets of all banks become high-risky and illiquid in short-term, a back-stop control measure related to the gross scale of the balance sheet positon could be used. This would be a constraint on excessive balance sheet growth. The second argument used is to implement a leverage ratio beside the risk-based system on internal models. Local Supervisory Authorities have to judge the internal models used by banks and have to balance pros and cons of the usage, but a backstop measure is simply the same in all banks.¹⁷¹

As decribed above, a leverage ratio could reduce regulatory arbitrage by using structured products to upgrade the credit rating and lower capital requirements. The problem might be that such a ratio could also be mitigated in other ways, for example shorten the balance sheet assets through selling off.

Some negative aspects are also discussed by Hildebrand:¹⁷²

- There are incentives to increase off-balance-sheet exposures and raise the bank's risk.
- As mentioned above, a leverage ratio could strenghten a financial cycle. In a downturn cycle, banks could cut down the lending to meet the requirements for a leverage ratio. This problem could become even greater if all financial institutions cut down the lending and the financial market is highly dependent on the banking system.

¹⁷⁰ See Hildebrand (2008), p. 10.
¹⁷¹ See Financial Services Authority (2009), p. 67.
¹⁷² See Hildebrand (2008), p. 10f.

 The third disadvantage is associated with the banks' profitability. Due to higher capital requirements, the costs for bearing a larger share of their potential losses are higher and could be transferred to the customers in a way.
 Furthermore higher capital holdings reduce their tax shield and diminish a bank's market value because the advantage of debt over equity could be less useful.

Moreover a leverage ratio does not distiniguish between the riskiness of the bank's assets and reduce the incentives of growth through decrease of lending activities. Other problems are associated with the definition of the calculation and accounting adjustments.

5.Conclusio

The results of the analysis between Q4/2005 and Q4/2009 show that among the Top European, US and Austrian Banks the reported Tier 1 ratios were relatively stable and above 6% but increased after Basel II implementation and after the crisis. The reason for this could be, on the one hand, the more stringent capital requirements of Basel II and, on the other hand, capital injections of the national government, by issuing new shares during the crisis or by selling assets and reducing the total assets and consequently risk-weighted assets. Furthermore the reported Total Capital ratios changed, in comparison to the Tier 1 ratios on a parallel level, which was on average 2% higher than the Tier 1 ratios. The findings indicated that Total Capital ratios (Capital Adequacy Ratios) rose during the financial crisis, which is consistent with the analysis of Goodhart (2005). Goodhart (2005) found out that the CAR for the Internal Rating Based Approach is higher during a recession.

The globalization of banks made it necessary to implement global homogeneous regulatory standards. Especially in the last few years, the banks' balance sheets skyrocketed and the total assets exceeded the national GDP of most of global banks. Austria is an example for a 'bank-driven' economy, in which only six banks play a central role. Compared to the Switzerland, which has two systemic banks, this is quite a high number. The first consideration is that a leverage ratio is easy to implement on an international basis because the risk-weights disappear. This could reduce incentives for excess leverage if negative aspects are neglected. The second consideration is a more difficult one. Many limitations and regulatory questions are related to the introduction of a new leverage ratio. Some of the problems are the effectiveness of that ratio on a cross-border basis and regulatory arbitrage. The other problems are linked to the calculation method. There are some open questions regarding off-balance sheet exposures in the trading book and the definition of the capital. For the calibration of the leverage ratio it is important to constrain banks during the economic cycle. Some of the ideas are mentioned above. For calculation it is important to implement derivatives netting and off-balance-sheet exposures in a way. Emphasis of the analysis should be given to the interest rate and currency

swaps, which are, according to ISDA Market Survey, the most important derivatives outstanding.

Especially the definition of capital is tricky and hard to implement consistently. The best example of how important the definition is for calculation, is given by Shin (2008). Shin took Northern Rock as an example and computed the leverage ratio based on common equity, total equity and shareholders' equity. He showed that the common equity plus preferred shares is the best way to calculate the leverage ratio. In my analysis I took the common equity plus reserves as Core Tier 1 capital. The differences between the Core Tier 1 capital (excluding Minority Interests, Goodwill, hybrid Tier 1 capital, preference shares and innovative Tier 1 capital) and the reported Tier 1 capital varied considerably for the analyzed banks among the countries and among the time series. The spreads fluctuated between 1% and 6% and were highly volatile. For European Banks, Minorities and preference shares play an important role whereas for US Banks the most important indicator for Tier 1 capital is goodwill and other intangibles. Comparing to the top Austrian Banks, Minority interests play a major role for the calculations of Tier 1 capital.

The differences between the ratios Shareholders Equity to Total assets (Leverage Ratio 1) and Tier 1 capital to Total assets (Leverage Ratio 2) were also various among the countries. While the gap for US Banks was roughly 2% on average between Q4/2005 and Q4/2009, for European Banks the difference was less than 1%. Austrian Banks were in between of these analyzed banks. This means that the differences between Shareholders Equity and Tier 1 capital were the highest in the US.

The findings were almost the same for the differences between the Tier 1 to Total assets ratio (Leverage Ratio 2) and Total Capital to Total assets ratio (Leverage Ratio 3). The gap between the two ratios was around 2% for US and Austrian Banks, whereas the differences for European Banks displayed a maximum of 1%. This indicates that the Tier 2 capital plays a higher role for the US banks than for the European Banks, except for Austrian Banks. The Tier 2 capital, relatively to Total assets, was higher in the US among the time series, which might emphasize the importance of the Tier 2 capital.

79

The analysis of a comparison between the Leverage Ratios 2 and 3 to the riskweighted back-stops reported Tier 1 ratio and Total Capital ratio indicated an opposing image. The gap between the reported ratios to the leverage ratios was significantly higher for the European Banks than for Austrian and US Banks. The differences were on average at around 8-9% in Europe and only around 3-4% in the US and Austria. This indicates that, on the basis of a leverage ratio, European Banks are quite undercapitalized (the capital is too low relatively to Assets) and the calculated leverage ratios are below 4% on average. The reason for that is mainly due to lower risk-weighted assets, relatively to Total assets, in European Banks in comparison to US and Austrian Banks. This is consistent with the results of the regression analysis. I analyzed the asset growth relative to leverage growth and found out that there is a significant relationship in European Banks. This means that, in case of European Banks, an increase of the balance sheet indicates a rise in Leverage. Another indication is that European Banks are targeting leverage. Therefore for European Banks such a leverage back-stop might be a better indicator than for US banks because the analyzed banks display low risk-weighted assets, but report increasing Capital ratios, despite of the financial crisis. Another interesting point is that the leverage ratios vary less volatile than the reported capital ratios and have not significantly increased after Basel II implementation, which is not surprising in the manner that the focus of Basel II has been on the risk-weights of the assets. Furthermore this is an indication that the total capital of the banks does not change quickly, relatively to total assets but changes more considerable relatively to riskweighted assets. This is a plausible argument for the usage of a leverage ratio.

Generally, for most of the banks, the Total assets rose from Q4/2007 but decreased at the end of 2008 due to the financial crisis.

A comparison between the return on equity and return on capital relatively to Leverage Ratio 1 and 3 showed that the leverage ratios are no valid indicators of the return on the ownership interest of a stock. Although the ratios changed relatively little during the period, the return measures for US and European Banks fell dramatically. Further analysis is needed what was the reason for the changes. It could also be due to 'expensive' leverage. The negative return figures indicated a net loss.

Further work needs to be done on liquidity, especially regarding the interbank funding market. Short-term liquidity is very important and structured short-term vehicles, which are globally linked to each other, could force the market as a whole into troubles. As mentioned the spreads of overnight Asset-Backed Commercial Papers rose dramatically during the financial crisis and furthermore the spreads for credit default swaps of government bonds. Especially the situation for government bonds changed quickly in a negative way so that some countries might not be able to repay their coupons, which used to be regarded as the safest investment in business sciences.

Bibliography

Adrian, Tobias and Song Shin, Hyun (2006): Money, Liquidity and Financial Cycles, in: Fourth ECB Central Banking Conference, "The Role of Money: Money and Monetary Policy in the Twenty-First Century, Frankfurt, November 9-10, 2006.

Adrian, Tobias and Song Shin, Hyun (2008a): Liquidity and Leverage, in: Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Report No. 328, May 2008.

Adrian, Tobias and Song Shin, Hyun (2008b): Financial Intermediary Leverage and Value-at-Risk, in: Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Report No. 338, July 2008.

AEIOU (2010): Creditanstalt-Bankverein AG, CA – Austria Forum Encyclopedia, Retrieved April 1st 2010, http://www.aeiou.at/aeiou.encyclop.c/c796078.htm;internal&action=_setlanguage.acti on?LANGUAGE=en.

BaFin (2010): History of Banking Supervision, Retrieved April 1st 2010, http://www.bafin.de/cln_171/nn_720486/EN/BaFin/Legalbasis/History/history.html#do c721614bodyText1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1987): Proposals for international convergence of capital measurement and capital standards, Retrieved February 25th 2010, December 1987, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs03a.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1987): Proposals for international convergence of capital measurement and capital standards, Retrieved February 25th 2010, December 1987, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs03a.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1988): International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards, Retrieved January 20th 2010, July1988, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbsc111.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1991): Amendment of the Basle Capital Accord in respect of the inclusion of general provisions/general loan-loss reserves in capital, Retrieved January 20th 2010, 6th Nov. 1991, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs09.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1993): The supervisory treatment of market risks, Retrieved January 20th 2010, April 1993, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs11a.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1996): Amendment to the capital accord to incorporate market risks, Retrieved January 25th 2010, January 1996, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs24.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1998): Instruments eligible for inclusion in Tier 1 capital, Retrieved February 25th 2010, 27th October 1998, http://www.bis.org/press/p981027.htm.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1999a): Capital Requirements and bank behaviour: The Impact of the Basle Accord, Retrieved January 20th 2010, April 1999, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs_wp1.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (1999b): Supervisory Lessons to be drawn from the Asian Crisis, Retrieved January 20th 2010, June 1999, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs_wp2.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2003): Markets for Bank Subordinated Debt and Equity in Basel Committee Member Countries, Retrieved February 25th 2010, August 2003, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs_wp12.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2004): International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards – A Revised Framework, Retrieved January 20th 2010, 26th June 2004,

http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs107.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2006): International Convergence of Measurement and Capital Standards: Revised Framework Capital Α _ Comprehensive Version, Retrieved February 25th 2010. June 2006. http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs128.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009a): Strengthening the resilience of the banking sector, Retrieved February 25th 2010, 15th April 2010, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs164.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009b): History of the Basel Committee and its Membership, Retrieved January 20th 2010, Aug. 2009, http://www.bis.org/bcbs/history.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009c): Proposed enhancements to the Basel II framework, Retrieved January 20th 2010, January 2009, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs150.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2009d): Revisions to the Basel II market risk framework, Retrieved January 20th 2010, July 2009, http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs158.pdf?noframes=1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2010): About the Basel Committee, Retrieved January 25th 2010, http://www.bis.org/bcbs/#Consultative_Group.

Bernanke, Ben S. (2009): The Supervisory Capital Assessment Program, Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta 2009 Financial Markets Conference Georgia, May 11 2009, Retrieved January 25th, 2010,

http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/bernanke20090511a.htm#f5.

Blundell-Wignall, Adrian, Atkinson, Paul, Lee, Se Hoon (2008): The Current Financial Crisis: Causes and Policy Issues. OECD Financial Market Trends, Volume 2008, No. 2, January 2009, pp. 1-28.

Brunnermeier, Markus, Crocket, Andrew, Goodhart, Charles, Persaud Avinsah D., Shin, Hyun (2009): The Fundamental Principals of Financial Regulation. Geneva Reports on the World Economy 11, 7th May, 2009.

Bryant, Chris and Wilson, James (2009): Austria rescues HGAA to avoid run on funds, Retrieved December 20th 2009, Financial Times, Dec 15th 2009, http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/75467b10-e917-11de-a756-00144feab49a.html?nclick_check=1.

CBOE (2009): The CBOE Volatility Index – VIX, White Paper 2009, http://www.cboe.com/micro/vix/vixwhite.pdf.

Citigroup Inc. (2009): Citi to Exchange Preferred Securities for Common, Increasing Tangible Common Equity to as Much as \$81 Billion, Retrieved January 25th 2010, February 27th 2009, http://www.citigroup.com/citi/press/2009/090227a.htm.

Citigroup Inc. (2010): Australian Banks – Bank Earnings in a Basel III World, March 2nd, 2010.

Contingency Analysis (2010): Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. Risk Glossary, Retrieved February 25th 2010, http://www.riskglossary.com/link/basle_committee.htm.

Covitz, Daniel, Liang, Nellie and Suarez, Gustavo (2009): The Evolution of a Financial Crisis: Panic in the Asset-Backed Commercial Paper Market, Finance and Economics Disussion Series: 2009-36, August 18, 2009.

Danielsson, Jon, Embrechts, Paul, Goodhart, Charles, Keating, Con, Muennich, Felix, Renault, Oliver, Song Shin, Hyun (2001): An Academic Response to Basel II. LSE Financial Markets Group, Special Paper No 130, 31th May 2001.

Dickson, David M. (2010):CBO report: Debt will rise to 90% of GDP, Retrieved August 17th, 2010.http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2010/mar/26/cbos-2020-vision-debt-will-rise-to-90-of-gdp/.

ECB (2010): Total number of MFIs and updates end-April 2010, Retrieved March 25th 2010, http://www.ecb.int/press/pr/date/2010/html/pr100120.en.html.

Estrella, Arturo, Park, Sangkyun and Peristiani, Stavros (2000): Capital Ratios as Predictors of Bank Failure, Economic Policy Review, Vol. 6, No. 2, July 2000, pp. 33-52.

Fama, Eugene F. (1969): Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work, The Journal of Finance, Vol. 25, No. 2, Dec. 1969, pp. 383-417.

FDIC (2009): Statistics on Depository Institutions Report, Retrieved May 16th 2010, 31st December 2009, http://www2.fdic.gov/SDI/main4.asp.

Financial Systems Department of the World Bank's FPD Vice Presidency (2009): Background Note: Banking and the Leverage Ratio, http://crisistalk.worldbank.org/files/Banking%20and%20the%20Leverage%20Ratio.p df.

Financial Services Authority (2009): The Turner Review – A regulatory response to the global banking crisis. March 2009.

Fuchs, Matthias (2009): Austria's International Investment Position in 2008, OeNB Special Issue in December 2009, 15th of December 2009.

Gilbert, Alton R., Stone, Courtenay C., Trebing, Michael E. (1985): The New Bank Capital Adequacy Standards. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review 67, No. 5, May 1985, pp. 12-20.

Goldstein, Morris (2008) The subprime and credit crisis. Paper based on transcript of speech presented at the Global Economic Prospects meeting; 3rd April 2008, Peterson Institute for International Economics.

Goodhart, Charles A.E. (2005): Financial regulation, Credit Risk and Financial Stability, National Institute Economic Review No. 192, April 2005, pp. 118-127.

Greenlaw, David, Hatzius, Jan, Kashyap, Anil K., Shin, Song Hyun (2008): Leveraged Losses: Lessons from the Mortgage Market Meltdown – Proceedings of the US Monetary Policy Forum 2008.

Hicks, John (1962): Liquidity, in: The Economic Journal, Vol. 72, No. 288, p. 787-802. Hildebrand, Philipp M. (2008): Is Basel II Enough? The Benefits of a Leverage Ratio, Financial Markets Group Lecture, London School of Economics, December15th, 2008.

Iannotta, Giuliano (2010): Investment Banking – A Guide to Underwriting and Advisory Services, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.

IMF (2009): Lessons of the Financial Crisis for Future Regulation of Financial Institutions and Markets and for Liquidity Management, Monetary and Capital Markets Department, February 4th, 2009.

Jackson, James K. (2009): The Financial Crisis: Impact on and Response by the European Union, Congressional Research Service, 24th June 2009.

J.P. Morgan (2010): Global Banks – Too Big to Fail?, Europe Equity Research, February 17th 2010.

Jiménez, Gabriel and Saurina, Jesús (2006): Credit Cycles, Credit Risk, and Prudential Regulation, in: International Journal of Central Banking, Vol. 2, No. 2, June 2006.

Kacperczyk, Marcin and Schnabl, Philipp (2009): When Safe Proved Risky: Commercial Paper During the Financial Crisis of 2007-2009. Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol. 24, No. 1, November 2009. Kashyap, Antil K., Stein, Jeremy C. (2004): Cyclical Implications of the Basel II Capital Standards, Economic Perspectives, Vol. 28, 2004, pp. 18-31.

Katz, Alan and Katz, Ian (2008): Greenspan Slept as Off-Books Debt Escaped Scrutiny, Retrieved May 27th 2010, http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=20601109&sid=aYJZOB_gZi0I, 30th October 2008.

Keynes, J. Maynard (1936): The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money, Macimilan, New York.

Malkiel, Burton G. (2003): The Efficient Market Hypothesis and Its Critics, CEPS Working Paper Mo. 91, April 2003.

Mishkin, Frederic S. (2007): The Economics of Money, Banking, And Financial Markets, 8th International edition, Addison-Wesley Longman, Amsterdam.

Mizuho Holdings (2000): Establishment of Mizuho Holdings, Inc., Retrieved January 25th 2010, http://www.mizuho-

fg.co.jp/english/company/info/html/20000929release_eng.html.

Morris, Stephen and Shin, Song Hyun (2008): Financial Regulation in a System Context, Brookings Papers on economic activity – 7th September 2008, Conference Draft.

OeNB (2010a): Wie hat sich Österreichs Kapitalmarkt entwickelt?, Retrieved April 1st 2010,

http://www.oenb.at/de/ueber_die_oenb/wirtschaft/das_handbuch_der_oenb/finanzwe sen_und_banken/oesterreichs_kapitalmarkt/wie_hat_sich_oesterreichs_kapitalmarkt __entwickelt_.jsp.

OeNB (2010b): Anzahl der Kreditinstitute nach Sektoren, Retrieved March 25th 2010, http://www.oenb.at/isaweb/report.do?report=3.1.1#optionen. OeNB (2010c): Number of Banks by Sectors, Retrieved March 25th 2010, http://www.oenb.at/isaweb/report.do?lang=EN&report=3.1.2.

Olivares-Caminal, Rodrigo (2010): Sovereign debt defaults: Paradigms and challenges, Journal of Banking Regulation, No. 2, Vol. 11, pp. 91-94.

Peltzman, Sam (1965): Entry in Commercial Banking, The Journal of Law and Economics, Vol. 8, Oct. 1965, pp. 11-50.

Reinhart, Carmen M. and Rogoff, Kenneth S. (2008): This Time is Different: A Panoramic View of Eight Centuries of Financial Crisis, NBER Working Paper 16th April 2008.

Schnabler, Thomas (2007): The supervisory reporting system of the Austrian banking industry in response to Basel II,

http://www.oenb.at/en/img/the_supervisory_reporting_system_tcm16-58308.pdf.

Schwarcz, Steven L (2007): Protecting Financial Markets: Lessons from the Subprime Mortgage Meltdown, Research Paper No. 175 Duke Law School Legal Studies, Nov. 2007.

Shin, Song Hyun (2008): Reflections on Modern Bank Runs: A Case Study of Northern Rock, in: Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol. 23, No. 1, August 2008, pp. 101-119.

Slater, Steve (2010): ANALYSIS – Europe's banks face harsh reality of Basel III jolt, Retrieved February 3rd 2010, February 2nd 2010, http://in.reuters.com/article/businessNews/idINIndia-45850920100202.

SNB (2009): Financial Stability Report, 2009.

Solomon, Deborah (2009): New Preferred Shares to Bolster Balance Sheets, retrieved January 25th, 2010, 8th May 2009, http://online.wsj.com/article/SB124165234893993679.html.

89

Wiebe, Frank (2010): Glass-Steagall-Act von 1933, Retrieved January 21st 2010, 21st January 2010, http://www.handelsblatt.com/politik/international/obamas-vorbild-glass-steagall-act-von-1933.

Figures, Equations and Tables

Figures

Figure 1: The three pillars of Basel II	16
Figure 2: Northern Rock's Leverage	34
Figure 3: Total Assets versus Number of banks (incl. branch offices) between 1995	,
and 2008	41
Figure 4: Number of US Commercial Banks (FDIC-Insured) 1896-2009	44
Figure 5: Total Financial Assets of Financial Intermediaries as % of commercial	
banks Total Assets	46
Figure 6: Asset-Backed Commercial Papers outstanding	49
Figure 7: Aftermath of Run: Composition of Liabilites (in million £)	50
Figure 8: Spreads (Overnight AA Asset-backed Commercial Paper Interest Rate to	
Effective Federal Funds Rate) of	
Overnight Asset-Backed Commercial Papers	50
Figure 9: Total derivatives outstanding 1995-2008 (in USD bn)	52
Figure 10: The liquidity index from 01-01-1997 to 30-06-2009 (monthly basis)	54
Figure 11: Development of the S&P 500 and the VIX Index normalized from	
Jan 1997 to Jan 2009	55
Figure 12: 10-year sovereign spreads (Greece, Spain, Ireland, Italy, Portugal to	
Germany as a benchmark) (01-01-2008 to 21-04-2010)	58
Figure 13: 5y CDS Spreads Austria, Greece, Ireland, Portugal	
(01-01-2008 to 23-04-2010)	59
Figure 14: Sovereign External Debt 1800-2006 (includes 60 countries)	60
Figure 15: Total Assets for Top 25 Banks in US/Canada and Europe versus GDP	~ .
in 2001 and 2008	64
Figure 16: Linear Regression of Asset to Leverage Growth of Top 5	~~
European Banks (Q4/2005-Q4/2009)	68
Figure 17: Linear Regression of Leverage to Asset Growth of all Top 5 Banks	
(Q4/2005-Q4/2009)	69
Figure 18: Reported Tier 1 Ratio & Capital Adequacy Ratio (Q4/2005-Q4/2009)	70
Figure 19: Spread between Tier 1 Ratio and Core Tier 1 Ratio (Q4/2005-Q4/2009)	71
Figure 20: Leverage Ratio 1 including and excluding Minority Interests	
(Q4/2005-Q4/2009)	72
Figure 21: Leverage Ratio 2 versus Leverage Ratio 3 (Q4/2005-Q4/2009)	72
Figure 22: Reported Tier 1 Ratio versus Leverage Ratio 2 (Q4/2005-Q4/2009)	73
Figure 23: Reported CAR versus Leverage Ratio 3 (Q4/2005-Q4/2009)	74
Figure 24: Leverage Ratio 1 versus Return on Equity (Q4/2005-Q4/2009)	74

Equations

Equation 1: Total Capital Ratio (incl. market and operational risk).	17
Equation 2: Core Tier 1 Capital ratio	17
Equation 3: Tier 1 capital ratio	17
Equation 4: Minimum Common equity ratio	37
Equation 5: Minimum Tier 1 capital ratio	37
Equation 6: Minimum Total Capital ratio	37
Equation 7: Common equity ratio under Basel III	38
Equation 8: Tier 1 ratio under Basel III	38
Equation 9: Total capital ratio under Basel III	38
Equation 10: Leverage ratio 1	38
Equation 11: Leverage ratio 2	38
Equation 12: Leverage ratio 3	38

Tables

Table 1: The development of the Basle Accord between 2006 and 2010 with	
focus on the first Pillar (Capital Adequacy Ratios)	25
Table 2: Summary of the baseline proposal for a leverage ratio	29
Table 3: Basel II versus Basel III capital base	30
Table 4: Deductions form Tier 1 capital (Basel II versus Basel III)	31
Table 5: Total Assets (in € bn) of 1995 and 2008	41
Table 6: Global Banks, sorted by Total Assets year 2008	62
Table 7: Global Banks, sorted by Total Assets year 1990	63
Table 8: Top 6 Austrian banks, sorted by Total Assets in the year 2009	64

Appendix

Month	Year	ltem
December	1987	Consultative Document
		(Proposals for International Convergence of capital measurement and standards)
July	1988	Basel I – Basel Capital Accord
November	1991	Amendment of the Basel capital accord
		(Inclusion of general provisions/general loan-loss reserves in capital)
End	1992	Entry into force of Basel I
April	1993	Supervisory Treatment of Market Risks
July	1994	Amendment to the Basel Capital Accord of July 1988
		(Redefinition for the risk-weighting applicable to banks' claims)
December	1994	Amendment to the Basel Capital Accord of July 1988
		(Recognition of Collateral)
April	1998	Amendment to the Basel Capital Accord of July 1988
		(Reduction of risk-weight for claims on regulated securities firms)
April	1999a	BCBS Working Paper No 1
		(Capital Requirements and Bank Behaviour: The Impact of the Basel Accord)
June	1999b	BCBS Working Paper No 2
June	1999b	First consultative paper on revising the Capital Accord (Basel II)
January	2001	Second consultative paper on revising the Capital Accord (Basel II)
April	2003	Third consultative paper on revising the Capital Accord (Basel II)
August	2003	BCBS Working Paper No 12
		(Markets for Bank Subordinated Debt and Equity in Member Countries)
April	2004	BCBS Working Paper No 13
		(Bank Failures in Mature Economies)
November	2005	Amendment to the Basel Capital Accord of July 1988
		(Incorporation of market risk) (as of January 1996 and September 1997)
June	2006	Basel II – International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital
		Stanuarus: A Revised Framework – Comprehensive Version
		Application of Basel II Trading Activities & Double Default Effect of April 2005)
End	2006	Entry into force of Basel II

Appendix 1: Basel II Development in Detail

Source: The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision

Appendix 2: Austrian Banking Sector

	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Number of banks	5727	5713	5686	5547	5527	5479	5453
Total Assets in € bn	391	412	434	479	523	562	583
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Number of banks	5368	5297	5242	5197	5150	5156	5118
Total Assets in € bn	572	603	649	718	787	886	1.047

Appendix 3: US Commercial Banks in History

1000	# of banks	Total Assets in \$bn			
1896	11.474	6.167			
1897	11.438	6.475			
1898	11.530	7.170			
1899	11.835	8.489			
1900	12.427	9.059			
1901	13.424	10.572			
1902	14.488	11.427			
1903	15.814	12.190			
1904	17.037	13.035			
1905	18.152	14.542			
1906	19.786	15.601			
1907	21.361	16.862			
1908	22.531	16.664			
1909	23.098	18.145			
1910	24.514	19.324			
1911	25.183	20.320			
1912	25.844	21.495			
1913	26.664	22.056			
1914	25.510	23.155			
1915	25.875	24.106			
1916	26.217	28.217			
1917	26.831	32.802			
1918	27.457	36.352			
1919	27.859	42.462			
1920	29.087	47.509			
1921	29.788	43.669			
1922	29.458	44.106			
1923	28.877	47.332			
1924	28.185	50.136			
1925	27.638	54.401			
1926	26.751	56,781			
1927	25.800	58.973			
1928	24,968	61.563			
1929	24.026	62.442			
1930	22 172	64 125	1983	14 469	2 342 101
1931	19 375	59 017	1984	14,496	2.508 871
1932	17 802	46.304	1985	14 417	2 730 672
1933	14 440	40 511	1986	14 210	2 940 699
1934	14 146	46 448	1987	13 723	2 999 949
1935	14 125	50.026	1988	13 137	3 130 706
1000	14.123	50.920	1300	10.107	0.100.790

1936	13.973	56.210	1989	12.715	3.299.362
1937	13.797	54.212	1990	12.347	3.389.490
1938	13.661	56.800	1991	11.927	3.430.682
1939	13.538	63.147	1992	11.467	3.506.171
1940	13.442	70.720	1993	10.961	3.707.088
1941	13.431	76.827	1994	10.453	4.012.107
1942	13.347	95.459	1995	9.943	4.315.175
1943	13.274	112.246	1996	9.530	4.582.165
1944	13.268	134.613	1997	9.144	5.018.532
1945	13.302	157.582	1998	8.777	5.442.604
1946	13.359	147.365	1999	8.582	5.735.135
1947	13.403	152.773	2000	8.315	6.245.560
1948	13.419	152.163	2001	8.082	6.552.294
1949	13.436	155.319	2002	7.888	7.076.912
1950	13.446	166.792	2003	7.770	7.601.545
1951	13.455	177.449	2004	7.631	8.415.615
1952	13.439	186.682	2005	7.526	9.040.294
1953	13.432	191.062	2006	7.401	10.091.541
1954	13.323	200.589	2007	7.283	11.176.051
1955	13.237	209.145	2008	7.086	12.308.857
1956	13.218	216.146	2009	6.839	11.846.114
1957	13.165	221.534			
1958	13.124	237.474			
1959	13.114	243.422			
1960	13.126	256.322			
1961	13.115	277.374			
1962	13.124	295.983			
1963	13.291	311.790			
1964	13.493	345.130			
1965	13.544	375.394			
1966	13.538	402.899			
1967	13.514	450.647			
1968	13.487	500.160			
1969	13.473	524.645			
1970	13.511	570.158			
1971	13.612	633.573			
1972	13.733	730.902			
1973	13.976	824.960			
1974	14.230	1.037.197			
1975	14.384	1.086.674			
1976	14.410	1.182.412			
1977	14.411	1.339.376			
1978	14.391	1.507.936			
1979	14.364	1.691.789			
1980	14.434	1.855.687			
1981	14.414	2.028.982			
1982	14.451	2,193,339			

Appendix 4: US Asset-backed Commercial Paper Outstanding

	Asset-backed Commercial Paper Outstanding				
Unit:	Currency				
Multiplier:	1000000				
Currency:	USD				
Unique Identifier:	CP/OUTST/				
-	DTBSPCKA_N.WW				
Time Period	NSA				
2007-01-01	1.122.309				
2007-01-10	1.119.006				
2007-01-17	1.102.012				
2007-01-24	1.097.524				
2007-01-31	1.088.758				
2007-02-07	1.095.575				
2007-02-14	1.107.033				
2007-02-21	1.100.377				
2007-02-28	1.094.437				
2007-03-07	1.099.810				
2007-03-14	1.105.178				
2007-03-21	1.097.843				
2007-03-28	1.102.374				
2007-04-04	1.103.111				
2007-04-11	1.113.277				
2007-04-18	1.097.692				
2007-04-25	1.108.422				
2007-05-02	1.128.105				
2007-05-09	1.143.204				
2007-05-16	1.150.712				
2007-05-23	1.142.159				
2007-05-30	1.144.758				
2007-06-06	1.164.958				
2007-06-13	1.167.613				
2007-06-20	1.166.009				
2007-06-27	1.173.194				
2007-07-04	1.178.807				
2007-07-11	1.188.699				
2007-07-18	1.180.510				
2007-07-25	1.190.747				
2007-08-01	1.201.396				
2007-08-08	1.213.717				
2007-08-15	1.163.827				
2007-08-22	1.091.767				
2007-08-29	1.013.950				
2007-09-05	988.215				
2007-09-12	974.042				
2007-09-19	958.340				
2007-09-26	953.990				
2007-10-03	956.765				
2007-10-10	951.455				
2007-10-17	929.795				
2007-10-24	928.900				
2007-10-31	920.905				

2007-11-07	904.798
2007-11-14	899.630
2007-11-21	874.294
2007-11-28	866.176
2007-12-05	857.361
2007-12-12	855.481
2007-12-31	832.916
2007-12-26	831.770

Appendix 6: Spreads of Overnight Asset-Backed Commercial Papers (AA rated)

date	Spreads				
2007-01-01	0,17				
2007-01-02	0,04				
2007-01-03	0,00				
2007-01-04	0,03				
2007-01-05	0,05				
2007-01-08	0,04				
2007-01-09	0,01				
2007-01-10	-0,01				
2007-01-11	-0,02				
2007-01-12	0,04				
2007-01-15	0,04				
2007-01-16	-0,02				
2007-01-17	-0,01				
2007-01-18	0,02				
2007-01-19	0,00				
2007-01-22	-0,01				
2007-01-23	-0,01				
2007-01-24	-0,01	2007-04-12	0,05	2007-06-29	-0,01
2007-01-25	-0,05	2007-04-13	0,07	2007-07-02	-0,01
2007-01-26	-0,01	2007-04-16	-0,03	2007-07-03	0,05
2007-01-29	0,03	2007-04-17	0,04	2007-07-04	0,04
2007-01-30	0,03	2007-04-18	0,05	2007-07-05	0,03
2007-01-31	-0,07	2007-04-19	0,03	2007-07-06	0,04
2007-02-01	-0,03	2007-04-20	0,00	2007-07-09	0,04
2007-02-02	0,02	2007-04-23	0,03	2007-07-10	0,03
2007-02-05	-0,01	2007-04-24	0,06	2007-07-11	0,03
2007-02-06	0,02	2007-04-25	0,08	2007-07-12	0,07
2007-02-07	0,03	2007-04-26	0,08	2007-07-13	0,02
2007-02-08	0,01	2007-04-27	0,07	2007-07-16	-0,05
2007-02-09	0,01	2007-04-30	-0,03	2007-07-17	-0,01
2007-02-12	-0,01	2007-05-01	0,00	2007-07-18	0,01
2007-02-13	0,06	2007-05-02	0,05	2007-07-19	0,03
2007-02-14	0,00	2007-05-03	0,03	2007-07-20	0,03
2007-02-15	-0,02	2007-05-04	0,02	2007-07-23	0,02
2007-02-16	0,06	2007-05-07	0,02	2007-07-24	0,08
2007-02-19	0,04	2007-05-08	0,04	2007-07-25	0,02
2007-02-20	-0,02	2007-05-09	0,04	2007-07-26	0,10
2007-02-21	0,02	2007-05-10	0,02	2007-07-27	0,14
2007-02-22	0,01	2007-05-11	0,05	2007-07-30	0,07
2007-02-23	0,05	2007-05-14	0,01	2007-07-31	0,08
2007-02-26	0,03	2007-05-15	-0,02	2007-08-01	0,04
2007-02-27	0,07	2007-05-16	0,02	2007-08-02	0,12
2007-02-28	-0,11	2007-05-17	0,02	2007-08-03	0,13
2007-03-01	-0,03	2007-05-18	0,02	2007-08-06	0,13

			i	1	i
2007-03-02	0,07	2007-05-21	0,02	2007-08-07	0,49
2007-03-05	0,00	2007-05-22	0,03	2007-08-08	0,87
2007-03-06	0,05	2007-05-23	0,02	2007-08-09	0,09
2007-03-07	0,03	2007-05-24	0,03	2007-08-10	0,78
2007-03-08	0,03	2007-05-25	0,01	2007-08-13	0,70
2007-03-09	0.03	2007-05-28	0.01	2007-08-14	1.26
2007-03-12	0.05	2007-05-29	0.04	2007-08-15	1.21
2007-03-13	0 11	2007-05-30	0.07	2007-08-16	0,99
2007-03-14	0,00	2007-05-31	0,00	2007-08-17	1.06
2007-03-15	-0.02	2007-06-01	0.04	2007-08-20	0.92
2007-03-16	0,02	2007-06-04	0,04	2007-08-21	1 00
2007-03-10	0,02	2007-06-05	0,00	2007-08-22	0.08
2007-03-19	0,01	2007-00-05	0,03	2007-00-22	0,30
2007-03-20	0,01	2007-00-00	0,03	2007-00-23	0,02
2007-03-21	0,00	2007-00-07	0,03	2007-00-24	0,01
2007-03-22	0,00	2007-06-06	0,02	2007-06-27	0,64
2007-03-23	0,03	2007-06-11	0,03	2007-08-28	0,64
2007-03-26	0,02	2007-06-12	0,06	2007-08-29	0,98
2007-03-27	0,07	2007-06-13	0,08	2007-08-30	0,98
2007-03-28	0,16	2007-06-14	0,00	2007-08-31	0,94
2007-03-29	0,05	2007-06-15	0,01	2007-09-03	0,92
2007-03-30	-0,02	2007-06-18	0,03	2007-09-04	0,61
2007-04-02	0,04	2007-06-19	0,07	2007-09-05	0,53
2007-04-03	0,09	2007-06-20	0,00	2007-09-06	0,69
2007-04-04	0,17	2007-06-21	0,03	2007-09-07	0,74
2007-04-05	0,03	2007-06-22	0,08	2007-09-10	0,47
2007-04-06	0,02	2007-06-25	0,05	2007-09-11	0,41
2007-04-09	0,03	2007-06-26	0,12	2007-09-12	0,27
2007-04-10	0,04	2007-06-27	0,15	2007-09-13	0,59
2007-04-11	0,05	2007-06-28	0,09	2007-09-14	0,22
2007-09-17	-0,22	2007-12-04	0,51		
2007-09-18	0,16	2007-12-05	0,62		
2007-09-19	0,33	2007-12-06	0,46		
2007-09-20	0,29	2007-12-07	0,41		
2007-09-21	0,32	2007-12-10	0,28		
2007-09-24	0,38	2007-12-11	0,41		
2007-09-25	0,50	2007-12-12	0,46		
2007-09-26	0,69	2007-12-13	0,51		
2007-09-27	0.30	2007-12-14	0.54		
2007-09-28	0.61	2007-12-17	0.44		
2007-10-01	0.22	2007-12-18	0.55		
2007-10-02	0.34	2007-12-19	0.78		
2007-10-03	0.42	2007-12-20	0.39		
2007-10-04	0.36	2007-12-21	0.48		
2007-10-05	0,36	2007-12-24	0,10		
2007-10-08	0.38	2007-12-25	0.87		
2007-10-09	0,00	2007-12-26	0,57		
2007-10-09	0,20	2007-12-20	0,00		
2007-10-10	0,37	2007-12-27	0,09		
2007-10-11	0,39				
2007-10-12	0,33				
2007-10-15	0,24				
2007-10-16	0,31				
2007-10-17	0,26				
2007-10-18	0,26				
2007-10-19	0,18				
2007-10-22	0,22				
2007-10-23	0,24				

2007-10-24	0,19
2007-10-25	0,09
2007-10-26	0,23
2007-10-29	0,19
2007-10-30	-0,02
2007-10-31	0,17
2007-11-01	0,23
2007-11-02	0,50
2007-11-05	0,50
2007-11-06	0,57
2007-11-07	0,39
2007-11-08	0,20
2007-11-09	0,36
2007-11-12	0,50
2007-11-13	0,49
2007-11-14	0,32
2007-11-15	0,40
2007-11-16	0,45
2007-11-19	0,45
2007-11-20	0,45
2007-11-21	0,50
2007-11-22	0,52
2007-11-23	0,46
2007-11-26	0,41
2007-11-27	0,62
2007-11-28	0,53
2007-11-29	0,45
2007-11-30	0,33
2007-12-03	0,48

Appendix 7: ISDA Market Survey, Notional amounts outstanding at year-end, all surveyed contracts, 1987-present

	Total IR and currency outstandings	Total credit default swap outstandings	Total equity derivative outstandings
1987	\$ 865,60		
1988	1.654,30		
1989	2.474,70		
1990	3.450,30		
1991	4.449,50		
1992	5.345,70		
1993	8.474,50		
1994	11.303,20		
1995	17.712,60		
1996	25.453,10		
1997	29.035,00		

1			
1998	50.997,00		
1999	58.265,00		
2000	63.009,00		
2001	69.207,30	918,87	
2002	101.318,49	2.191,57	2.455,29
2003	142.306,92	3.779,40	3.444,08
2004	183.583,27	8.422,26	4.151,29
2005	213.194,58	17.096,14	5.553,97
2006	285.728,14	34.422,80	7.178,48
2007	382.302,71	62.173,20	9.995,71
2008	403.072,81	38.563,82	8.733,03

Appendix 8: The liquidity index from 01-01-1997 to 30-06-2009 (monthly basis) (This data is released in April and October in the Bank of England's Financial Stability Report. Simple, unweighted mean of the liquidity measures, normalised on the period 1999-2004. Data shown are an exponentially weighted moving average. The indicator is more reliable after 1997 as it is based on a greater number of underlying measures. Liquidity measures incorporate bis-ask spreads, return to volume ratio, and the Liquidity premia. This single index summarises all these measures. The index combines three key market measures -- the gaps between bid and offer prices on bonds, currencies and stocks, the ratio of market returns to trading volumes, and spreads in the credit market.)

UKBOEFML	
Index	
Period	PX_LAST
01.01.1997	-0,411
31.01.1997	-0,015
28.02.1997	0,081
31.03.1997	0,093
30.04.1997	0,152
30.05.1997	0,117
30.06.1997	0,103
31.07.1997	0,102
29.08.1997	0,042
30.09.1997	-0,067
31.10.1997	-0,255
28.11.1997	-0,365
31.12.1997	-0,488
30.01.1998	-0,082
27.02.1998	0,061
31.03.1998	0,085
30.04.1998	-0,025
29.05.1998	0,025
30.06.1998	-0,151
31.07.1998	-0,158
31.08.1998	-0,303
30.09.1998	-0,557
30.10.1998	-0,916
30.11.1998	-0,845
31.12.1998	-0,957
29.01.1999	-0,584
26.02.1999	-0.428

31.03.1999	-0,308
30.04.1999	-0,263
31.05.1999	-0,276
30.06.1999	-0,426
30.07.1999	-0,36
31.08.1999	-0,373
30.09.1999	-0,491
29.10.1999	-0,912
30.11.1999	-0,778
31.12.1999	-0,749
31.01.2000	-0,456
29.02.2000	-0,307
31.03.2000	-0,22
28.04.2000	-0,325
31.05.2000	-0,555
30.06.2000	-0,609
31.07.2000	-0,569
31.08.2000	-0,528
29.09.2000	-0,568
31.10.2000	-0,537
30.11.2000	-0.547
29.12.2000	-0,705
31.01.2001	-0.367
28.02.2001	-0,243
30.03.2001	-0,255
30.04.2001	-0.266
31.05.2001	-0.212
29.06.2001	-0.336
31.07.2001	-0.166
31.08.2001	-0.056
28.09.2001	-0,115
31.10.2001	-0.112
30.11.2001	-0,143
31.12.2001	-0.328
31.01.2002	-0,149
28.02.2002	-0.088
29.03.2002	-0.063
30.04.2002	0.059
31.05.2002	0.096
28.06.2002	0.234
31.07.2002	0.338
30.08.2002	0.259
30.09.2002	0.277
31,10,2002	0.252
29.11.2002	0.14
31.12 2002	0 127
31.01 2003	0 233
28.02 2003	0 279
31.03 2003	0 291
30.04 2003	0 283
30.05 2003	0.311
30.06.2003	0.213
	≤,

31.07.2003	0,358
29.08.2003	0,471
30.09.2003	0,486
31.10.2003	0,524
28.11.2003	0,58
31.12.2003	0,518
30.01.2004	0,582
27.02.2004	0,645
31.03.2004	0,619
30.04.2004	0,629
31.05.2004	0,65
30.06.2004	0,616
30.07.2004	0,598
31.08.2004	0,551
30.09.2004	0,634
29.10.2004	0,702
30.11.2004	0,718
31.12.2004	0,668
31.01.2005	0,759
28.02.2005	0,8
31.03.2005	0,816
29.04.2005	0,688
31.05.2005	0,619
30.06.2005	0,589
29.07.2005	0,629
31.08.2005	0,69
30.09.2005	0,657
31.10.2005	0,689
30.11.2005	0,646
30.12.2005	0,604
31.01.2006	0,755
28.02.2006	0,821
31.03.2006	0,769
28.04.2006	0,75
31.05.2006	0,666
30.06.2006	0,661
31.07.2006	0,623
31.08.2006	0,7
29.09.2006	0,693
31.10.2006	0,773
30.11.2006	0,742
29.12.2006	0,717
31.01.2007	0,861
28.02.2007	0,86
30.03.2007	0,888
30.04.2007	0,822
31.05.2007	0,803
29.06.2007	0,724
31.07.2007	0,701
31.08.2007	-0,027
28.09.2007	-0,248
31.10.2007	-0,006

30.11.2007	-0,256
31.12.2007	-0,609
31.01.2008	-0,188
29.02.2008	-0,253
31.03.2008	-0,886
30.04.2008	-1,101
30.05.2008	-0,91
30.06.2008	-0,67
31.07.2008	-0,598
29.08.2008	-0,748
30.09.2008	-1,295
31.10.2008	-2,962
28.11.2008	-3,638
31.12.2008	-3,905
30.01.2009	-3,538
27.02.2009	-3,511
31.03.2009	-4,02
30.04.2009	-4,198
29.05.2009	-3,549
30.06.2009	-3,219

Appendix 9: Development of the S&P 500 and the VIX Index (normalized) from Jan 1997 to Jan 2009

The Chicago Board Options Exchange SPX Volatility Index UKBOEFML Index

Period	PX_LAST		PX_	LAST
31.01.1996	-0,174	31.10.2002		0,252
29.02.1996	-0,123	29.11.2002		0,14
29.03.1996	-0,157	31.12.2002		0,127
30.04.1996	-0,132	31.01.2003		0,233
31.05.1996	-0,206	28.02.2003		0,279
20.00.1990	-0,203	30.04.2003		0,291
30.08.1996	-0,225	30.04.2003		0,203
30.09.1996	-0.347	30.06.2003		0.213
31.10.1996	-0,211	31.07.2003		0,358
29.11.1996	-0,271	29.08.2003		0,471
01.01.1997	-0,411	30.09.2003		0,486
31.01.1997	-0,015	31.10.2003		0,524
28.02.1997	0,081	28.11.2003		0,58
31.03.1997	0,093	31.12.2003		0,518
30.04.1997	0,152	30.01.2004		0,582
30.05.1997	0,117	21.02.2004		0,645
31 07 1997	0,103	30.04.2004		0,679
29.08.1997	0.042	31.05.2004		0.65
30.09.1997	-0,067	30.06.2004		0,616
31.10.1997	-0,255	30.07.2004		0,598
28.11.1997	-0,365	31.08.2004		0,551
31.12.1997	-0,488	30.09.2004		0,634
30.01.1998	-0,082	29.10.2004		0,702
27.02.1998	0,061	30.11.2004		0,718
31.03.1998	0,085	31.12.2004		0,668
20.04.1998	-0,025	31.01.2005		0,759
30.06.1998	-0.151	20.02.2005		0,8
31.07.1998	-0.158	29.04.2005		0.688
31.08.1998	-0,303	31.05.2005		0,619
30.09.1998	-0,557	30.06.2005		0,589
30.10.1998	-0,916	29.07.2005		0,629
30.11.1998	-0,845	31.08.2005		0,69
31.12.1998	-0,957	30.09.2005		0,657
29.01.1999	-0,584	31.10.2005		0,689
26.02.1999	-0,428	30.11.2005		0,646
31.03.1999	-0,308	30.12.2005		0,604
31 05 1999	-0,203	28 02 2006		0,733
30.06.1999	-0.426	31.03.2006		0.769
30.07.1999	-0,36	28.04.2006		0,75
31.08.1999	-0,373	31.05.2006		0,666
30.09.1999	-0,491	30.06.2006		0,661
29.10.1999	-0,912	31.07.2006		0,623
30.11.1999	-0,778	31.08.2006		0,7
31.12.1999	-0,749	29.09.2006		0,693
31.01.2000	-0,456	31.10.2006		0,773
29.02.2000	-0,307	29 12 2006		0,742
28.04.2000	-0.325	31.01.2007		0.861
31.05.2000	-0,555	28.02.2007		0,86
30.06.2000	-0,609	30.03.2007		0,888
31.07.2000	-0,569	30.04.2007		0,822
31.08.2000	-0,528	31.05.2007		0,803
29.09.2000	-0,568	29.06.2007		0,724
31.10.2000	-0,537	31.07.2007		0,701
30.11.2000	-0,547	31.08.2007		-0,027
31 01 2001	-0,705	20.09.2007		-0,240 -0.006
28.02.2001	-0.243	30.11.2007		-0.256
30.03.2001	-0.255	31.12.2007		-0.609
30.04.2001	-0,266	31.01.2008		-0,188
31.05.2001	-0,212	29.02.2008		-0,253
29.06.2001	-0,336	31.03.2008		-0,886
31.07.2001	-0,166	30.04.2008		-1,101
31.08.2001	-0,056	30.05.2008		-0,91
28.09.2001	-0,115	30.06.2008		-0,67
30 11 2001	-0,112	20 09 2009		-0,598
30.11.2001	-0,143	29.00.2008		-0,740

VIX Index Date	PX LAST	disc. Return	normalized			
01.01.1997	20,92	0,01051625	100			
02.01.1997	21,14	-0,09508042	90,4919584			
03.01.1997	19,13	0	90,4919584			
04.01.1997	19,13	0	90,4919584			
05.01.1997	19,13	0,03972818	94,0870388			
06.01.1997	19,89	-0,02714932	91,5326395			
07.01.1997	19,35	0,04599483	95,7426679			
08.01.1997	20,24	0,03310277	98,9120151			
09.01.1997	20,91	-0,06121473	92,8571429			
10.01.1997	19,63	0	92,8571429			
12 01 1007	19,03	0 01060701	92,057 1429			
13 01 1997	19,00	-0.02872984	91 15421			
14.01.1997	19,27	0.00674624	91,769158			
15.01.1997	19,4	0,01082474	92,7625355			
16.01.1997	19,61	-0,0499745	88,1267739			
17.01.1997	18,63	0	88,1267739			
18.01.1997	18,63	0	88,1267739			
19.01.1997	18,63	-0,00161031	87,9848628			
20.01.1997	18,6	-0,04247312	84,2478713			
21.01.1997	17,81	-0,04042673	80,8420057			
22.01.1997	17,09	0,08074898	87,3699149			
23.01.1997	18,47	0,04656199	91,4380322			
24.01.1997	19,33	0	91,4380322			
25.01.1997	19,33	0 04203844	91,4300322			
27 01 1997	20.16	0,04293044	98 1078524			
28.01.1997	20,74	-0.02459016	95,6953642			
29.01.1997	20.23	-0.03756797	92.1002838			
30.01.1997	19,47	0,06933744	98,4862819			
31.01.1997	20,82	0	98,4862819			
01.02.1997	20,82	0	98,4862819			
02.02.1997	20,82	-0,05955812	92,6206244			
03.02.1997	19,58	-0,00766088	91,9110691			
04.02.1997	19,43	0,08389089	99,6215705			
05.02.1997	21,06	-0,04273504	95,3642384			
05.02.1997	20,16	-0,0625	89,4039735			
07.02.1997	10,9	0	89,4039735 80,4030735			
09.02.1997	18.9	0 09259259	97 6821192			
10.02.1997	20.65	-0.03292978	94.4654683			
11.02.1997	19,97	-0,02453681	92,1475875			
12.02.1997	19,48	-0,01283368	90,9649953			
13.02.1997	19,23	-0,0026001	90,7284768			
14.02.1997	19,18	0	90,7284768			
15.02.1997	19,18	0	90,7284768			
16.02.1997	19,18	0	90,7284768			
17.02.1997	19,18	0,02711157	93,1882687			
18.02.1997	19,7	0,04619289	97,4929044			
19.02.1997	20,61	0,03881611	101,2772			
20.02.1997	21,41	-0,04010013	97,2090823			
22 02 1997	20,55	0	97,2090023			
23.02.1997	20,55	-0.03454988	93.8505203			
24.02.1997	19,84	0,00705645	94,512772			
25.02.1997	19,98	0,03803804	98,1078524			
26.02.1997	20,74	0,01639344	99,7161779			
27.02.1997	21,08	0,00094877	99,8107852			
28.02.1997	21,1	0	99,8107852			
01.03.1997	21,1	0	99,8107852			
02.03.1997	21,1	-0,00995261	98,8174078			
03.03.1997	20,89	-0,01292484	97,5402081			
04.03.1997	20,62	-0,05480116	92,1948912			
05.03.1997	19,49	-0.05664063	90,0779505			
07.03 1997	19 32	0,0000 4 003 N	91.3907285			
08.03.1997	19.32	0	91.3907285			
09.03.1997	19.32	-0,01656315	89,8770104			
10.03.1997	19	0,01315789	91,0596026			
11.03.1997	19,25	0,0187013	92,7625355			
12.03.1997	19,61	0,00917899	93,6140019			
13.03.1997	19,79	0,00101061	93,7086093			
14.03.1997	19,81	0	93,7086093			
	BFV EUR Germany	BFV EUR Greece	BFV EUR Spain	BFV EUR Ireland	BFV EUR Italy	BFV EUR Portugal
------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------
	Sovereign 10 Year					
	C91010Y Index	C90410Y Index	C90210Y Index	C91810Y Index	C90510Y Index	C91710Y Index
Date	PX_LAST	PX_LAST	PX_LAST	PX_LAST	PX_LAST	PX_LAST
31.12.2007	4,3317	4,6662	4,4434	4,4277	4,5829	4,5555
01.01.2008	4,3309	4,6656	4,4431	4,4284	4,58	4,5557
02.01.2008	4,2021	4,5257	4,3093	4,3165	4,4604	4,4347
03.01.2008	4,1857	4,5192	4,319	4,3187	4,4432	4,4367
04.01.2008	4,1273	4,4613	4,2794	4,2399	4,3947	4,3966
07.01.2008	4,1196	4,4541	4,2834	4,326	4,4028	4,3954
08.01.2008	4,1477	4,4999	4,3166	4,3521	4,4256	4,4238
09.01.2008	4,0838	4,4559	4,2805	4,2859	4,375	4,3752
10.01.2008	4,084	4,4652	4,2936	4,2381	4,3977	4,3875
11.01.2008	4,0821	4,4568	4,2915	4,2444	4,3933	4,3798
14.01.2008	4,0496	4,4196	4,2523	4,1984	4,3447	4,3331
15.01.2008	4,0197	4,3886	4,2277	4,1875	4,3178	4,3085
16.01.2008	3,9739	4,3435	4,1935	4,149	4,2766	4,2674
17.01.2008	3,9671	4,3264	4,182	4,1523	4,2602	4,2516
18.01.2008	3.9682	4.3243	4.1774	4,1427	4,2599	4.2507
21.01.2008	3.9128	4.2706	4,1359	4.08	4,2121	4.2034
22.01.2008	3,989	4,3926	4,2225	4,2058	4,3063	4,2907
23.01.2008	3.8864	4,3113	4,1284	4,0946	4,2201	4,1969
24 01 2008	3,9954	4 416	4 2351	4 2441	4 3369	4 3111
25 01 2008	3 975	4 4233	4 2179	4 2161	4 3184	4 3031
28.01 2008	3 9461	4 378	4 1885	4 1671	4 2671	4 2621
29 01 2008	3,0401	4 4154	4 2270	4 2128	4 3107	4 3033
30 01 2008	4 0163	4,4134 A AA	4,2019	4 2336	4 3324	4,3032
31 01 2009	2 0103	44	4,2023	4,200	4,0024	4,0209
01 02 2008	3,323	4,0044	4,1775	4,1001	4,2401	4,2373
01.02.2008	3,910	4,315	4,1505	4,1000	4,2140	4,2132
04.02.2008	3,932	4,3313	4,1000	4,1224	4,2300	4,2209
05.02.2008	3,0404	4,230	4,0030	4,0271	4,1372	4,1404
00.02.2008	3,0934	4,2900	4,1300	4,0709	4,2093	4,199
07.02.2008	3,0770	4,2790	4,1152	4,0357	4,2111	4,1004
08.02.2008	3,8048	4,2074	4,0944	4,0355	4,1879	4,1038
11.02.2008	3,8000	4,2806	4,0966	4,0451	4,1979	4,1704
12.02.2008	3,9466	4,3802	4,1865	4,1671	4,2804	4,2591
13.02.2008	3,9584	4,3939	4,19	4,1522	4,2854	4,2041
14.02.2008	4,0099	4,4311	4,2243	4,202	4,3285	4,3121
15.02.2008	3,9609	4,4018	4,1752	4,2659	4,2988	4,2802
18.02.2008	4,1034	4,4577	4,2285	4,2144	4,3468	4,3325
19.02.2008	4,0425	4,4353	4,209	4,209	4,3311	4,3209
20.02.2008	4,0535	4,4728	4,2511	4,2427	4,3692	4,3602
21.02.2008	4,0434	4,4694	4,2464	4,2563	4,3644	4,3573
22.02.2008	4,0319	4,4712	4,2447	4,2457	4,3638	4,3569
25.02.2008	4,0656	4,5242	4,2881	4,2658	4,4156	4,3983
26.02.2008	4,1038	4,5595	4,3214	4,2958	4,4449	4,44
27.02.2008	4,0886	4,5708	4,3329	4,3125	4,4572	4,4519
28.02.2008	4,0011	4,4785	4,227	4,2179	4,3711	4,3652
29.02.2008	3,8918	4,3992	4,1251	4,1165	4,2719	4,272
03.03.2008	3,862	4,3/63	4,1139	4,1057	4,2697	4,2587
04.03.2008	3,8089	4,3671	4,1055	4,1039	4,2658	4,2529
05.03.2008	3,8647	4,4/13	4,1965	4,2289	4,3775	4,3539
06.03.2008	3,8138	4,454	4,15	4,1622	4,3504	4,3431
07.03.2008	3,793	4,5043	4,1815	4,1606	4,3709	4,361
10.03.2008	3,/37	4,4389	4,1258	4,1188	4,3233	4,3111
11.03.2008	3,7938	4,4778	4,1681	4,1341	4,3357	4,3298
12.03.2008	3,7751	4,4631	4,1465	4,1224	4,3078	4,3023
13.03.2008	3,7662	4,4893	4,1494	4,1356	4,3288	4,3059
14.03.2008	3,7419	4,4182	4,1215	4,1427	4,301	4,2824
17.03.2008	3,7028	4,4232	4,1021	4,1028	4,2875	4,2785
18.03.2008	3,7748	4,4635	4,166	4,1628	4,3208	4,327
19.03.2008	3,7189	4,3745	4,0829	4,1024	4,2733	4,2578
20.03.2008	3,7527	4,3975	4,1197	4,1654	4,2567	4,2672
21.03.2008	3,7628	4,4022	4,1258	4,179	4,2551	4,2792
24.03.2008	3,7625	4,4079	4,1263	4,1876	4,2505	4,2867
25.03.2008	3,8873	4,5349	4,2356	4,2547	4,3637	4,3784
26.03.2008	3,882	4,5003	4,2533	4,263	4,3717	4,3892
27.03.2008	3,9353	4,5437	4,2914	4,2833	4,4079	4,4224
28.03.2008	3,9532	4,5327	4,2847	4,2898	4,4075	4,4256
31.03.2008	3,9086	4,4952	4,2501	4,2564	4,3686	4,3818
01.04.2008	3,973	4,536	4,2909	4,3149	4,388	4,4148
02.04.2008	3,9914	4,5407	4,2886	4,3179	4,3861	4,4054
03.04.2008	3,9888	4,5474	4,3029	4,3464	4,404	4,4218
04.04.2008	3,9357	4,5076	4,2667	4,3184	4,3652	4,3815
07.04.2008	3,9681	4,5054	4,2754	4,2829	4,4253	4,3815

Appendix 10: 5y CDS Spreads Austria, Greece, Ireland, Portugal (01-01-2008 to 23-04-2010)

08.04.2008	3,9677	4,5202	4,2856	4,3081	4,4559	4,4041
09.04.2008	3,9553	4,5166	4,273	4,2908	4,4406	4,3958
10 04 2008	3 9327	4 5027	4 2543	4 276	4 4329	4 3919
11 04 2008	3,8669	4 4205	4 1772	4 2102	4 3529	4 3205
11.04.2000	3,0000	4,4295	4,1772	4,2102	4,3320	4,3203
14.04.2008	3,8674	4,4352	4,1782	4,1066	4,3784	4,3294
15.04.2008	3,9235	4,5004	4,2359	4,1656	4,4394	4,3909
16.04.2008	4,0003	4,5754	4,3116	4,3096	4,5139	4,4596
17.04.2008	4,0311	4,6002	4,3544	4,3738	4,5387	4,5015
18 04 2008	4 0808	4 6266	4 4054	4 4213	4 5551	4 5399
21 04 2000	4,0000	4,0200	4,7004	4,9210	4,5001	4,0000
21.04.2008	4,0654	4,539	4,3745	4,3012	4,5393	4,5155
22.04.2008	4,1387	4,6144	4,443	4,4158	4,5792	4,5895
23.04.2008	4,1393	4,6904	4,437	4,4908	4,5766	4,5899
24.04.2008	4,1711	4,7256	4,4744	4,5263	4,6062	4,6315
25 04 2008	4 1642	4 7098	4 4551	4 4802	4 5804	4 614
28 04 2008	4 19	4 7242	4 4714	4 4963	4 5977	4 6278
20.04.2000	4 10 A	4 701	4,414	4,450	4,0011	4,6270
29.04.2008	4,1343	4,701	4,4141	4,404	4,0424	4,5095
30.04.2008	4,1212	4,6684	4,4039	4,4366	4,5111	4,5447
01.05.2008	4,1158	4,6534	4,3947	4,4379	4,5118	4,5397
02.05.2008	4,1562	4,6719	4,4301	4,4555	4,5652	4,5541
05.05.2008	4.1187	4.6381	4.3919	4.416	4.5266	4.5175
06 05 2008	4 0996	4 5287	4 3648	4 3545	4 5134	4 4886
07.05.2000	4 1252	1,0201	4 206	1,0010	4 5242	4 5142
07.05.2008	4,1352	4,5559	4,390	4,3940	4,0040	4,3143
08.05.2008	4,027	4,4689	4,3026	4,3144	4,4717	4,4199
09.05.2008	3,9492	4,4292	4,2579	4,2591	4,4337	4,3816
12.05.2008	3,9656	4,5053	4,2802	4,268	4,4835	4,4511
13.05.2008	4.1194	4.5871	4.3674	4.3445	4.5325	4.5134
14.05 2008	4 1889	4 6526	4 4138	4 4224	4 5896	4 5691
15 05 2009	1,1000	1,0020	1,1100	1,1224	1,0000	1,0001
10.05.2000	4,2331	4,7000	4,4300	4,4341	4,0104	4,5059
16.05.2008	4,1947	4,6534	4,396	4,3865	4,571	4,5294
19.05.2008	4,2339	4,6979	4,4438	4,4535	4,6136	4,5748
20.05.2008	4,203	4,6763	4,4167	4,4177	4,5967	4,545
21.05.2008	4.2753	4.7351	4.4781	4.486	4.6604	4.6007
22 05 2008	4 3084	4 7555	4 509	4 5183	4 6402	4 6246
22.00.2000	4 275	4 7403	1,000	1,0100	4,6295	4,6222
23.03.2000	4,275	4,7403	4,4029	4,4900	4,0203	4,0222
26.05.2008	4,2685	4,7761	4,4912	4,5065	4,6485	4,6392
27.05.2008	4,2901	4,7973	4,5098	4,534	4,6744	4,6494
28.05.2008	4,3358	4,8341	4,5319	4,5787	4,7383	4,6704
29.05.2008	4,4169	4,9082	4,6128	4,6595	4,8256	4,7579
30.05.2008	4,3927	4,8876	4,5841	4,6267	4,7981	4,7331
02 06 2008	4 3343	4 8417	4 5359	4 5765	4 7575	4 6931
02.00.2000	4,4100	4,0417	4,0000	4,0700	4,7575	4,0501
03.00.2008	4,4133	4,9112	4,0204	4,0400	4,0209	4,703
04.06.2008	4,3704	4,8792	4,5794	4,6125	4,7868	4,7262
05.06.2008	4,4525	4,9549	4,6627	4,6954	4,861	4,7994
06.06.2008	4,3874	4,9239	4,6276	4,6509	4,8538	4,7713
09.06.2008	4.4479	5.0292	4,7271	4.7451	4.961	4.8631
10.06.2008	4 4787	5 0759	4 7496	4 7822	5 0004	4 8958
11.06.2000	4,4100	5,0105	4,7450	4,1022	5,0004	4,0000
11.00.2008	4,5100	5,0906	4,7009	4,0140	5,0007	4,9201
12.06.2008	4,5517	5,1425	4,8275	4,8624	5,0508	4,9664
13.06.2008	4,596	5,189	4,8689	4,9116	5,1067	5,0052
16.06.2008	4,5894	5,1884	4,854	4,9113	5,1044	4,9975
17.06.2008	4,5662	5,1665	4,8387	4,887	5,0747	4,9754
18.06.2008	4.5692	5.1507	4.8283	4.8848	5.0563	4.9646
19.06.2008	4 6286	5 2108	4 8773	4 9223	5 1045	5 0159
20.06.2000	4,0200	5,2100	4,0775	4,0220	5,1040	4 0707
20.00.2008	4,0778	5,1059	4,0290	4,0490	5,069	4,9/2/
23.00.2008	4,549	5,152	4,8158	4,8279	5,0626	4,9526
24.06.2008	4,5529	5,1851	4,8143	4,8413	5,0798	4,9611
25.06.2008	4,5648	5,1957	4,8255	4,891	5,0801	4,9706
26.06.2008	4,479	5,1248	4,7365	4,8051	5,0102	4,8867
27.06.2008	4.4787	5.1341	4.7503	4.8178	5.0361	4.9162
30.06.2008	4 5717	5 2251	4 8417	4 9269	5 1138	5 0119
01.07.2000	4,0111	5,2251	1,021	4,0200	5,0026	5,0113
02.07.2008	4,00	5,2159	4,034	4,9113	5,0920	5,0004
02.07.2008	4,6047	5,2525	4,8000	4,9405	5,1133	5,0244
03.07.2008	4,5121	5,161	4,784	4,8374	5,017	4,9391
04.07.2008	4,4586	5,1078	4,7255	4,7788	4,9614	4,8803
07.07.2008	4,3918	5,0625	4,6655	4,7194	4,9019	4,824
08.07.2008	4.3794	4,9854	4.6597	4,7148	4,8954	4.8404
09 07 2009	1,0104	1,0004	1,0007	1,7140	1,0004	/ 2210
10.07.2000	4,0133	4,0002	4,0020	4,000	4,0007	4,0010
10.07.2008	4,3042	4,9742	4,0406	4,0905	4,8733	4,8103
11.07.2008	4,3888	4,9923	4,6761	4,7164	4,8943	4,8153
14.07.2008	4,3641	4,963	4,6477	4,6957	4,8758	4,7918
15.07.2008	4,3511	4,9625	4,6511	4,6912	4,8879	4,7777
16.07.2008	4,3619	4,9761	4,6649	4,7032	4,8894	4,8023
17.07.2008	4 413	5 025	4 7212	4 752	4 9316	4 8557
18 07 2008	4 5367	5 1415	4 8423	4 8771	5 0413	4 0712
21 07 2000	4,0007	5,1415	4,0420	4 0067	5 0776	5,0112 5,0100
∠1.01.2000	4,00/4	5,1975	4,0980	4,9207	5,0776	5,0133

	22.07.2008	4,593	5,2039	4,8868	4,9201	5,0725	5,0179
	23.07.2008	4,6181	5,2202	4,8945	4,9296	5,0801	5,0243
	24 07 2008	4 5273	5 1394	4 8093	4 8293	5,0016	4 9417
	25.07.2008	4 5616	5 1695	4 8486	4 8601	5 0447	4 9784
	20.07.2000	4,5010	5,1095	4,0400	4,0091	3,0447	4,9704
	28.07.2008	4,4877	5,0919	4,7698	4,7961	4,9662	4,9029
	29.07.2008	4,4375	5,0492	4,7218	4,7527	4,942	4,8588
	30.07.2008	4,3909	4,9935	4,6714	4,699	4,8846	4,8091
	31 07 2008	4 33	4 933	4 6165	4 648	4 824	4 7475
	01.09.2000	4 21 47	4 0208	4,6139	1,010	4 9199	4 7295
	01.06.2006	4,3147	4,9290	4,0130	4,0449	4,0100	4,7303
	04.08.2008	4,2967	4,9421	4,6032	4,6263	4,8061	4,7246
	05.08.2008	4,2754	4,918	4,5807	4,607	4,7757	4,7014
	06.08.2008	4.3015	4.9329	4.6063	4.6265	4,7874	4,7263
	07 08 2008	1 2217	4 8562	4 525	1 5501	4 7085	4 6491
	07.00.2000	4,2217	4,0502	4,525	4,0091	4,7005	4,0491
	08.08.2008	4,219	4,856	4,5198	4,55	4,6996	4,6545
	11.08.2008	4,2315	4,8683	4,5311	4,5619	4,7152	4,6711
	12.08.2008	4,1942	4,832	4,4924	4,5213	4,6931	4,635
	13.08.2008	4,1748	4.8181	4.4751	4,506	4,6866	4.6235
	14 08 2008	4 1767	4 8037	4 4748	4 5076	4 6831	4 6174
	15.00.2000	4,1101	4,0007	4 4 4 0	4,0070	4,0001	4,507
	15.08.2008	4,15	4,7738	4,442	4,4713	4,000	4,5838
	18.08.2008	4,1265	4,7558	4,4248	4,4538	4,6414	4,569
	19.08.2008	4,1466	4,7724	4,4554	4,4786	4,6719	4,5891
	20.08.2008	4,1026	4,7438	4,4452	4,4379	4.6291	4.5557
	21 08 2008	1 1538	1 7897	1 1946	4 4887	4,6691	4 6015
	21.00.2000	4,1000	4,7097	4,4940	4,4007	4,0031	4,0013
	22.08.2008	4,1969	4,8386	4,5383	4,532	4,7104	4,6419
	25.08.2008	4,1044	4,7836	4,4479	4,4419	4,7425	4,5576
	26.08.2008	4,0935	4,7809	4,4428	4,4348	4,6758	4,5529
	27 08 2008	4 1565	4 8379	4 5042	4 5005	4 7411	4 6151
	29 09 2009	4 1416	4 8406	4,5062	4 5092	4 7266	4 6199
	20.00.2000	4,1410	4,0400	4,5002	4,5002	4,7500	4,0100
	29.08.2008	4,1396	4,8421	4,5071	4,5079	4,7337	4,6143
	01.09.2008	4,0911	4,784	4,4595	4,4594	4,6839	4,5636
	02.09.2008	4,1088	4,7792	4,4773	4,4799	4,6891	4,5835
	03 09 2008	4 1111	4 7829	4 478	4 4752	4 6893	4 576
	04 00 2008	4.045	4 7204	4 4142	4 4170	4 6313	4 5202
	04.09.2000	4,045	4,7294	4,4142	4,4179	4,0313	4,5293
	05.09.2008	3,9788	4,6883	4,3803	4,3646	4,6025	4,4932
	08.09.2008	4,0427	4,7548	4,4496	4,4248	4,6624	4,5539
	09.09.2008	4,0214	4,7545	4,4301	4,4082	4,6506	4,5434
	10 09 2008	4 0421	4 777	4 441	4 4299	4 6606	4 5459
	11 00 2008	4.0611	4 7790	4 4652	1,1200	4,6799	4 5724
	11.09.2006	4,0011	4,7709	4,4033	4,4556	4,0700	4,5724
	12.09.2008	4,1724	4,8633	4,5568	4,5475	4,76	4,6427
	15.09.2008	4,0368	4,7974	4,4667	4,4354	4,6892	4,5569
	16.09.2008	3,9828	4,7718	4,4469	4,4133	4,6658	4,5261
	17 09 2008	4 0122	4 7909	4 4857	4 4419	4 6974	4 5437
	19 00 2009	4 0225	4 9526	1,1001	4 4707	4 7745	4 6341
	10.03.2000	4,0020	4,0020	4,0400	4,4737	4,7745	4,0041
	19.09.2008	4,1995	4,9699	4,6629	4,6321	4,852	4,7433
	22.09.2008	4,2526	4,9534	4,6989	4,6814	4,8617	4,7635
	23.09.2008	4,2324	4,947	4,6791	4,6554	4,8504	4,7392
	24 09 2008	4 16	4 8863	4 6009	4 5792	4 7754	4 664
	25.09.2008	1 2223	1 9474	4 6552	4 6284	4 8207	4 7161
	20.00.2000	4,2223	4,9474	4,0002	4,0204	4,0237	4,7101
	20.09.2008	4,1598	4,8995	4,5954	4,5802	4,8004	4,6626
	29.09.2008	3,9748	4,828	4,4966	4,4592	4,7824	4,6027
	30.09.2008	4,0065	4,8756	4,5424	4,5525	4,8173	4,6498
	01.10.2008	3.9854	4.8453	4.5002	4.5475	4.7508	4.6121
	02 10 2008	3 0200	4 7708	<u> 1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u>	4 4794	4 6783	4 5380
	03 10 2000	0,0200	4 7000	4 4007	4 4660	4,6500	4,0003
	00.10.2008	3,917	4,7369	4,4297	4,4003	4,0526	4,5105
	06.10.2008	3,752	4,6012	4,2694	4,3054	4,5124	4,3551
	07.10.2008	3,7439	4,609	4,2712	4,3062	4,5141	4,3535
	08.10.2008	3,7993	4,6878	4,3513	4,3543	4,5888	4,4364
	09 10 2008	3 8777	4 7776	4 4314	4 4487	4 6644	4 5185
	10 10 2008	3,0006	4,0266	4 5690	4 5956	4 9019	4,6404
	10.10.2006	3,9900	4,9200	4,5009	4,3630	4,0010	4,0421
	13.10.2008	4,0698	4,9542	4,6428	4,6929	4,804	4,6797
	14.10.2008	4,1215	4,9236	4,6255	4,6831	4,7675	4,6324
	15.10.2008	4,1342	4,8998	4,583	4,6754	4,7328	4,5727
	16.10.2008	4.0847	4.8541	4.5372	4.6304	4,6986	4.5318
	17 10 2009	1 0220	1 7961	1 /017	1 5701	1 6702	1 1061
	20.10.2000	4,0020	4 7700	7,7317	4,0701	4,0702	4 4007
	20.10.2008	4,0295	4,7786	4,4843	4,5741	4,6527	4,4867
	21.10.2008	3,9633	4,7326	4,399	4,5108	4,6204	4,4372
	22.10.2008	3,8322	4,6654	4,2985	4,4346	4,5399	4,3504
	23.10.2008	3.802	4.7465	4.3023	4.4539	4.5553	4.373
	24 10 2008	3 7777	4 767	4 3205	4 4755	4 5725	4 3086
	27 10 2000	3 7004	4 7000	4.0200	7,7700	4,0120	4,0000
	21.10.2008	3,7904	4,7889	4,3054	4,5404	4,0204	4,4387
	28.10.2008	3,787	4,8461	4,3425	4,5722	4,659	4,4337
	29.10.2008	3,8279	5,0605	4,3998	4,6182	4,8035	4,5554
	30.10.2008	3.8149	5.1422	4.408	4.6611	4.8875	4.6007
	31 10 2008	3 9459	5 37	4 5708	4 8364	5 0452	4 7708
	02 11 2000	0,000	5,57 E 2050	4,0100	4,0004	4,0000	4,7100
- 1	V3. L1.2008	3.8908	5.3853	4.5047	4.82/6	4.9266	47104

04.11.2008	3,864	5,2003	4,4827	4,8119	4,7697	4,6858
05.11.2008	3.8257	5.2279	4,4192	4,7397	4.6797	4.6118
06 11 2008	3 7606	5 0827	4 2851	4 6591	4 5772	4 5215
07.11.2000	2,7355	5,0027	4,2004	4,0001	4,5172	4,0010
07.11.2008	3,7355	5,1223	4,1922	4,6016	4,5165	4,4023
10.11.2008	3,7393	5,0238	4,1744	4,5129	4,5043	4,3879
11.11.2008	3,7294	5,03	4,1516	4,5135	4,5312	4,376
12.11.2008	3.6848	5.03	4,1101	4,4957	4,566	4.3723
12 11 2009	2 6074	5 0976	4.12	4 4077	4 6022	4 2902
13.11.2000	3,0974	5,0870	4,12	4,4577	4,0023	4,3002
14.11.2008	3,6592	5,0282	4,0702	4,4584	4,5322	4,331
17.11.2008	3,6492	4,9365	4,0668	4,4633	4,5928	4,3424
18.11.2008	3,6406	4,9536	4,0441	4,4652	4,5578	4,3147
19 11 2008	3 5463	4 8704	3 9485	4 355	4 4546	4 2229
20 11 2008	3,4067	1 7455	3,8336	1 2520	1 3493	4.083
20.11.2000	3,4007	4,7433	3,0550	4,2323	4,0490	4,005
21.11.2008	3,4025	4,7649	3,8525	4,2558	4,3583	4,0985
24.11.2008	3,4411	4,7979	3,9329	4,3042	4,3888	4,141
25.11.2008	3,3688	4,7209	3,8867	4,2524	4,3381	4,0701
26.11.2008	3.3049	4.6717	3.8406	4.1999	4.2926	4.0058
27 11 2008	3 3169	4 7251	3 8722	4 1959	4 3488	4 0216
29 11 2009	2 2761	4,6065	2 9559	4 1975	4 2669	2 002
20.11.2000	3,2701	4,0903	3,0000	4,1875	4,3008	3,992
01.12.2008	3,1832	4,6097	3,814	4,1649	4,3376	3,9426
02.12.2008	3,0661	4,4957	3,702	4,1088	4,2221	3,82
03.12.2008	3,055	4,4682	3,6722	4,1194	4,2073	3,7956
04.12.2008	3.1211	4.6087	3,7941	4.2074	4.3538	3.9324
05 12 2008	3 0666	4 5564	3 7695	4 1464	4 3614	3 9145
09 12 2000	3 4600	4,0004	0,1000	4 3057	4,0014	4 0 4 7 4
00.12.2008	3,1008	4,0019	3,8072	4,3057	4,4478	4,0471
09.12.2008	3,2844	4,7388	3,9738	4,491	4,4989	4,1533
10.12.2008	3,2427	4,7302	3,9409	4,4616	4,4111	4,1096
11.12.2008	3,2459	4,8716	3,9253	4,4453	4,4212	4,0905
12.12.2008	3.3261	5,1353	4,0005	4,5151	4,538	4,163
15 12 2009	2,2256	5 2008	2 0217	1,0101	4 5034	4 1224
10.12.2000	3,2200	5,2000	3,9317	4,0440	4,0034	4,1234
16.12.2008	3,1626	5,147	3,8894	4,4062	4,4121	4,0512
17.12.2008	3,0173	5,1073	3,7457	4,3067	4,2936	3,9032
18.12.2008	3,0119	5,1139	3,7337	4,3145	4,2831	3,8904
19.12.2008	3.0492	5.1545	3.7636	4.344	4.3247	3.9327
22 12 2008	2 9962	5 1201	3 7319	4 3161	4 2904	3 9246
22.12.2000	2,0002	5,1201	3,7613	4,0101	4 2922	2 0 4 2 5
23.12.2000	3,0112	5,1105	3,7003	4,3327	4,2023	3,9435
24.12.2008	2,9993	5,1103	3,7482	4,3142	4,2741	3,9358
25.12.2008	2,9984	5,1094	3,7398	4,3188	4,2759	3,931
26.12.2008	2,9985	5,1095	3,8395	4,3195	4,2757	3,9311
29 12 2008	2 9846	5 0878	3.81	4 3014	4 302	3 9034
30 12 2008	3 0134	5,0060	3 8501	4 3423	1 31/15	4 007
30.12.2000	3,0134	5,0909	5,0591	4,0423	4,0440	4,007
31.12.2008	3,0187	5,0951	3,846	4,3497	4,3433	4,01
01.01.2009	3,0178	5,0941	3,8461	4,3504	4,343	4,0185
02.01.2009	3,0311	5,0914	3,8297	4,3179	4,2895	4,0071
05.01.2009	3.0946	5.0808	3.8864	4.3376	4.2816	3.9664
06 01 2009	3 2183	5 223	4 0149	4 55	4 4115	4 1045
07.01.2000	2 2449	5,220 5 1655	1,0116	4 6100	4 277	4 1507
07.01.2009	3,2440	5,1055	4,0105	4,0199	4,377	4,1507
08.01.2009	3,2015	5,1064	3,9646	4,6115	4,3205	4,1525
09.01.2009	3,0957	5,117	3,8936	4,5424	4,2934	4,1142
12.01.2009	3,0662	5,2695	3,9546	4,5203	4,3535	4,0464
13.01.2009	3.0657	5.3485	4,0408	4,5871	4.3906	4,1456
14 01 2009	3 0053	5 310/	4 0067	4 6556		4 1366
15 01 2009	3,0000	5,0194	4,0007	4,0000	4,000	4,1300
10.01.2009	2,9009	5,3288	4,0931	4,0312	4,3885	4,2233
16.01.2009	3,02	5,4764	4,2048	4,8065	4,4238	4,2369
19.01.2009	3,09	5,5658	4,2373	5,0623	4,4379	4,2825
20.01.2009	3,1277	5,7693	4,289	5,3103	4,5222	4,272
21.01.2009	3.0478	5.7898	4.2781	5.5236	4.5302	4.389
22 01 2009	3 1631	5 9415	4 3398	5 678	4 5937	4 5579
22.01.2000	3,1051	6,0996	4,0000	5,070	4,3337	4,0070
23.01.2009	3,2955	0,000	4,4309	5,0105	4,7479	4,0719
26.01.2009	3,4155	6,0222	4,5463	5,9878	4,8493	4,7266
27.01.2009	3,3354	5,8411	4,4515	5,8444	4,7127	4,6684
28.01.2009	3,3089	5,8114	4,4171	5,707	4,6288	4,569
29.01.2009	3.304	5,4561	4,3866	5,4925	4.513	4,4831
30.01.2009	3 3316	5 5343	4 4217	5 4251	4 5559	4 5504
02 02 2000	0,0010	5,5545	4,7217	5,4070	4,000	4,0004
02.02.2009	3,331	5,5795	4,4154	5,4973	4,4915	4,5462
03.02.2009	3,3712	5,5181	4,4011	5,4365	4,4651	4,5306
04.02.2009	3,4269	5,3905	4,3602	5,3715	4,3978	4,5186
05.02.2009	3,4063	5,4212	4,3128	5,369	4,4333	4,4971
06.02.2009	3.4262	5,4815	4.3177	5.3716	4,4315	4,575
09 02 2000	3 1652	5 /170	1 205	5 3000	1 1/02	1 5160
10.02.2009	3,4003	5,472	4,200	5,5900	4,4403	4,0109
10.02.2009	3,4252	5,471	4,2465	5,3834	4,4376	4,4879
11.02.2009	3,2504	5,3912	4,1362	5,2734	4,403	4,4484
12.02.2009	3,1531	5,5213	4,1112	5,304	4,3856	4,4407
13.02.2009	3,1855	5,6406	4,1535	5,4631	4,46	4,5106
16 02 2009	3 1143	5 7479	4 1628	5 5746	4 4860	4 6333
	5,1145	5,1415	7,1020	5,5740	-,-505	-,0000

17.02.2009	3,0516	5,743	4,1264	5,5585	4,4506	4,6143
18.02.2009	3,0544	5,6751	4,0735	5,5315	4,4101	4,5894
19.02.2009	3,1433	5,617	4,1629	5,5322	4,3975	4,57
20.02.2009	3,0945	5,5237	4,0918	5,4787	4,3674	4,5084
23.02.2009	3,0722	5,4245	4,0419	5,3497	4,323	4,4522
24.02.2009	3,0612	5,4629	4,0612	5,4139	4,3982	4,5278
25.02.2009	3,0599	5,4684	4,0526	5,4514	4,433	4,5133
26.02.2009	3,2037	5,5769	4,1743	5,5133	4,5541	4,5779
27.02.2009	3,1534	5,5769	4,1357	5,519	4,5224	4,5725
02.03.2009	3,1036	5,5419	4,0992	5,4938	4,4936	4,5252
03.03.2009	3,1291	5,6902	4,1174	5,5221	4,4995	4,5641
04.03.2009	3,1827	5,7598	4,1175	5,6335	4,5259	4,5571
05.03.2009	3,0783	5,6678	3,9782	5,5955	4,4233	4,4892
06.03.2009	2,9624	5,6224	3,9069	5,5724	4,3809	4,4325
09.03.2009	2,9884	5,6584	3,9031	5,6144	4,3775	4,4622
10.03.2009	3,0549	5,6963	3,9533	5,6605	4,4144	4,5202
11.03.2009	3,1221	5,7117	3,9709	5,6201	4,4400	4,5289
12.03.2009	3,0501	5,0770	3,9347	5,0833	4,4303	4,4724
13.03.2009	3,1000	5,7079	3,9788	5,7334	4,4395	4,5068
16.03.2009	3,1974	5,7732	4,0457	5,8166	4,4515	4,4902
17.03.2009	3,2317	5,7202	4,0880	5,83 5 9797	4,4409	4,4703
18.03.2009	3,2000	5,7191	4,1207	5,8787 5,9775	4,4003	4,0800
19.03.2009	3,0883	5,5284	4,0027	5,8775	4,3115	4,5089
20.03.2009	3,0433	5,2743	3,939	5,8639	4,2281	4,5091
23.03.2009	3,080	5,2875	3,9614	5,0520	4,293	4,5325
24.03.2009	3,2103	5,3895	4,0749	5,084 5,4250	4,3989	4,5738
25.03.2009	3,194	5 291	4,0201	5,4339	4,329	4,5271
27.03.2009	3,1944	5 2702	3,9039	5,3909	4,3234	4,57.54
27.03.2009	3,1213	5,2705	3,9420	5,2529	4,3112	4,5045
31.03.2009	3,0703	5,555	3,9042	5,3395	4,3920	4,5503
01 04 2009	3,0334	5 3038	3,9037	5.4223	4,3004	4,5563
02.04.2009	3,0140	5 3544	4 0263	5 3205	4,3240	4,0000
03 04 2009	3 2174	5 3325	4,0205	5 3046	4 3891	4,0125
06 04 2009	3 2213	5 2948	3 9857	5 1696	4 3995	4,0200
07 04 2009	3 2419	5 3258	4 0529	5 2221	4,0000	4,5941
08 04 2009	3 2292	5 2949	4,0558	5 2806	4 4435	4,6014
09.04.2009	3 2985	5 333	4,0000	5 3514	4 4598	4,0014
10 04 2009	3 2919	5 3237	4 086	5 3478	4 4367	4,0000
13 04 2009	3 2952	5 3349	4 086	5,3469	4 4306	4 6629
14 04 2009	3 2475	5 2754	4 0324	5 307	4 4042	4 6069
15.04.2009	3,1868	5.245	3.984	5.272	4,3449	4.571
16.04.2009	3.2134	5.2426	3.9965	5.3151	4,3302	4.5874
17.04.2009	3.3133	5.3218	4.076	5.3151	4.3767	4.5524
20.04.2009	3,1906	5,1702	3,9454	5,2845	4,3127	4,5852
21.04.2009	3,1682	5,1764	3,9329	5,2552	4,3363	4,4554
22.04.2009	3,2432	5,1916	3,9634	5,2587	4,3358	4,5682
23.04.2009	3,2979	5,1313	3,9815	5,2716	4,3194	4,5427
24.04.2009	3,2415	5,1059	3,9524	5,1491	4,3016	4,4657
27.04.2009	3,2099	5,0854	3,9139	5,1316	4,2767	4,4217
28.04.2009	3,208	5,1169	3,9275	5,1383	4,2975	4,4301
29.04.2009	3,1988	5,1065	3,881	5,15	4,2869	4,4043
30.04.2009	3,244	5,1067	3,9013	5,1004	4,2961	4,4021
01.05.2009	3,2369	5,1027	3,8955	5,1041	4,2928	4,39
04.05.2009	3,2968	5,1355	3,93	5,1273	4,2887	4,3243
05.05.2009	3,2496	5,0221	3,8741	5,0914	4,2048	4,2505
06.05.2009	3,2725	4,9615	3,8988	5,0512	4,2237	4,2326
07.05.2009	3,4224	4,8937	3,9963	5,0387	4,2619	4,2872
08.05.2009	3,4669	4,8065	3,9912	5,0266	4,2608	4,2928
11.05.2009	3,4123	4,7571	3,9554	5,0543	4,2347	4,1737
12.05.2009	3,4519	4,8437	3,9925	5,086	4,3161	4,2014
13.05.2009	3,3742	4,8399	3,9382	5,0741	4,2957	4,1/88
14.05.2009	3,3554	4,8801	3,9682	5,0788	4,2904	4,215
15.05.2009	3,4224	4,8643	4,0208	5,1139	4,2813	4,2124
10.05.2009	3,3967	4,8316	3,9871	5,099	4,2583	4,1647
19.05.2009	3,4917	4,0092	4,0878	5,1505	4,2947	4,217
20.05.2009	3,4703	4,8209	4,0595	5,1861	4,2035	4,2001
22.05.2009	3,3891	4,8043	4,0498	5,1408	4,2702	4,2277
22.00.2009	3,0109	4,7379	4,1071	5,2097	4,0010	4,2103
20.00.2009	3,3940	4,/9/9	4,23/4	5,3049	4,3937	4,2030 1 2201
20.05.2009	3,009	4,0118	4,2348 1 2152	5,5121	4,0900	4,0284 1 1007
28 05 2009	3,0113	4,0207	4,2432	5,372	4,4100	4,4207 1 202
29.05.2009	3,0249	4,0070	4,2772	5 4207	4,4001	4,392
01.06 2009	3 6431	4 8943	4 3084	5 4581	4 4637	4 3914
1	0,0401	1,0040	1,0004	5, 1501	1,1007	1,0014

02 06 2000	3 6/23	1 9859	1 2884	5 4063	1 188	4 4363
02.00.2000	2 571	4,0000	4,2004	5,4000	4 4 4 2 2	4,4000
03.00.2009	3,571	4,9402	4,2423	5,4099	4,4433	4,4201
04.06.2009	3,6406	5,0467	4,3418	5,603	4,561	4,528
05.06.2009	3,7304	5,1482	4,3721	5,6795	4,601	4,5857
08.06.2009	3,6625	5,1099	4,2806	5,6373	4,5785	4,5251
09.06.2009	3,6225	5,2176	4,252	5,625	4,5814	4,5405
10.06.2009	3.6592	5.3281	4.2783	5.6386	4.6117	4.5268
11 06 2009	3 7028	5 3114	4 3171	5 6635	4 6398	4 5541
12 06 2000	3 6242	5 262	4 2772	5 6013	1,0000	4 538
12.00.2003	3,0242	5,202	4,2772	5,0015	4,0040	4,000
15.06.2009	3,5279	5,1622	4,1799	5,5676	4,506	4,5078
16.06.2009	3,5374	5,2044	4,2159	5,4786	4,5421	4,4868
17.06.2009	3,4931	5,1755	4,1948	5,4642	4,5091	4,4424
18.06.2009	3,5434	5,2222	4,2144	5,4775	4,5302	4,4907
19.06.2009	3,514	5,1689	4,1847	5,6239	4,5043	4,4669
22.06.2009	3,4644	5,1219	4,1446	5.649	4,4937	4,4622
23.06.2009	3 4788	5 1342	4 1427	5 7252	4 5269	4 5093
24.06.2000	2,4750	5 1002	4 1101	5,7202	4,0203	4,0000
24.00.2003	3,4733	5,1032	4,1101	5,0305	4,4000	4,4023
25.06.2009	3,4495	5,0439	4,0861	5,0858	4,4006	4,4539
26.06.2009	3,4174	5,0069	4,0616	5,6292	4,4286	4,4327
29.06.2009	3,3874	4,9369	4,0328	5,5875	4,3494	4,4068
30.06.2009	3,4023	4,9405	4,0437	5,5758	4,3567	4,4153
01.07.2009	3,4367	4,9551	4,0692	5,6212	4,3665	4,4314
02.07.2009	3.3545	4,8866	3,9769	5,7605	4,3059	4,3654
03 07 2009	3 3554	4 8807	3 9901	5 6897	4 3036	4 3718
06.07.2000	2 2172	1,0001	2,0619	5,6721	4 2097	1,0110
07.07.0009	3,3172	4,0349	3,3018	5,0721	4,3087	4,0422
07.07.2009	3,3383	4,8707	3,9832	5,4285	4,3499	4,3381
08.07.2009	3,3151	4,9218	4,0085	5,467	4,374	4,364
09.07.2009	3,3107	4,9178	4,0034	5,4813	4,3868	4,331
10.07.2009	3,2804	4,8975	3,9643	5,4426	4,3786	4,2783
13.07.2009	3.2767	4,9326	3,9834	5,3661	4,3616	4,3023
14 07 2009	3 3168	4 9589	4 0223	5 3349	4 3755	4 3306
15 07 2009	3 3815	5 0241	4 0419	5 32	4 3769	4 3468
16.07.2000	3,0010	4 0252	4,0027	5,5Z	4,0700	4 2009
10.07.2009	3,3523	4,9303	4,0037	5,3507	4,321	4,3090
17.07.2009	3,4155	4,9698	4,0583	5,4144	4,3177	4,3318
20.07.2009	3,433	4,9297	3,9904	5,3401	4,2499	4,2675
21.07.2009	3,3859	4,8054	3,9317	5,3416	4,2065	4,2114
22.07.2009	3,3984	4,8228	3,9355	5,2814	4,202	4,1925
23.07.2009	3.477	4.9097	3.9735	5.2739	4.2498	4.2351
24 07 2009	3 5 1 1 3	4 9222	3 9713	5 2041	4 2623	4 2079
27.07.2000	3 52/2	4 9202	3 0020	5 1072	1,2020	4 1908
27.07.2009	3,3242	4,9202	3,9929	5,1972	4,2471	4,1900
28.07.2009	3,4728	4,7807	3,9452	5,1314	4,1839	4,1357
29.07.2009	3,454	4,7245	3,9313	5,0643	4,1503	4,0761
30.07.2009	3,4812	4,7098	3,9297	5,0512	4,1515	4,066
31.07.2009	3,3463	4,5855	3,8031	4,9322	4,0555	3,9636
03.08.2009	3,3909	4,6255	3,8504	4,97	4,0695	4,0048
04.08.2009	3,3909	4,6199	3,8598	4,9413	4,0562	3,9962
05 08 2009	3 3866	4 5029	3,8576	4 9132	4 0429	3 9783
06.08.2000	3,3000	4,5025	3,0070	4,0102	4,0423	2,000
00.00.2009	3,3909	4,5017	3,0029	4,9243	4,0444	3,9990
07.08.2009	3,5253	4,5873	3,943	5,0012	4,1037	4,0811
10.08.2009	3,512	4,5495	3,8872	4,8937	4,046	4,0311
11.08.2009	3,5037	4,5259	3,8778	4,8854	4,0789	4,0407
12.08.2009	3,497	4,5565	3,8957	4,9648	4,0981	4,059
13.08.2009	3,4681	4,536	3.8658	4,9161	4,1023	4,0443
14.08.2009	3.3608	4,4616	3.7864	4.8289	4.0407	3.968
17 08 2009	3 3227	4 4483	3 770	4 8138	4 0153	3 9443
18 08 2000	2 2272	-,-+0J / ////1	2 7761	1,0100	4,0105	2 0363
10.00.2009	3,3373	4,4441	3,7701	4,0150	4,0103	3,9302
19.08.2009	3,2804	4,4372	3,7415	4,7752	3,9993	3,9117
20.08.2009	3,3037	4,4389	3,7497	4,7874	3,9941	3,9267
21.08.2009	3,3545	4,466	3,7865	4,8057	3,9918	3,9326
24.08.2009	3,3486	4,4999	3,8219	4,8158	4,0234	3,9605
25.08.2009	3,3137	4,4377	3,7815	4,7272	3,9595	3,9012
26 08 2009	3 3005	4 4328	3 7596	4 7933	3 953	3 9053
27 08 2000	3 2003	1,1020	3 7/70	4 7603	3 9/3/	3 802
28 09 2009	3,2393	4,41/9	3,1419	4,7093	3,3434	3,092
20.00.2009	3,3188	4,4027	3,7809	4,7926	3,9722	3,9221
31.08.2009	3,3369	4,4855	3,799	4,8446	3,9978	3,9412
01.09.2009	3,3362	4,5796	3,8661	4,9282	4,0269	3,9993
02.09.2009	3,3054	4,5639	3,8571	4,9248	4,0277	4,0228
03.09.2009	3,3179	4,6323	3,9108	4,9636	4,0602	4,0493
04.09.2009	3.3091	4.611	3.8866	4.9322	4.0528	4.0246
07 09 2009	3 2966	4 6356	3 9205	4 9622	4 0564	4 0257
08 00 2000	3,2900	4,0300	3,3203		4,0304	4,0207
00.09.2009	0,0424	4,0405	3,3437	5,0026	4,0701	4,0320
09.09.2009	3,4276	4,6295	3,9699	5,0565	4,0789	4,0322
10.09.2009	3,3761	4,5719	3,8731	4,9787	4,0322	3,9684
11.09.2009	3,313	4,4841	3,8038	4,8887	3,9605	3,909
14.09.2009	3,3338	4,5061	3,8528	4,9423	3,9805	3,9273

	1					
15.09.2009	3,371	4,4988	3,8698	4,9393	3,983	3,9421
16.09.2009	3,4205	4,5324	3,8828	4,9359	3,9923	3,9684
17.09.2009	3,4366	4.522	3.8871	4,9129	3,9982	3.9801
18,09 2009	3 4511	4 5381	3 8957	4 8941	3 998	3 9771
21 00 2000	2,1512	1,0001	2,0005	4,0205	4 0000	4,0005
21.09.2009	3,4342	4,0000	3,9093	4,9203	4,0099	4,0005
22.09.2009	3,408	4,0033	3,8989	4,8907	4,0186	3,9985
23.09.2009	3,4431	4,502	3,8606	4,8119	4,0135	3,9755
24.09.2009	3,3783	4,4685	3,7961	4,7739	3,9624	3,9166
25.09.2009	3,3436	4,4624	3,7933	4,7446	3,9396	3,8944
28.09.2009	3,3295	4,4572	3,7854	4,7422	3,9321	3,8844
29.09.2009	3.3183	4,4547	3,7959	4.7226	3.932	3.8769
30 09 2009	3 2963	4 4904	3 8041	4 726	3 9387	3 8874
01 10 2000	3 2588	4 5589	3,8128	4 8420	3 9615	3 03/3
02 10 2000	2 2100	4,5000	2 79/9	4,0420	2 0022	2 9911
02.10.2009	3,2100	4,0102	3,7040	4,0430	3,9032	3,0011
05.10.2009	3,2141	4,4092	3,7643	4,874	3,8875	3,8503
06.10.2009	3,248	4,4931	3,7932	4,8552	3,9021	3,8535
07.10.2009	3,2138	4,4659	3,7618	4,779	3,8614	3,8252
08.10.2009	3,2066	4,4651	3,7472	4,7454	3,846	3,8186
09.10.2009	3,3109	4,5437	3,8243	4,8168	3,9168	3,8996
12.10.2009	3,2677	4,5155	3,7917	4,7998	3,8967	3,8724
13.10.2009	3,2415	4,5299	3,8011	4,7967	3,9122	3,8753
14.10.2009	3,2931	4,5827	3,8393	4.8373	3,9648	3,9094
15 10 2009	3 3471	4 6413	3,8853	4 8869	4 0225	3 9565
16 10 2009	2 2/02	4 6204	3,0000	4,0009	2,0225	3,300
10.10.2009	3,3423	4,0394	3,0071	4,0397	3,9992	3,9320
19.10.2009	3,3//1	4,0551	3,8815	4,8979	4,0011	3,9373
20.10.2009	3,3278	4,6014	3,8372	4,8225	3,95	3,8828
21.10.2009	3,4049	4,705	3,8857	4,8481	3,9995	3,9165
22.10.2009	3,3788	4,6643	3,8518	4,8355	3,9734	3,8913
23.10.2009	3,4161	4,7237	3,8776	4,8739	4,0077	3,94
26.10.2009	3,4234	4,734	3,8758	4,8567	4,0204	3,9452
27.10.2009	3.3424	4.6749	3,8155	4,793	3,9436	3.8773
28 10 2009	3 3424	4 6632	3,8151	4 79	3 9387	3 8615
20.10.2000	3,0424	4,0002	2 9796	4,73	2,0002	2,0265
29.10.2009	3,4014	4,7017	3,0700	4,0447	3,9993	3,9203
30.10.2009	3,3000	4,0017	3,0072	4,7003	3,93	3,0007
02.11.2009	3,3029	4,7097	3,8018	4,7649	3,9094	3,8233
03.11.2009	3,3325	4,7695	3,8316	4,757	3,9326	3,841
04.11.2009	3,3889	4,8032	3,8725	4,824	3,9623	3,8753
05.11.2009	3,435	4,8506	3,9009	4,8323	3,9888	3,9042
06.11.2009	3,4375	4,8601	3,9036	4,8346	3,9871	3,9177
09.11.2009	3.3943	4.7993	3.8564	4.789	3.9376	3.8668
10.11.2009	3,3581	4,7635	3.8278	4,7721	3,9036	3,8292
11 11 2009	3 3415	4 6648	3,8266	4 7592	3 9035	3,8198
12 11 2000	2 2616	4,0040	2 9517	4,7532	2,0005	3.94
12.11.2009	3,3010	4,03	3,0017	4,7332	3,9223	3,04
13.11.2009	3,393	4,7703	3,9031	4,0301	3,9757	3,0047
16.11.2009	3,3254	4,8182	3,8621	4,8474	3,9384	3,8661
17.11.2009	3,2779	4,7192	3,8006	4,6917	3,8816	3,8072
18.11.2009	3,3003	4,7734	3,8285	4,735	3,9153	3,8293
19.11.2009	3,284	4,8782	3,837	4,798	3,9306	3,8556
20.11.2009	3,2781	4,9041	3,8522	4,7748	3,9386	3,8774
23.11.2009	3.2958	4.9244	3.8624	4.762	3.935	3.8834
24 11 2009	3 2764	4 9682	3 8365	4 7864	3 921	3 8614
25 11 2000	3 272	5 0103	3 837	4 8768	3 0312	3 8771
26 11 2000	2 1725	4 0209	2 7775	4 016	2 02//	2,9525
27.11.2009	2 100	4,3200 E 10EE	3,1113	4,310	2,0244	3,0323
27.11.2009	3,198	5,1955	3,8133	4,9495	3,9298	3,8791
30.11.2009	3,175	5,1811	3,7834	4,8982	3,8988	3,8335
01.12.2009	3,1582	5,0744	3,7578	4,8345	3,859	3,7903
02.12.2009	3,1858	5,0859	3,7903	4,8454	3,8744	3,813
03.12.2009	3,1914	5,1099	3,7822	4,8401	3,8767	3,8053
04.12.2009	3,2545	5,2185	3,8121	4,885	3,8862	3,8357
07.12.2009	3,2101	5,3305	3,7918	4,8776	3,842	3,8387
08.12.2009	3,1582	5.637	3,7937	4,9029	3.8532	3.8624
09 12 2009	3 1546	5 8113	3 8647	5 0913	3 898	3 9828
10 12 2000	3 1037	5,8104	3 9003	5 0212	3 0163	0,0020
11 12 2009	3,1307	5,0104	3,3003	3,0212	3,3103	2 002
14.40.0000	3,2402	5,082	3,89	4,9400	3,9224	3,993
14.12.2009	3,2095	5,7381	3,8468	4,8755	3,8914	3,9432
15.12.2009	3,2553	6,0152	3,8853	4,9338	3,9174	3,9921
16.12.2009	3,2207	5,7255	3,8424	4,8188	3,877	3,9481
17.12.2009	3,1732	5,8544	3,8485	4,8143	3,855	3,9509
18.12.2009	3,1592	5,9158	3,8887	4,8149	3,8516	4,0019
21.12.2009	3.21	6,1399	3,979	4,8691	3.8959	4,091
22,12,2009	3 2868	5 9673	3 9858	4 8601	3 8978	4 0843
23 12 2000	3 3065	5 9701	3 0771	4 8618	3 00/15	4 0015
24 12 2000	2 2047	6 0044	4 0050	-,0010 4 0007	2 0200	4 1 1 0 4
25 12 2009	3,3217	0,0044 E 000	4,0052	4,0927	3,3300	4,1124
20.12.2009	3,3153	5,998	4,0035	4,8907	3,9381	4,1130
28.12.2009	3,3517	5,9946	3,9978	4,9211	3,962	4,1246

20 12 2000	3 3722	5 974	4 0082	/ 013	4 0053	4 1268
20.12.2009	2 2009	5,374	4,0002	4,913	4,0000	4,1200
30.12.2009	3,3900	5,6500	4,0303	4,9072	4,0397	4,173
31.12.2009	3,3758	5,7623	4,0222	4,916	4,0211	4,1701
01.01.2010	3,3761	5,7626	4,0226	4,911	4,0224	4,1772
04.01.2010	3,382	5,6442	4,01	4,8278	3,9789	4,1626
05.01.2010	3,3856	5,5685	4,024	4,859	3,9865	4,1462
06.01.2010	3,3963	5,549	4,0511	4,9596	3,9958	4,1618
07.01.2010	3.3871	5.5567	4.038	4.8918	3.9765	4.1394
08 01 2010	3 4037	5 4498	4 0144	4 8429	3 9699	4 1115
11 01 2010	3 3663	5 3786	4 0141	4 7875	3 945	4 1172
12.01.2010	3,5005	5,5700	4,0141	4,7073	2,0447	4,11/2
12.01.2010	3,3290	5,5022	4,0010	4,7741	3,9417	4,1040
13.01.2010	3,3342	5,7558	4,0153	4,8639	3,9756	4,1741
14.01.2010	3,3281	5,9959	4,054	4,9205	3,9815	4,2812
15.01.2010	3,307	5,9798	4,0402	4,8906	3,9693	4,3101
18.01.2010	3,2824	5,9667	4,0424	4,8891	3,9671	4,3128
19.01.2010	3,3178	6,0613	4,0888	4,8298	3,9725	4,3247
20.01.2010	3.2658	6.11	4,0739	4.8171	3,9896	4,3804
21 01 2010	3 2726	6 3645	4 1006	4 7796	4 012	4 3765
22 01 2010	3 2520	6 4727	4 1059	4 7954	4 0026	1 100
25.01.2010	3,2523	0,4727	4,1000	4,7304	4,0020	4 2427
25.01.2010	3,2302	0,0117	4,0305	4,7130	3,9749	4,0107
26.01.2010	3,2462	6,6288	4,0113	4,6977	3,948	4,2488
27.01.2010	3,2404	6,6226	4,0699	4,7284	4,0056	4,3628
28.01.2010	3,2368	6,874	4,1528	4,8424	4,0741	4,5144
29.01.2010	3,2328	7,069	4,1028	4,8468	4,0517	4,541
01.02.2010	3,2179	6,9153	4,0154	4,807	3,9835	4,512
02.02.2010	3.2071	6.8561	4.0337	4.8009	3.9852	4.5976
03 02 2010	3 235	6 9434	4 0853	4 8307	4 0067	4 7934
04 02 2010	3 1782	6,8726	4 1042	4 8266	3 9918	4 8402
05.02.2010	2 1290	6,0720	4,1042	4,0200	2,0007	4 00
05.02.2010	3,1309	0,0407	4,1119	4,0001	3,9907	4,02
08.02.2010	3,1527	6,9889	4,1185	4,9213	4,0284	4,8856
09.02.2010	3,1618	6,8171	4,0571	4,8421	3,9794	4,7133
10.02.2010	3,1959	6,1137	3,9782	4,721	3,9637	4,6542
11.02.2010	3,2354	6,1088	3,9888	4,6608	3,9802	4,5574
12.02.2010	3,1946	6,0818	3,9779	4,6638	3,9941	4,5441
15.02.2010	3.2273	6,1463	4,0243	4,7136	4,0082	4,5615
16.02.2010	3 2299	6 4344	4 0104	4 7551	4 0059	4 5826
17 02 2010	2 2224	6,1011	2,0045	4 7454	2,0050	1,0020
17.02.2010	3,2234	0,5009	3,9940	4,7434	3,9939	4,0100
18.02.2010	3,2087	0,5792	4,0101	4,7793	4,0099	4,5418
19.02.2010	3,2988	6,5041	4,0106	4,7889	4,0301	4,5425
22.02.2010	3,2916	6,3747	3,9894	4,7364	4,0301	4,5123
23.02.2010	3,1938	6,3517	3,9107	4,6139	3,9731	4,4318
24.02.2010	3,1629	6,4308	3,892	4,6586	3,9557	4,4191
25.02.2010	3,1378	6,5569	3,8974	4,6827	3,977	4,4196
26.02.2010	3,1299	6,6587	3,8538	4,6318	3,9265	4,3505
01 03 2010	3 1356	6 5668	3 8173	4 5587	3 906	4 2273
02 03 2010	3 1560	6 3903	3,8605	1,5408	3 9062	4 2002
02.03.2010	2 1719	0,0300	3,0003	4,5450	3,3002	4,2002
03.03.2010	3,1710	0,2029	3,0009	4,000	3,9040	4,2004
04.03.2010	3,1469	6,1786	3,8678	4,536	3,8963	4,2024
05.03.2010	3,18	6,2116	3,8591	4,5393	3,8958	4,2151
08.03.2010	3,1928	6,2214	3,8463	4,5296	3,8863	4,2087
09.03.2010	3,1545	6,1075	3,831	4,5066	3,8552	4,2066
10.03.2010	3,1836	5,9926	3,8446	4,4785	3,8585	4,1969
11.03.2010	3,1966	6,1762	3,8672	4,4918	3,8796	4,2459
12.03.2010	3,1986	6.0752	3.867	4,4563	3.8861	4.2364
15.03.2010	3,1852	6.0411	3.8701	4,4588	3.8784	4.2594
16 03 2010	3 1682	5 90/5	3 8535	1, 1300 A A33	3 863	4 2408
17 03 2010	2 1/50	5,0040 5 0/7/	2 0//	4 4606	2 0461	4 0400
19.03.2010	3,1452	0,04/4	3,644	4,4020	3,0401	4,2438
18.03.2010	3,1000	6,0493	3,8033	4,4936	3,8762	4,2070
19.03.2010	3,1418	6,2098	3,8766	4,5233	3,8915	4,2993
22.03.2010	3,1043	6,3421	3,847	4,5141	3,8728	4,3091
23.03.2010	3,092	6,1991	3,8204	4,4798	3,8484	4,286
24.03.2010	3,1142	6,2208	3,8252	4,5588	3,8589	4,3178
25.03.2010	3,1685	6,1005	3,852	4,5739	3,8665	4,3436
26.03.2010	3,1869	5,9408	3,8356	4,5492	3,8635	4,2743
29.03.2010	3 1746	6 0716	3 8224	4 5072	3 8622	4 2452
30 03 2010	3 1668	6 2678	3 8332	4 507	3 8500	4 2601
31 02 2010	2 1/27	6 207	2 0 2 4 4	4,007	2 0275	1 2001
01 04 2040	3,1437	6 4605	3,0211	4,4019	3,0375	4,2200
01.04.2010	3,1380	0,4025	3,8096	4,4044	3,8099	4,1827
02.04.2010	3,1387	6,4627	3,8073	4,4479	3,8067	4,1/63
05.04.2010	3,1417	6,4662	3,8099	4,4472	3,8088	4,1786
06.04.2010	3,178	7,223	3,8799	4,5134	3,875	4,2617
07.04.2010	3,1622	7,3018	3,8844	4,5083	3,8678	4,2652
08.04.2010	3,1347	7,4377	3,8613	4,5203	3,856	4,3572
09.04.2010	3.1972	7.1035	3.8801	4.535	3.8673	4.3551
12.04.2010	3,2137	6,8151	3,8554	4,5091	3,8589	4,3409
		-,	-,-,-			,

13.04.2010	3,1641	6,7983	3,859	4,5228	3,8612	4,3459
14.04.2010	3,159	7,1088	3,8676	4,5379	3,8675	4,4152
15.04.2010	3,1529	7,105	3,8764	4,5554	3,8817	4,4036
16.04.2010	3,106	7,1302	3,868	4,5617	3,8822	4,3834
19.04.2010	3,1006	7,1631	3,8362	4,5652	3,8849	4,441
20.04.2010	3,1264	7,1585	3,8551	4,5431	3,8853	4,4653
21.04.2010	3,1087	7,2387	3,8637	4,5377	3,8839	4,4574

Appendix 11: Regression Statistics of Top 5 European Banks and all Banks

Regression Statis	stics (Top 5 Euro	pean Banks)						
Multiple R	0,776974293							
R Square	0,603689052							
Adjusted R Square	0,592977945							
Standard Error	0,121458481							
Observations	39							
ANOVA								
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F			
Regression	1	0,831447138	0,831447138	56,36103423	6,04123E-09			I
Residual	37	0,545830014	0,014752163					I
Total	38	1,377277151						
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 99.0%	Upper 99.0%
Intercept	0,051697646	0,019449082	2,658102158	0,011537519	0,012290064	0,091105228	-0,00111456	0,104509852
х	0,800774915	0,106664766	7,507398632	6,04123E-09	0,584651573	1,016898258	0,511136481	1,09041335
Regression Statistics	(Top 5 US, Europe	ean and Austrian E	3anks)					
Multiple R	0,410844312	2						
DOWNERS	0 4 00 7 0 0 0 4	0						

Multiple R	0,410844312							
R Square	0,168793049							
Adjusted R Square	0,161688716							
Standard Error	0,180950727							
Observations	119							ļ
ANOVA						_		
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	_		
Regression	1	0,777950411	0,777950411	23,7591693	3,46573E-06			
Residual	117	3,830950357	0,032743165					
Total	118	4,608900768				•		
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 99.0%	Upper 99.0%
Intercept	0,072213582	0,016626627	4,343248956	3,00382E-05	0,039285419	0,105141745	0,02867669	0,115750473
Х	0,555874602	0,11404105	4,874337832	3,46573E-06	0,330022287	0,781726918	0,257257646	0,854491559

Appendix 12: Overview over US Banks (currency in million)

Tier 1 ratio

Core Tier 1 ratio

Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1) Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)

Shareholders Equity to RWA

Tier 1 Leverage ratio

Return on Assets

Return on Capital

Return on Equity

Share Price

Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)

Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets

Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total Assets

CAR

USD Bank of America	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Total Assets	1.190.270	1.445.193	1.459.737	1.534.359	1.715.746	1.716.875	1.817.943	2.420.317	2.223.299
Risk-weighted assets	890.189	1.019.893	1.054.091	1.115.268	1.213.430	2.461.286	1.320.824	1.599.569	1.542.517
Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities)	101.533	127.841	135.272	135.751	146.803	162.691	171.661	242.867	226.077
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	101.533	127.841	135.272	135.751	146.803	162.691	169.992	240.985	225.495
Common equity	101.262	127.570	132,421	132,900	142,394	138,540	139.351	196,492	194.236
Minority Interest	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 669	1 882	582
Tier 1 canital	74 375	84 978	91 064	94 979	83 372	101 541	120 814	190 874	160 388
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. trust preferred securities)	12 446	12 446	15 942	16 884	16 863	16 873	18 105	19 947	17 964
Preference shares	271	271	2 851	2 851	4 409	24 151	37 701	58 660	21 448
Innovative Tier 1	2/1	2/1	2.001	2.001	0	24.101	01.101	00.000	21.110
Core Tier 1 capital	61 658	72 261	72 271	75 244	62 100	60 517	63 330	110 385	120 304
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	74 375	8/ 078	91.064	0/ 070	83 372	101 5/1	110 1/5	188 002	150.004
Tier 2 capital	25 526	29 760	34 162	40.080	50 348	53 548	50 847	34 827	65 689
Total Capital (after supervisory deductions)	00 001	11/ 738	125 226	135.050	133 720	155 080	171 661	225 701	226.077
Goodwill & other intengibles	33.301	114.750	123.220	155.055	133.720	155.005	171.001	223.701	0/ 613
Goodwill & other intaligibles									34.013
Tier 1 ratio	8 35%	8 33%	8 64%	8 52%	6.87%	4 13%	9 15%	11 03%	10.40%
CAR	11 22%	11 25%	11 88%	12 11%	11 02%	6.30%	13.00%	14 11%	14 66%
Core Tier 1 ratio	6.93%	7.09%	6.86%	6,75%	5.12%	2.46%	4.80%	6.90%	7.81%
Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	8.53%	8.85%	9.27%	8.85%	8.56%	9.48%	9,44%	10.03%	10.17%
Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	6.25%	5.88%	6.24%	6.19%	4.86%	5.91%	6.65%	7.89%	7.21%
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total Assets	6,25%	5,88%	6,24%	6,19%	4,86%	5,91%	6,55%	7,81%	7,19%
Shareholders Equity to RWA	11,41%	12,53%	12,83%	12,17%	12,10%	6,61%	13,00%	15,18%	14,66%
Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)	8,39%	7,94%	8,58%	8,80%	7,79%	9,03%	9,44%	9,33%	10,17%
Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	5,18%	5,00%	4,95%	4,90%	3,62%	3,52%	3,48%	4,56%	5,42%
Tier 1 Leverage ratio	5,91%	6,13%	6,36%	6,33%	5,04%	6,09%	6,44%	8,21%	6,91%
Return on Assets	1,37%	1,33%	1,54%	1,45%	0,94%	0,50%	0,14%	0,18%	-0,11%
Return on Capital	3,16%	2,81%	3,21%	2,95%	1,94%	1,06%	0,47%	0,70%	0,65%
Return on Equity	16,35%	15,68%	18,08%	16,59%	10,81%	6,02%	1,81%	2,12%	-1,32%
Share Price	46,15	48,1	53,39	48,89	41,26	23,87	14,08	13,2	15,06
USD JP Morgan Chase & Co	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Total Assets	1.198.942	1.328.001	1.351.520	1.458.042	1.562.147	1.775.670	2.175.052	2.026.642	2.031.989
Risk-weighted assets	850.643	884.228	935.909	1.016.031	1.051.879	1.079.199	1.244.659	1.260.237	1.198.080
Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities)	107.211	110.684	115.790	119.211	123.221	133.176	166.884	154.766	165.365
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	94.551	98.024	102.820	106.241	108.216	118.171	149.627	137.594	145.830
Common equity	107.072	110.684	115.790	119.211	123.221	127.176	134.945	146.614	157.213
Minority Interest	12.660	12.660	12.970	12.970	15.005	15.005	17.257	17.172	19.535
Tier 1 capital	72.474	74.983	81.055	85.096	88.746	98.775	136.104	122.174	132.971
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. trust preferred securities)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Preference shares	0	0	0	0	0	0	31.939	8.152	8.152
Innovative Tier 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Core Tier 1 capital	59.814	62.323	68.085	72.126	73.741	83.770	86.908	96.850	105.284
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	59.814	62.323	68.085	72.126	73.741	83.770	118.847	105.002	113.436
Tier 2 capital	29.963	31.300	34.210	37.180	43.496	46.237	48.616	45.593	44.103
Total Capital (after supervisory deductions)	102.437	106.283	115.265	122.276	132.242	145.012	184.720	167.767	177.074
Goodwill & other intangibles									68.509

8,52%

12,04%

7,03%

8,94% 6,04%

4,99%

12,60%

8,54%

4,99%

6,29%

0.63%

1,44%

8,40%

35,32

8,48%

12,02%

7,05%

8,33%

5,65%

4,69%

12,52%

8,00%

4,69%

5,85%

0,72%

1,68%

7,98%

39,69

8,66%

12,32%

7,27% 8,57%

6,00%

5,04%

12,37%

8,53%

5,04%

6,19%

0,95%

2,19%

10,96%

42

8,38%

12,03%

7,10% 8,18%

5,84%

4,95%

8,39%

4,95%

6,18%

1,13%

2,67%

48,3

12,96%

11,73%

8,44%

12,57%

7,01%

7,89%

5,68%

4,72%

11,71%

8,47%

4,72%

6,02% 1,21% 2,73%

14,65%

48,45

9,15%

13,44%

7,76% 7,50%

5,56%

4,72%

12,34%

8,17%

4,72%

6,43%

1,05%

2,51%

12,86%

43,65

10,94%

14,84%

6,98%

7,67%

6,26%

5,46%

13,41%

8,49%

4,00%

6.92%

0,66%

1,48%

8,63%

34,31

11,10%

14,78%

8,79%

8,14%

6,54%

5,58%

13,80%

8,71%

5,18%

6,88%

0,18%

0,71%

2,48%

34,11

9,69%

13,31%

7,69%

7,64%

6,03%

5,18%

12,28%

8,28%

4,78%

6,20%

0,26%

0,74%

3,82%

31,53

USD Citigroup Inc	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Total Assets	1.494.037	1.626.551	1.884.318	2.220.715	2.187.480	2.100.385	1.938.470	1.848.533	1.856.646
Risk-weighted assets	885.472	1.057.872	1.057.872	1.168.380	1.253.321	1.223.313	996.247	995.414	1.088.526
Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities)	112.537	115.428	119.783	127.603	113.447	136.405	141.630	152.302	152.700
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	112.025	114.321	118.783	127.203	109.370	134.749	140.362	151.220	150.825
Common equity	111.412	114.428	118.783	127.154	113.447	108.981	70.966	78.001	152.388
Minority Interest	512	1.107	1.107	3.889	4.077	1.656	1.268	1.082	1.875
Tier 1 capital	77.824	90.899	90.899	92.435	89.226	106.915	118.758	126.778	127.034
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. trust preferred securities)	6.264	9.579	9.579	10.095	23.594	23.658	23.899	24.034	20.352
Preference shares	1.125	1.000	1.000	400	0	27.424	70.664	74.301	312
Innovative Tier 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Core Tier 1 capital	69.923	79.213	79.213	78.051	61.555	54.177	22.927	27.361	104.495
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	77.312	89.792	89.792	88.546	85.149	105.259	117.490	125.696	125.159
Tier 2 capital	28.578	32.361	32.361	38.815	44.895	43.426	37.640	38.646	38.949
Total Capital (after supervisory deductions)	106.402	123.260	123.260	131.250	134.121	150.341	156.398	165.424	165.983
Goodwill & other intangibles									40.636
Tier 1 ratio	8,79%	8,59%	8,59%	7,91%	7,12%	8,74%	11,92%	12,74%	11,67%
CAR	12,02%	11,65%	11,65%	11,23%	10,70%	12,29%	15,70%	16,62%	15,25%
Core Tier 1 ratio	7,90%	7,49%	7,49%	6,68%	4,91%	4,43%	2,30%	2,75%	9,60%
Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	7,53%	7,10%	6,36%	5,75%	5,19%	6,49%	7,31%	8,24%	8,22%
Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	5,21%	5,59%	4,82%	4,16%	4,08%	5,09%	6,13%	6,86%	6,84%
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total Assets	5,17%	5,52%	4,77%	3,99%	3,89%	5,01%	6,06%	6,80%	6,74%
Shareholders Equity to RWA	12,71%	10,91%	11,32%	10,92%	9,05%	11,15%	14,22%	15,30%	14,03%
Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)	7,12%	7,58%	6,54%	5,91%	6,13%	7,16%	8,07%	8,95%	8,94%
Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	4,68%	4,87%	4,20%	3,51%	2,81%	2,58%	1,18%	1,48%	5,63%
Tier 1 Leverage ratio	5,35%	5,19%	5,16%	4,37%	4,03%	5,04%	6,08%	6,92%	6,89%
Return on Assets	1,43%	1,57%	1,57%	1,27%	1,13%	0,18%	-0,73%	-1,43%	-0,92%
Return on Capital	2,98%	3,09%	3,13%	2,45%	2,13%	0,36%	-1,29%	-2,65%	-1,49%
Return on Equity	20,16%	21,52%	22,01%	18,66%	18,06%	3,08%	-13,28%	-31,90%	-19,48%
Share Price	48,53	48,25	55,7	51,29	29,44	16,76	6,71	2,97	3,31

Wells Fargo & Co	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Total Assets	468.481	491.456	482.585	539.865	575.442	609.074	1.309.639	1.284.176	1.243.646
Risk-weighted assets	384.021	399.340	411.200	448.097	483.146	1.031.326	1.101.437	1.047.700	1.013.600
Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities)	40.660	41.894	45.876	47.239	47.628	47.964	99.084	121.382	114.400
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	40.660	41.894	45.876	46.602	47.178	47.241	95.884	114.623	111.800
Common equity	40.335	41.932	45.492	46.664	47.178	47.241	67.752	83.126	103.301
Minority Interest	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.200	6.759	2.600
Tier 1 capital	31.724	33.344	36.808	38.325	39.211	42.471	86.397	102.721	93.795
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. trust preferred securities)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Preference shares	325	548	384	637	450	723	30.800	31.497	8.100
Innovative Tier 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Core Tier 1 capital	31.399	32.796	36.424	37.688	38.761	41.748	52.397	64.465	83.095
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	31.724	33.344	36.808	38.325	39.211	42.471	83.197	95.962	91.195
Tier 2 capital	13.143	13.858	14.619	14.130	15.311	15.438	43.921	42.263	40.602
Total Capital (after supervisory deductions)	44.867	47.202	51.427	52.455	54.522	57.909	130.318	144.984	134.397
Goodwill & other intangibles									37.700
Tier 1 ratio	8.26%	8.35%	8.95%	8.55%	8.12%	4.12%	7.84%	9.80%	9.25%
CAR	11,68%	11,82%	12,51%	11,71%	11,28%	5,62%	11,83%	13,84%	13,26%
Core Tier 1 ratio	8,18%	8,21%	8,86%	8,41%	8,02%	4,05%	4,76%	6,15%	8,20%
Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	8,68%	8,52%	9,51%	8,75%	8,28%	7,87%	7,57%	9,45%	9,20%
Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	6,77%	6,78%	7,63%	7,10%	6,81%	6,97%	6,60%	8,00%	7,54%
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total Assets	6,77%	6,78%	7,63%	7,10%	6,81%	6,97%	6,35%	7,47%	7,33%
Shareholders Equity to RWA	10,59%	10,49%	11,16%	10,54%	9,86%	4,65%	9,00%	11,59%	11,29%
Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)	9,58%	9,60%	10,66%	9,72%	9,47%	9,51%	9,95%	11,29%	10,81%
Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	6,70%	6,67%	7,55%	6,98%	6,74%	6,85%	4,00%	5,02%	6,68%
Tier 1 Leverage ratio	6,99%	6,99%	7,89%	7,89%	7,04%	7,35%	14,52%	8,32%	7,87%
Return on Assets	1,71%	1,69%	1,71%	1,76%	1,71%	1,52%	1,27%	0,30%	0,43%
Return on Capital	5,39%	5,53%	5,74%	5,85%	5,54%	4,66%	3,47%	0,84%	1,68%
Return on Equity	19,51%	19,61%	19,72%	19,77%	20,09%	17,39%	15,52%	4,95%	6,21%
Share Price	31,415	33,54	35,56	35,17	30,19	23,75	29,48	24,26	26,99

USD US Bancorp	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Total Assets	209.465	213.405	219.232	222.530	237.615	246.538	265.912	265.560	281.176
Risk-weighted assets	184.448	190.023	194.659	197.762	212.592	220.016	230.627	231.821	235.233
Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities)	20.086	20.415	21.197	20.330	21.046	21.828	26.300	24.886	25.963
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	19.871	20.200	20.502	19.635	20.351	21.133	25.607	24.194	25.271
Common equity	20.086	19.415	20.197	19.330	20.046	20.328	18.369	22.671	24.463
Minority Interest	215	215	695	695	695	695	693	692	692
Tier 1 capital	15.145	16.841	17.036	16.876	17.539	18.624	24.426	21.710	22.610
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. trust preferred securities)	3.057	3.057	3.639	3.639	4.024	4.024	4.024	4.024	4.524
Preference shares	0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.500	7.931	1.500	1.500
Innovative Tier 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Core Tier 1 capital	11.873	12.569	11.702	11.542	11.820	12.405	11.778	15.494	15.894
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	14.930	16.626	16.341	16.181	16.844	17.929	23.733	21.018	21.918
Tier 2 capital	7.911	8.055	7.459	8.833	8.386	8.878	8.471	8.329	7.848
Total Capital (after supervisory deductions)	23.056	24.896	24.495	25.709	25.925	27.502	32.897	30.039	30.458
Goodwill & other intangibles									10.139
Tier 1 ratio	8,21%	8,86%	8,75%	8,53%	8,25%	8,46%	10,59%	9,36%	9,61%
CAR	12,50%	13,10%	12,58%	13,00%	12,19%	12,50%	14,26%	12,96%	12,95%
Core Tier 1 ratio	6,44%	6,61%	6,01%	5,84%	5,56%	5,64%	5,11%	6,68%	6,76%
Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	9,59%	9,57%	9,67%	9,14%	8,86%	8,85%	9,89%	9,37%	9,23%
Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	7,23%	7,89%	7,77%	7,58%	7,38%	7,55%	9,19%	8,18%	8,04%
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total Assets	7,13%	7,79%	7,45%	7,27%	7,09%	7,27%	8,93%	7,91%	7,80%
Shareholders Equity to RWA	10,89%	10,74%	10,89%	10,28%	9,90%	9,92%	11,40%	10,74%	11,04%
Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)	11,01%	11,67%	11,17%	11,55%	10,91%	11,16%	12,37%	11,31%	10,83%
Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	5,67%	5,89%	5,34%	5,19%	4,97%	5,03%	4,43%	5,83%	5,65%
Tier 1 Leverage ratio	7,60%	8,20%	8,20%	8,20%	7,90%	7,90%	9,80%	8,40%	8,50%
Return on Assets	2,19%	2,22%	2,22%	2,19%	2,12%	1,87%	1,71%	1,11%	0,63%
Return on Capital	6,20%	6,20%	5,89%	5,83%	5,31%	4,74%	4,17%	3,04%	1,98%
Return on Equity	22,36%	22,66%	23,57%	23,35%	23,86%	21,19%	20,24%	14,60%	7,48%
Share Price	29,89	30,88	36,19	32,95	31,74	27,89	25,01	17,92	22,51

Appendix 13: Overview over European Banks (currency in million)

GBP Royal Bank of Scotland Group	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Total Assets	776.827	839.339	871.432	1.011.266	1.655.130	1.730.705	2.218.693	1.644.400	1.522.481
Risk-weighted assets	371.000	385.500	400.300	419.700	609.000	643.700	695.800	655.200	541.000
Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minoritie	s 35.435	37.375	40.227	41.544	53.038	61.637	58.879	55.666	77.736
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	33.326	33.189	34.964	36.630	14.650	19.581	37.260	39.240	60.841
Minority Interest	2.109	4.186	5.263	4.914	38.388	42.056	21.619	16.426	16.895
Tier 1 capital	28.218	29.124	30.041	31.151	44.367	58.495	69.847	60.887	76.421
Preference shares	10.022	9.892	9.760	10.166	14.704	16.200	16.655	11.207	11.265
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1)	5.746	5.148	4.900	4.264	6.919	6.814	7.383	3.586	5.213
Core Tier 1 capital	10.341	9.898	10.118	11.807	-15.644	-6.575	24.190	29.668	43.048
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	26.109	24.938	24.778	26.237	5.979	16.439	48.228	44.461	59.526
Tier 2 capital	22.437	26.674	27.491	26.955	33.693	30.335	32.223	21.078	15.389
Total Capital (after supervisory deductio	r 43.373	45.687	46.949	52.303	67.974	84.888	98.175	77.661	87.245
Goodwill & other intangibles									17.847
Tier 1 ratio	7,61%	7,55%	7,50%	7,42%	7,29%	9,09%	10,04%	9,29%	14,13%
CAR	11,69%	11,85%	11,73%	12,46%	11,16%	13,19%	14,11%	11,85%	16,13%
Core Tier 1 ratio	2,79%	2,57%	2,53%	2,81%	0,00%	0,00%	3,48%	4,53%	7,96%
Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	4,56%	4,45%	4,62%	4,11%	3,20%	3,56%	2,65%	3,39%	5,11%
Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	3,63%	3,47%	3,45%	3,08%	2,68%	3,38%	3,15%	3,70%	5,02%
Lier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total	2.200/	2.070/	0.040/	2 500/	0.000/	0.050/	0 470/	0.700/	2.040/
Assels Sharahaldara Equity to DM/A	3,30%	2,97%	2,84%	2,59%	0,30%	0,95%	2,17%	2,70%	3,91%
Total capital to Total Assets (LP3)	5,55%	5 110%	5 30%	5,90%	0,7170	9,00 % 1 00%	1 12%	1 72%	5 73%
Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	1.33%	1 18%	1 16%	1 17%	0.00%	4,30%	1 09%	1 80%	2 83%
Return on Assets	0.77%	0.72%	0.73%	0.71%	0.54%	0.20%	-1 15%	-1.33%	-0.18%
Return on Capital	1.92%	2.30%	1.93%	2.38%	1.46%	0.79%	-4.92%	-5.63%	-0.38%
Return on Equity	15,24%	16.23%	15,89%	16,70%	15,66%	5,66%	-43,44%	-41,81%	-5,28%
Share Price	4,899	4,963	5,563	5,301	3,718	2,098	0,494	0,386	0,292

EUR Deutsche Bank AG	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Total Assets	992.161	1.058.293	1.584.493	1.901.181	1.925.003	1.990.740	2.202.423	1.732.873	1.500.664
Risk-weighted assets	251.202	262.564	275.459	307.777	328.818	304.923	307.732	295.096	273.476
Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minoritie	29.936	29.064	33.383	37.004	37.044	31.894	31.914	34.327	37.969
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	29.314	28.372	32.666	36.287	35.622	30.116	30.703	33.214	36.647
Minority Interest	622	692	717	717	1.422	1.778	1.211	1.113	1.322
Tier 1 capital	21.898	22.802	23.539	25.992	28.320	28.327	31.094	32.509	34.406
Preference shares	3.587	4.107	4.496	4.434	5.602	7.141	9.622	9.503	10.616
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Core Tier 1 capital	17.689	18.003	18.326	20.841	21.296	19.408	20.261	21.893	22.468
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	21.276	22.110	22.822	25.275	26.898	26.549	29.883	31.396	33.084
Tier 2 capital	11.988	10.951	10.770	10.366	9.729	8.669	6.302	4.243	3.523
Total Capital (after supervisory deductio	r 33.886	33.753	34.309	36.358	38.049	36.996	37.396	36.752	37.929
Goodwill & other intangibles									10.169
Tier 1 ratio	8,72%	8,68%	8,55%	8,45%	8,61%	9,29%	10,10%	11,02%	12,58%
CAR	13,49%	12,86%	12,46%	11,81%	11,57%	12,13%	12,15%	12,45%	13,87%
Core Tier 1 ratio	7,04%	6,86%	6,65%	6,77%	6,48%	6,36%	6,58%	7,42%	8,22%
Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	3,02%	2,75%	2,11%	1,95%	1,92%	1,60%	1,45%	1,98%	2,53%
Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	2,21%	2,15%	1,49%	1,37%	1,47%	1,42%	1,41%	1,88%	2,29%
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total									
Assets	2,14%	2,09%	1,44%	1,33%	1,40%	1,33%	1,36%	1,81%	2,20%
Shareholders Equity to RWA	11,92%	11,07%	12,12%	12,02%	11,27%	10,46%	10,37%	11,63%	13,88%
Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)	3,42%	3,19%	2,17%	1,91%	1,98%	1,86%	1,70%	2,12%	2,53%
Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	1,78%	1,70%	1,16%	1,10%	1,11%	0,97%	0,92%	1,26%	1,50%
Return on Assets	0,39%	0,44%	0,57%	0,46%	0,41%	0,16%	-0,18%	-0,11%	0,27%
Return on Capital	1,17%	1,24%	1,65%	1,45%	1,61%	0,64%	-1,09%	-0,63%	1,71%
Return on Equity	12,64%	14,46%	19,08%	19,95%	18,54%	9,07%	-11,32%	-6,27%	14,77%
Share Price	81,9	87,97	101,72	107,09	89,47	54,43	27,83	43,19	49,42

ID Gradit Amiagla	04/2005	00/2000	04/2000	00/0007	04/2007	00/2000	04/2000	00/2000	04/2000
	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
I otal Assets	1.061.443	1.177.650	1.260.533	1.391.850	1.414.223	1.464.822	1.653.220	1.605.364	1.557.342
Risk-weighted assets	248.500	251.200	263.600	307.900	345.100	320.600	356.500	324.600	326.400
Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities	30.682	32.338	34.300	41.900	40.691	36.138	47.336	49.620	51.964
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	26.456	28.157	29.530	36.589	34.908	30.893	43.236	43.707	47.264
Minority Interest	4.226	4.181	4.770	5.311	5.783	5.245	4.100	5.913	4.700
Tier 1 capital	20.700	22.300	21.600	27.300	28.000	28.400	30.700	29.900	31.000
Preference shares	3.038	2.911	2.900	2.800	2.700	2.600	2.773	2.750	2.723
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	11.800	12.600	11.200
Core Tier 1 capital	13.436	15.208	13.930	19.189	19.517	20.555	12.027	8.637	12.377
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	16.474	18.119	16.830	21.989	22.217	23.155	26.600	23.987	26.300
Tier 2 capital	16.500	17.900	18.800	17.200	16.000	10.200	19.200	11.000	10.700
Total Capital (after supervisory deductior	21.100	23.900	23.100	30.100	29.700	30.700	33.400	32.500	31.800
Goodwill & other intangibles									21.125
Tier 1 ratio	8,33%	8,88%	8,19%	8,87%	8,11%	8,86%	8,61%	9,21%	9,50%
CAR	8,49%	9,51%	8,76%	9,78%	8,61%	9,58%	9,37%	10,01%	9,74%
Core Tier 1 ratio	5,41%	6,05%	5,28%	6,23%	5,66%	6,41%	3,37%	2,66%	3,79%
Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	2,89%	2,75%	2,72%	3,01%	2,88%	2,47%	2,86%	3,09%	3,34%
Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	1,95%	1,89%	1,71%	1,96%	1,98%	1,94%	1,86%	1,86%	1,99%
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total									
Assets	1,55%	1,54%	1,34%	1,58%	1,57%	1,58%	1,61%	1,49%	1,69%
Shareholders Equity to RWA	12,35%	12,87%	13,01%	13,61%	11,79%	11,27%	13,28%	15,29%	15,92%
Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)	1,99%	2,03%	1,83%	2,16%	2,10%	2,10%	2,02%	2,02%	2,04%
Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	1,27%	1,29%	1,11%	1,38%	1,38%	1,40%	0,73%	0,54%	0,79%
Return on Assets	0,41%	0,42%	0,42%	0,48%	0,30%	0,07%	0,07%	0,03%	0,07%
Return on Capital	1,52%	1,79%	1,69%	1,77%	1,17%	0,36%	0,29%	0,14%	0,24%
Return on Equity	13,99%	15,63%	14,96%	16,70%	10,67%	2,73%	2,48%	1,15%	2,58%
Share Price	24,1583	27,009	28,9246	27,8579	21,2879	12,98	8	8,876	12,36

GBF	P Barclays PLC	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
	Total Assets	924.357	986.124	996.787	1.158.262	1.227.361	1.365.654	2.052.980	1.545.338	1.378.929
	Risk-weighted assets	269.148	290.924	297.833	318.043	353.476	352.739	433.302	406.054	382.653
	Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minoritie	24.430	25.539	27.390	28.721	32.476	32.822	36.618	48.687	47.277
	Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	17.426	17.988	19.799	20.973	23.291	22.289	25.825	37.699	36.076
	Minority Interest	7.004	7.551	7.591	7.748	9.185	10.533	10.793	10.988	11.201
	Tier 1 capital	18.895	21.017	23.005	24.469	27.408	27.700	37.250	42.625	49.637
	Preference shares	2.977	3.435	3.414	3.431	4.744	5.050	5.900	5.850	6.256
	Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Core Tier 1 capital	8.914	10.031	12.000	13.290	13.479	12.117	20.557	25.787	32.180
	Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	11.891	13.466	15.414	16.721	18.223	17.167	26.457	31.637	38.436
	Tier 2 capital	13.350	14.642	14.036	15.206	17.123	17.469	22.333	17.897	14.703
	Total Capital (after supervisory deduction	r 30.502	33.715	34.711	37.452	42.642	44.452	58.727	58.720	63.460
	Goodwill & other intangibles									8.795
	Tier 1 ratio	7,02%	7,22%	7,72%	7,69%	7,75%	7,85%	8,60%	10,50%	12,97%
	CAR	11,33%	11,59%	11,65%	11,78%	12,06%	12,60%	13,55%	14,46%	16,58%
	Core Tier 1 ratio	3,31%	3,45%	4,03%	4,18%	3,81%	3,44%	4,74%	6,35%	8,41%
	Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	2,64%	2,59%	2,75%	2,48%	2,65%	2,40%	1,78%	3,15%	3,43%
	Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	2,04%	2,13%	2,31%	2,11%	2,23%	2,03%	1,81%	2,76%	3,60%
	Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total									
	Assets	1,29%	1,37%	1,55%	1,44%	1,48%	1,26%	1,29%	2,05%	2,79%
	Shareholders Equity to RWA	9,08%	8,78%	9,20%	9,03%	9,19%	9,30%	8,45%	11,99%	12,36%
	Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)	3,30%	3,42%	3,48%	3,23%	3,47%	3,25%	2,86%	3,80%	4,60%
	Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	0,96%	1,02%	1,20%	1,15%	1,10%	0,89%	1,00%	1,67%	2,33%
	Return on Assets	0,47%	0,43%	0,48%	0,46%	0,40%	0,28%	0,23%	0,27%	0,55%
	Return on Capital	1,25%	1,26%	1,47%	1,38%	1,27%	1,02%	0,98%	1,01%	2,02%
	Return on Equity	20,71%	22,96%	24,56%	25,14%	20,50%	16,19%	12,61%	13,16%	22,39%
	Share Price	42,08	45,78	58,14	55,79	40,37	23,15	9,8	18,44	17,6

UBS	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Total Assets	2.060.250	2.176.675	2.346.362	2.539.741	2.272.579	2.077.635	2.014.815	1.599.873	1.340.538
Risk-weighted assets	310.409	315.924	341.892	378.430	372.298	323.177	302.273	247.976	206.525
Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities	44.130	45.465	49.686	51.259	42.170	52.294	40.533	41.556	41.013
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	36.511	39.404	42.735	45.120	35.219	44.283	32.531	33.545	33.393
Minority Interest	7.619	6.061	6.951	6.139	6.951	8.011	8.002	8.011	7.620
Tier 1 capital	39.943	38.402	40.528	46.636	32.811	37.500	33.154	32.640	31.798
Preference shares	4.975	5.604	5.633	5.685	6.381	7.543	7.381	7.528	7.254
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1)	5.438	5.697	5.583	5.619	0	0	0	0	0
Core Tier 1 capital	21.911	21.040	22.361	29.193	19.479	21.946	17.771	17.101	16.924
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	32.324	32.341	33.577	40.497	25.860	29.489	25.152	24.629	24.178
Tier 2 capital	3.974	6.928	9.836	12.059	11.696	13.170	12.213	11.231	9.143
Total Capital (after supervisory deductior	43.917	45.330	50.364	58.695	44.507	50.670	45.367	43.871	40.941
Goodwill & other intangibles									11.008
Tier 1 ratio	12.87%	12.16%	11.85%	12.32%	8.81%	11.60%	10.97%	13.16%	15.40%
CAR	14.15%	14.35%	14.73%	15.51%	11.95%	15.68%	15.01%	17.69%	19.82%
Core Tier 1 ratio	7,06%	6,66%	6,54%	7,71%	5,23%	6,79%	5,88%	6,90%	8,19%
Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	2,14%	2,09%	2,12%	2,02%	1,86%	2,52%	2,01%	2,60%	3,06%
Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	1,94%	1,76%	1,73%	1,84%	1,44%	1,80%	1,65%	2,04%	2,37%
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total									
Assets	1,57%	1,49%	1,43%	1,59%	1,14%	1,42%	1,25%	1,54%	1,80%
Shareholders Equity to RWA	14,22%	14,39%	14,53%	13,55%	11,33%	16,18%	13,41%	16,76%	19,86%
Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)	2,13%	2,08%	2,15%	2,31%	1,96%	2,44%	2,25%	2,74%	3,05%
Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	1,06%	0,97%	0,95%	1,15%	0,86%	1,06%	0,88%	1,07%	1,26%
Return on Assets	0,74%	0,75%	0,55%	0,60%	-0,22%	-1,12%	-0,99%	-0,69%	-0,16%
Return on Capital	1,61%	1,73%	1,16%	1,44%	-0,44%	-2,67%	-2,72%	-1,78%	-0,42%
Return on Equity	35,99%	38,12%	26,16%	29,33%	-12,12%	-54,09%	-61,35%	-32,52%	-7,44%
Share Price	55,63	59,59	65,86	65,46	46,6	21,44	14,84	13,29	16,05

Appendix 14: Overview over Austrian Banks (currency in million)

				~~/~~~		~~/~~~~			o
EUR OVAG	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Total Assets	54.800	61.204	67.429	76.875	78.641	97.709	52.924	52.688	48.116
Risk-weighted assets	26.283	30.089	33.894	39.020	38.502	42.702	33.263	30.405	27.255
Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities	2.238	2.943	2.845	3.040	2.947	2.986	2.224	3.060	2.121
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	1.350	1.433	1.516	1.455	1.347	1.560	1.231	2.108	1.178
Minority Interest	888	1.128	1.329	1.455	1.600	1.425	993	952	943
Tier 1 capital	1.972	2.318	2.664	2.665	2.767	3.200	2.515	3.259	2.714
Preference shares	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1)	430	427	424	422	422	422	355	351	353
Core Tier 1 capital	635	744	892	769	725	1.333	1.147	1.936	1.399
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	1.084	1.190	1.335	1.210	1.167	1.775	1.522	2.307	1.771
Tier 2 capital	841	1.190	1.538	1.708	1.568	1.339	895	787	938
Total Capital (after supervisory deduction	2.813	3.508	4.202	4.382	4.335	4.539	3.424	4.071	3.682
Goodwill & other intangibles									34
g									
Tier 1 ratio	7,50%	7,70%	7,86%	6,83%	7,19%	7,49%	7,56%	10,72%	9,96%
CAR	10,70%	11,66%	12,40%	11,23%	11,26%	10,63%	10,29%	13,39%	13,51%
Core Tier 1 ratio	2,41%	2,47%	2,63%	1,97%	1,88%	3,12%	3,45%	6,37%	5,13%
Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	4,08%	4,81%	4,22%	3,95%	3,75%	3,06%	4,20%	5,81%	4,41%
Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	3,60%	3,79%	3,95%	3,47%	3,52%	3,28%	4,75%	6,19%	5,64%
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total									
Assets	1,98%	1,94%	1,98%	1,57%	1,48%	1,82%	2,88%	4,38%	3,68%
Shareholders Equity to RWA	8,52%	9,78%	8,39%	7,79%	7,66%	6,99%	6,69%	10,07%	7,78%
Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)	5,13%	5,73%	6,23%	5,70%	5,51%	4,65%	6,47%	7,73%	7,65%
Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	1,16%	1,22%	1,32%	1,00%	0,92%	1,36%	2,17%	3,68%	2,91%
EUR Hypo Group	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Total Assets	24.230	27.412	30.595	37.756	37.939	41.212	43.336	41.712	41.079
Risk-weighted assets	17.760	19.885	22.010	25.534	28.247	32.678	32.832	31.279	27.908
Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities	983	1.115	1.247	1.302	1.659	1.748	2.530	2.406	1.990
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	660	755	850	709	1.155	1.016	2.021	1.891	1.466
Minority Interest	323	360	397	593	505	732	509	514	525
Tier 1 canital	914	1 046	1 178	1 514	1 769	1 898	2 747	2 550	2 018
Proference shares	0	1.0.10	0	0	0	0.000	2.1.11	2.000	2.010
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1)	227	226	224	204	206	201	117	110	130
Coro Tior 1 conitol	264	461	557	717	1 050	201	2 1 2 0	1 0 1 6	1 264
Core fiel i capital	504	401	701	001	1.009	1 166	2.120	2.025	1.304
Tier 2 capital (excl. Millorly interest)	591	000	101	921	1.200	1.100	2.237	2.035	1.494
Tier 2 capital		1 721	1 000	993	1.107	1.415	1.430	1.427	3 014
I otal Capital (after supervisory deduction	1.471	1.731	1.990	2.507	2.876	3.313	4.176	3.977	3.014
Goodwill & other intangibles									45
Tion 4 notio	E 4 E 0/	E 000/	E 250/	E 020/	0.000/	E 040/	0.070/	0.450/	7.000/
	5,15%	5,26%	5,35%	5,93%	0,26%	5,81%	8,37%	8,15%	7,23%
CAR Care Tier 4 retie	8,28%	8,70%	9,04%	9,82%	10,18%	10,14%	12,72%	12,72%	10,80%
Core rier i rauo	2,05%	2,32%	2,53%	2,81%	3,75%	2,95%	6,46%	6,13%	4,89%
Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LRT)	4,05%	4,07%	4,08%	3,45%	4,37%	4,24%	5,84%	5,77%	4,84%
Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LRZ)	3,77%	3,82%	3,85%	4,01%	4,00%	4,01%	6,34%	0,11%	4,91%
Accesto	2 4 4 9/	2 500/	2 550/	2 4 4 0/	2 2 2 0/	2 0 2 0/	E 160/	4 0 0 0/	2 6 4 9 /
Assels Sharahaldara Equity to BWA	2,44%	Z,50%	Z,33%	Z,44 %	5,55%	Z,0370	5,10% 7 710/	4,00%	3,04%
Total capital to Total Assots (LP2)	5,53%	5,01% 6,21%	5,07 %	5,10%	J,07%	0,30% 0,04%	0,64%	7,09%	7,13%
Core Tior 1 oppital to Total Assets (LRS)	1 5 09/	1 6 9 9/	1 920/	0,04 /0	7,50%	0,04 /0	9,04 /0	9,54 /0	7,3470
FUD Frate Crown	1,50%	1,00%	1,02%	1,90%	2,19%	2,34%	4,09%	4,59%	3,32%
EUR Erste Group	452,000	400.040	404 700	407.000	200 540	044450	204 444	204 407	Q4/2009
I otal Assets	152.660	70.047	181.703	197.323	200.519	214.158	201.411	204.167	201.710
Risk-weighted assets	75.078	/9.24/	94.129	92.660	95.091	102.331	103.663	107.834	106.383
i otal Shareholders equity (Incl. Minorities	0.461	9.037	10.904	11.368	8.452	12.046	11.095	13.293	10.123
Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	4.129	6.865	7.979	8.387	5.501	8.911	8.079	10.098	12.709
Minority Interest	2.332	2.172	2.925	2.981	2.951	3.135	3.016	3.195	3.414
Tier 1 capital	5.112	1.757	6.185	5.901	6.674	7.090	7.448	9.038	11.450
Preference shares	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1)	900	900	1.250	1.250	1.248	1.248	1.256	1.256	1.174
Core Tier 1 capital	1.880	4.685	2.010	1.670	2.475	2.707	3.176	4.587	6.862
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	2.780	5.585	3.260	2.920	3.723	3.955	4.432	5.843	8.036
Tier 2 capital	3.381	3.245	3.820	4.226	3.820	4.279	4.335	4.312	4.326
Total Capital (after supervisory deduction	8.611	11.104	10.111	10.202	10.825	11.764	12.185	13.730	16.182
Goodwill & other intangibles									498
Tier 1 ratio	6,81%	9,79%	6,57%	6,37%	7,02%	6,93%	7,18%	8,38%	10,76%
CAR	11,47%	14,01%	10,74%	11,01%	11,38%	11,50%	11,75%	12,73%	15,21%
Core Tier 1 ratio	2,50%	5,91%	2,14%	1,80%	2,60%	2,65%	3,06%	4,25%	6,45%
Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	4,23%	5,64%	6,00%	5,76%	4,22%	5,62%	5,51%	6,51%	7,99%
Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	3,35%	4,84%	3,40%	2,99%	3,33%	3,31%	3,70%	4,43%	5,68%
Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total									
Assets	1,82%	3,49%	1,79%	1,48%	1,86%	1,85%	2,20%	2,86%	3,98%
Shareholders Equity to RWA	8,61%	11,40%	11,58%	12,27%	8,89%	11,77%	10,70%	12,33%	15,16%
Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)	5,64%	6,93%	5,56%	5,17%	5,40%	5,49%	6,05%	6,72%	8,02%
Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	1,23%	2,92%	1,11%	0,85%	1,23%	1,26%	1,58%	2,25%	3,40%

EUF	RRZB	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
	Total Assets	93.863	103.189	115.629	126.057	137.402	159.161	156.921	155.938	147.938
	Risk-weighted assets	47.968	54.981	70.656	79.286	93.638	98.090	89.040	80.716	74.990
	Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities	4.950	5.217	6.637	7.246	8.422	8.933	8.587	9.997	10.308
	Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	3.520	3.697	4.743	5.150	5.667	5.935	5.912	7.496	7.735
	Minority Interest	1.430	1.520	1.894	2.096	2.755	2.998	2.675	2.501	2.573
	Tier 1 capital	3.982	3.955	5.652	5.662	7.341	7.153	7.471	8.760	8.813
	Preference shares	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1)	819	809	819	809	819	809	1.075	809	819
	Core Tier 1 capital	1.733	1.626	2.939	2.757	3.767	3.346	3.721	5.450	5.421
	Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	2.552	2.435	3.758	3.566	4.586	4.155	4.796	6.259	6.240
	Tier 2 capital	1.306	1.710	1.723	2.104	2.722	3.072	3.603	3.583	3.718
	Total Capital (after supervisory deduction	5.199	5.851	7.614	8.035	10.508	10.466	11.362	12.659	12.823
	Goodwill & other intangibles									383
	g									
	Tier 1 ratio	8,30%	7,19%	8,00%	7,14%	7,84%	7,29%	8,39%	10,85%	11,75%
	CAR	10,84%	10,64%	10,78%	10,13%	11,22%	10,67%	12,76%	15,68%	17,10%
	Core Tier 1 ratio	3,61%	2,96%	4,16%	3,48%	4,02%	3,41%	4,18%	6,75%	7,23%
	Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1)	5,27%	5,06%	5,74%	5,75%	6,13%	5,61%	5,47%	6,41%	6,97%
	Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2)	4,24%	3,83%	4,89%	4,49%	5,34%	4,49%	4,76%	5,62%	5,96%
	Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total									
	Assets	2,72%	2,36%	3,25%	2,83%	3,34%	2,61%	3,06%	4,01%	4,22%
	Shareholders Equity to RWA	10,32%	9,49%	9,39%	9,14%	8,99%	9,11%	9,64%	12,39%	13,75%
	Total capital to Total Assets (LR3)	5,54%	5,67%	6,58%	6,37%	7,65%	6,58%	7,24%	8,12%	8,67%
	Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets	1,85%	1,58%	2,54%	2,19%	2,74%	2,10%	2,37%	3,49%	3,66%
EUF	CUniCredit Bank Austria	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
EUF	CUniCredit Bank Austria	Q4/2005 158.879	Q2/2006 160.626	Q4/2006 154.255	Q2/2007 203.049	Q4/2007 209.170	Q2/2008 228.631	Q4/2008 222.152	Q2/2009 207.648	Q4/2009 194.459
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities)	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities) Minority Interest	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 650	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 650 6.236	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 650 6.236 0	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1)	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 650 6.236 0 0	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0 0	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0
EUF	C UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 650 6.236 0 0 5.586	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0 0 0 5.500	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 0 9.020	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 8.348	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 8.734	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 9.384
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest)	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 650 6.236 0 0 5.586 5.586	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0 0 5.500 5.500	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 9.020 9.020	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 8.348 8.348	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 8.734 8.734	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 9.923 0 0 9.384 9.384
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest) Tier 2 capital	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 650 6.236 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0 0 0 0 0 0 5.500 5.500 3.345	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 8.288 3.158	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759 4.214	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398 8.398 2.925	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 0 8.734 8.734 2.510	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 9.384 2.468
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest) Tier 2 capital Total Capital (after supervisory deductior	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 650 6.236 0 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646 9.152	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0 0 0 0 0 5.500 3.345 8.691	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 8.288 3.158 10.773	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759 4.214 13.548	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559 13.165	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 0 8.398 8.398 8.398 2.925 12.244	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870 12.390	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 0 8.734 8.734 2.510 12.014	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 9.384 2.468 12.634
EUR	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 1 capital Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest) Tier 2 capital Total Capital (after supervisory deductior Goodwill & other intangibles	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 650 6.236 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646 9.152	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0 0 0 5.500 5.500 3.345 8.691	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 8.288 3.158 10.773	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759 4.214 13.548	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559 13.165	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398 8.398 2.925 12.244	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870 12.390	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 8.734 8.734 2.510 12.014	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 9.384 2.468 12.634 579
EUR	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 1 capital Tier 1 capital Tier 2 capital Total Capital (after supervisory deductior Goodwill & other intangibles Tier 4 ratio	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 650 6.236 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646 9.152	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0 0 5.500 5.500 3.345 8.691	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 3.158 10.773	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759 4.214 13.548	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559 13.165	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398 2.925 12.244	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870 12.390	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 8.734 8.734 2.510 12.014	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 9.384 2.468 12.634 579
EUR	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest) Tier 2 capital Total Capital (excl. Minorty Interest) Tier 2 capital Total Capital (after supervisory deductior Goodwill & other intangibles Tier 1 ratio CAP	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 6.500 6.236 0 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646 9.152 8,29%	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 620 6.120 0 0 5.500 5.500 5.500 3.345 8.691 7,87% 11.18%	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 3.158 10.773	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 9.759 9.759 9.759 4.214 13.548	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559 13.165 8,20%	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398 8.398 2.925 12.244	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870 12.390	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 8.734 8.734 2.510 12.014	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 9.384 2.468 12.634 579 8,68%
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities Shareholders' funds (excl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 1 capital Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest) Tier 2 capital Total Capital (after supervisory deductior Goodwill & other intangibles Tier 1 ratio CAR Core Tier 1 ratio	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 6.500 6.236 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646 9.152 8,29% 12,16% 7,42%	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 6.20 6.120 0 0 5.500 5.500 3.345 8.691 7,87% 11,18% 7,07%	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 3.158 10.773 11,62% 14,73%	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759 9.759 4.214 13.548 10.36% 13.45% 9.6%	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559 13.165 8,20% 11,16% 7.64%	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398 8.398 2.925 12.244 6,49% 8,75% 6,00%	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870 12.390 6,82% 9,30% 6,27%	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 8.734 8.734 2.510 12.014 7,28% 9,45% 6.87%	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 2.468 12.634 579 8,68% 11,05% 8,20%
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 2 capital Total Capital (after supervisory deductior Godwill & other intangibles Tier 1 ratio CAR Core Tier 1 ratio Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (I, P1)	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 6500 6.236 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646 9.152 8,29% 12,16% 7,42%	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0 0 5.500 5.500 3.345 8.691 7.87% 11,18% 7,07% 5.10%	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 3.158 10.773 11,62% 14,73% 11,33% 6.57%	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759 4.214 13.548 10,36% 13,45% 9,69% 7.05%	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559 13.165 8,20% 11,16% 7,64% 7,33%	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398 8.398 2.925 12.244 6,49% 8,75% 6,00% 6,00%	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870 12.390 6,82% 9,30% 6,27% 6,41%	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 8.734 8.734 2.510 12.014 7,28% 9,45% 6,87% 6,87%	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 9.384 2.468 12.634 579 8,68% 11,05% 8,68%
EUF	CuniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 2 capital Total Capital (after supervisory deductior Goodwill & other intangibles Tier 1 ratio CAR Core Tier 1 ratio Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1) Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR1)	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 650 6.236 0 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646 9.152 8,29% 12,16% 7,42% 4,73%	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0 0 5.500 5.500 3.345 8.691 7,87% 11,18% 7,07% 5,10% 3.81%	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 3.158 10.773 11,62% 14,73% 11,33% 6,57%	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759 4.214 13.548 10,36% 13,45% 9,69% 7,05% 5.14%	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559 13.165 8,20% 11,16% 7,64% 7,64%	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398 8.398 2.925 12.244 6,49% 8,75% 6,00% 6,99% 3.97%	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870 12.390 6,82% 9,30% 6,27% 6,27% 6,41%	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 0 8.734 8.734 2.510 12.014 7,28% 9,45% 6,87% 6,81%	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 9.384 2.468 12.634 579 8,68% 11,05% 8,20% 7,40% 5,10%
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorty Interest) Tier 2 capital Total Capital (after supervisory deductior Goodwill & other intangibles Tier 1 ratio CAR Core Tier 1 ratio Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1) Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2) Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 6.500 6.236 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646 9.152 8,29% 12,16% 7,42% 4,73%	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 620 6.120 0 0 5.500 5.500 3.345 8.691 7,87% 11,18% 7,07% 5,10% 3,81%	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 3.158 10.773 11,62% 14,73% 6,57% 5,51%	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759 4.214 13.548 10,36% 13,45% 9,69% 7,05% 5,14%	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559 13.165 8,20% 11,16% 7,64% 7,33% 4,63%	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398 2.925 12.244 6,49% 8,75% 6,00% 6,99% 3,97%	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870 12.390 6,82% 9,30% 6,42% 6,41% 4,09%	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 520 9.254 0 0 8.734 8.734 2.510 12.014 7,28% 9,45% 6,87% 6,81% 4,46%	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 9.384 9.384 9.384 2.468 12.634 579 8,68% 11,05% 8,20% 7,40% 5,10%
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 2 capital Total Capital (after supervisory deductior Goodwill & other intangibles Tier 1 ratio CAR Core Tier 1 ratio Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1) Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2) Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR1)	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 6500 6.236 0 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646 9.152 8,29% 12,16% 7,42% 4,73% 3,52%	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 620 6.120 0 0 5.500 5.500 3.345 8.691 7,87% 11,18% 7,07% 5,10% 3,81% 3,42%	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 3.158 10.773 11,62% 14,73% 11,62% 14,73% 5,51% 5,37%	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759 4.214 13.548 10,36% 13,45% 9,69% 7,05% 5,14% 4,81%	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559 13.165 8,20% 11,16% 7,64% 4,63% 4,63%	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398 8.398 2.925 12.244 6.49% 8.75% 6,00% 6,99% 3,97%	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870 12.390 6,82% 9,30% 6,27% 6,41% 4,09%	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 8.734 8.734 2.510 12.014 7,28% 9,45% 6,87% 6,87% 6,81% 4,46%	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 9.384 2.468 12.634 579 8,68% 11,05% 8,20% 7,40% 5,10%
EUF	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 2 capital Total Capital (after supervisory deductior Godwill & other intangibles Tier 1 ratio CAR Core Tier 1 ratio Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1) Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2) Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR2) Shareholders Equity to RWA	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 6500 6.236 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646 9.152 8,29% 12,16% 7,42% 4,73% 3,92%	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0 0 5.500 5.500 3.345 8.691 7.87% 11,18% 7,07% 5,10% 3,81% 3,42% 10,54%	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 3.158 10.773 11,62% 14,73% 11,33% 6,57% 5,51%	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759 4.214 13.548 10,36% 13,45% 9,69% 7,05% 5,14% 4,81% 14,21%	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559 13.165 8,20% 11,16% 7,64% 7,33% 4,63% 4,31%	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398 8.398 2.925 12.244 6,49% 8,75% 6,00% 6,99% 3,97% 3,67% 11,42%	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870 12.390 6.82% 9,30% 6.27% 6.41% 4,09% 3,76%	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 8.734 8.734 2.510 12.014 7,28% 9,45% 6,87% 6,87% 6,81% 4,46% 4,21% 11,12%	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 9.384 2.468 12.634 579 8,68% 11,05% 8,20% 7,40% 5,10% 4,83% 12,58%
EU	R UniCredit Bank Austria Total Assets Risk-weighted assets Total Shareholders equity (incl. Minorities) Minority Interest Tier 1 capital Preference shares Hybrid Tier 1 (esp. Innovative Tier 1) Core Tier 1 capital Tier 2 capital Total Capital (after supervisory deductior Goodwill & other intangibles Tier 1 ratio CAR Core Tier 1 ratio Shareholders Equity to Total Assets (LR1) Tier 1 capital (excl. Minorities) to Total Assets Shareholders Equity to RWA Total capital to Total Assets (LR3) Core Tier 1 capital to Total Assets (LR3)	Q4/2005 158.879 75.263 7.521 6.871 6500 6.236 0 0 0 5.586 5.586 3.646 9.152 8,29% 12,16% 7,42% 4,73% 3,92% 3,52% 9,99% 5,76%	Q2/2006 160.626 77.751 8.196 7.576 620 6.120 0 0 5.500 5.500 3.345 8.691 7,87% 11,18% 7,07% 5,10% 3,81% 3,42% 10,54% 5,41% 2,42%	Q4/2006 154.255 73.136 10.140 9.927 213 8.501 0 0 8.288 8.288 3.158 10.773 11,62% 14,73% 11,33% 6,57% 5,51% 5,37% 13,86% 6,98%	Q2/2007 203.049 100.739 14.310 13.633 677 10.436 0 0 9.759 9.759 4.214 13.548 10,36% 13,45% 9,69% 7,05% 5,14% 4,81% 14,21% 6,67% 4,04%	Q4/2007 209.170 117.993 15.333 14.675 658 9.678 0 0 0 9.020 9.020 9.020 12.559 13.165 8,20% 11,16% 7,64% 7,33% 4,63% 4,31% 12,99% 6,29%	Q2/2008 228.631 139.925 15.986 15.305 681 9.079 0 0 8.398 8.398 8.398 2.925 12.244 6,49% 8,75% 6,00% 6,99% 3,97% 3,67% 11,42% 5,36% 2,272%	Q4/2008 222.152 133.239 14.237 13.504 733 9.081 0 0 8.348 8.348 8.348 2.870 12.390 6,82% 9,30% 6,27% 6,41% 4,09% 3,76% 10,69% 5,58%	Q2/2009 207.648 127.073 14.133 13.613 520 9.254 0 0 8.734 8.734 8.734 2.510 12.014 7,28% 9,45% 6,87% 6,81% 4,46% 4,21% 11,12% 5,79%	Q4/2009 194.459 114.386 14.388 13.849 539 9.923 0 0 0 9.384 9.384 2.468 12.634 579 8,68% 11,05% 8,20% 7,40% 5,10% 4,83% 12,58% 6,50%

Appendix 15: Comparison of the Figures between Q4/2005 and Q4/2009 in USD

in USD												
Q4/2009	Total Assets	Risk- Weighted Assets	RWA in % of Total Assets	Goodwill & other intangible s	Minoriti es	preferr ed shares	other hybrid instrumen ts+innovat ive Tier 1	Tier 1 Capital	Total Capital	Goodwill in % of Tier 1 Capital	Minorities in % of Tier 1 Capital	Pref. Shares in % of Tier 1 Capital
European Banks	10.294.968	2.529.703	25%	97.886	125.162	54.010	24.354	325.132	379.388	30%	38%	17%
US Banks	7.636.756	5.077.956	66%	251.597	25.284	39.512	42.840	536.798	733.989	47%	5%	7%
Austrian Banks	907.661	502.948	55%	2.206	7.994	28	3.549	50.046	69.275	4%	16%	0,1%
Q4/2005												
European Banks	6.903.811	1.923.973	28%	-	27.161	28.802	14.018	161.428	225.036	-	17%	18%
US Banks	4.561.195	3.194.773	70%	-	13.387	1.721	21.767	271.542	376.663	-	5%	1%
Austrian Banks	568.849	284.585	50%	-	6.603	23	2.790	21.391	31.994	-	31%	0,1%
	EL	JR/USD	GBP/U	JSD	CHF/U	SD						
200	9-12-31	1,433	322	1,59257		0,9634	D					
200	5-12-31	1,174	126	1,72039		0,75993	3					

 1,17426
 1,72039
 0,75993

 Source: OANDA Currency Converter

Appendix 16: Overview calculated Ratios

Q4/2005 - Q4/2009	Tier 1 RAT	10								
European Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Royal Bank of Scotland Group	8,88%	7,61%	7,55%	7,50%	7,42%	7,29%	9,09%	10,04%	9,29%	14,13%
Deutsche Bank AG	9,56%	8,72%	8,68%	8,55%	8,45%	8,61%	9,29%	10,10%	11,02%	12,58%
Credit Agricole	8,73%	8,33%	8,88%	8,19%	8,87%	8,11%	8,86%	8,61%	9,21%	9,50%
Barclays PLC	8,59%	7,02%	7,22%	7,72%	7,69%	7,75%	7,85%	8,60%	10,50%	12,97%
UBS	12,13%	12,87%	12,16%	11,85%	12,32%	8,81%	11,60%	10,97%	13,16%	15,40%
	Mean	8,91%	8,90%	8,76%	8,95%	8,12%	9,34%	9,66%	10,64%	12,91%
US Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Bank of America	8,48%	8,35%	8,33%	8,64%	8,52%	6,87%	4,13%	9,15%	11,93%	10,40%
JP Morgan Chase & Co	9,26%	8,52%	8,48%	8,66%	8,38%	8,44%	9,15%	10,94%	9,69%	11,10%
Citigroup Inc	9,56%	8,79%	8,59%	8,59%	7,91%	7,12%	8,74%	11,92%	12,74%	11,67%
Wells Fargo & Co	8,14%	8,26%	8,35%	8,95%	8,55%	8,12%	4,12%	7,84%	9,80%	9,25%
US Bancorp	8,96%	8,21%	8,86%	8,75%	8,53%	8,25%	8,46%	10,59%	9,36%	9,61%
	Mean	8,43%	8,52%	8,72%	8,38%	7,76%	6,92%	10,09%	10,71%	10,41%
Austrian Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
ÖVAG	8,09%	7,50%	7,70%	7,86%	6,83%	7,19%	7,49%	7,56%	10,72%	9,96%
Hypo Group	6,39%	5,15%	5,26%	5,35%	5,93%	6,26%	5,81%	8,37%	8,15%	7,23%
Erste Group	7,76%	6,81%	9,79%	6,57%	6,37%	7,02%	6,93%	7,18%	8,38%	10,76%
RZB	8,53%	8,30%	7,19%	8,00%	7,14%	7,84%	7,29%	8,39%	10,85%	11,75%
UniCredit Bank Austria	8,40%	8,29%	7,87%	11,62%	10,36%	8,20%	6,49%	6,82%	7,28%	8,68%
	Mean	7,21%	7,56%	7,88%	7,33%	7,30%	6,80%	7,66%	9,08%	9,68%

Q4/2005 - Q4/2009	CAR									
European Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Royal Bank of Scotland Group	12,69%	11,69%	11,85%	11,73%	12,46%	11,16%	13,19%	14,11%	11,85%	16,13%
Deutsche Bank AG	12,53%	13,49%	12,86%	12,46%	11,81%	11,57%	12,13%	12,15%	12,45%	13,87%
Credit Agricole	9,32%	8,49%	9,51%	8,76%	9,78%	8,61%	9,58%	9,37%	10,01%	9,74%
Barclays PLC	12,85%	11,33%	11,59%	11,65%	11,78%	12,06%	12,60%	13,55%	14,46%	16,58%
UBS	15,43%	14,15%	14,35%	14,73%	15,51%	11,95%	15,68%	15,01%	17,69%	19,82%
	Mean	11,83%	12,03%	11,87%	12,27%	11,07%	12,64%	12,84%	13,29%	15,23%
US Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Bank of America	11,73%	11,22%	11,25%	11,88%	12,11%	11,02%	6,30%	13,00%	14,11%	14,66%
JP Morgan Chase & Co	13,04%	12,04%	12,02%	12,32%	12,03%	12,57%	13,44%	14,84%	13,31%	14,78%
Citigroup Inc	13,01%	12,02%	11,65%	11,65%	11,23%	10,70%	12,29%	15,70%	16,62%	15,25%
Wells Fargo & Co	11,51%	11,68%	11,82%	12,51%	11,71%	11,28%	5,62%	11,83%	13,84%	13,26%
US Bancorp	12,89%	12,50%	13,10%	12,58%	13,00%	12,19%	12,50%	14,26%	12,96%	12,95%
	Mean	11,89%	11,97%	12,19%	12,02%	11,55%	10,03%	13,93%	14,17%	14,18%
Austrian Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
ÖVAG	11,67%	10,70%	11,66%	12,40%	11,23%	11,26%	10,63%	10,29%	13,39%	13,51%
Hypo Group	10,27%	8,28%	8,70%	9,04%	9,82%	10,18%	10,14%	12,72%	12,72%	10,80%
Erste Group	12,20%	11,47%	14,01%	10,74%	11,01%	11,38%	11,50%	11,75%	12,73%	15,21%
RZB	12,20%	10,84%	10,64%	10,78%	10,13%	11,22%	10,67%	12,76%	15,68%	17,10%
UniCredit Bank Austria	11,25%	12,16%	11,18%	14,73%	13,45%	11,16%	8,75%	9,30%	9,45%	11,05%
	Mean	10,69%	11,24%	11,54%	11,13%	11,04%	10,34%	11,37%	12,80%	13,53%

Q4/2005 - Q4/2009	Core Tier 1	ratio								
European Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Royal Bank of Scotland Group	2,96%	2,79%	2,57%	2,53%	2,81%	0,00%	0,00%	3,48%	4,53%	7,96%
Deutsche Bank AG	6,93%	7,04%	6,86%	6,65%	6,77%	6,48%	6,36%	6,58%	7,42%	8,22%
Credit Agricole	4,99%	5,41%	6,05%	5,28%	6,23%	5,66%	6,41%	3,37%	2,66%	3,79%
Barclays PLC	4,64%	3,31%	3,45%	4,03%	4,18%	3,81%	3,44%	4,74%	6,35%	8,41%
UBS	6,77%	7,06%	6,66%	6,54%	7,71%	5,23%	6,79%	5,88%	6,90%	8,19%
	Mean	5,12%	5,12%	5,01%	5,54%	4,24%	4,60%	4,81%	5,57%	7,31%
US Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Bank of America	6,08%	6,93%	7,09%	6,86%	6,75%	5,12%	2,46%	4,80%	6,90%	7,81%
JP Morgan Chase & Co	7,41%	7,03%	7,05%	7,27%	7,10%	7,01%	7,76%	6,98%	7,69%	8,79%
Citigroup Inc	5,95%	7,90%	7,49%	7,49%	6,68%	4,91%	4,43%	2,30%	2,75%	9,60%
Wells Fargo & Co	7,20%	8,18%	8,21%	8,86%	8,41%	8,02%	4,05%	4,76%	6,15%	8,20%
US Bancorp	6,07%	6,44%	6,61%	6,01%	5,84%	5,56%	5,64%	5,11%	6,68%	6,76%
	Mean	7,29%	7,29%	7,30%	6,95%	6,12%	4,87%	4,79%	6,03%	8,23%
Austrian Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
ÖVAG	3,27%	2,41%	2,47%	2,63%	1,97%	1,88%	3,12%	3,45%	6,37%	5,13%
Hypo Group	3,76%	2,05%	2,32%	2,53%	2,81%	3,75%	2,95%	6,46%	6,13%	4,89%
Erste Group	3,49%	2,50%	5,91%	2,14%	1,80%	2,60%	2,65%	3,06%	4,25%	6,45%
RZB	4,42%	3,61%	2,96%	4,16%	3,48%	4,02%	3,41%	4,18%	6,75%	7,23%
UniCredit Bank Austria	7,83%	7,42%	7,07%	11,33%	9,69%	7,64%	6,00%	6,27%	6,87%	8,20%
	Mean	3.60%	4.15%	4.56%	3.95%	3.98%	3.63%	4.68%	6.07%	6.38%

Q4/2005 - Q4/2009	Leverage F	Ratio 1 (Sha	reholders E	quity/Total	Assets)					
European Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Royal Bank of Scotland Group	3,96%	4,56%	4,45%	4,62%	4,11%	3,20%	3,56%	2,65%	3,39%	5,11%
Deutsche Bank AG	2,14%	3,02%	2,75%	2,11%	1,95%	1,92%	1,60%	1,45%	1,98%	2,53%
Credit Agricole	2,89%	2,89%	2,75%	2,72%	3,01%	2,88%	2,47%	2,86%	3,09%	3,34%
Barclays PLC	2,65%	2,64%	2,59%	2,75%	2,48%	2,65%	2,40%	1,78%	3,15%	3,43%
UBS	2,27%	2,14%	2,09%	2,12%	2,02%	1,86%	2,52%	2,01%	2,60%	3,06%
	Mean	3,05%	2,92%	2,86%	2,71%	2,50%	2,51%	2,15%	2,84%	3,49%
US Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Bank of America	9,24%	8,53%	8,85%	9,27%	8,85%	8,56%	9,48%	9,44%	10,03%	10,17%
JP Morgan Chase & Co	8,10%	8,94%	8,33%	8,57%	8,18%	7,89%	7,50%	7,67%	7,64%	8,14%
Citigroup Inc	6,91%	7,53%	7,10%	6,36%	5,75%	5,19%	6,49%	7,31%	8,24%	8,22%
Wells Fargo & Co	8,65%	8,68%	8,52%	9,51%	8,75%	8,28%	7,87%	7,57%	9,45%	9,20%
US Bancorp	9,35%	9,59%	9,57%	9,67%	9,14%	8,86%	8,85%	9,89%	9,37%	9,23%
	Mean	8,65%	8,47%	8,67%	8,13%	7,75%	8,04%	8,38%	8,95%	8,99%
Austrian Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
ÖVAG	4,25%	4,08%	4,81%	4,22%	3,95%	3,75%	3,06%	4,20%	5,81%	4,41%
Hypo Group	4,52%	4,05%	4,07%	4,08%	3,45%	4,37%	4,24%	5,84%	5,77%	4,84%
Erste Group	5,72%	4,23%	5,64%	6,00%	5,76%	4,22%	5,62%	5,51%	6,51%	7,99%
RZB	5,82%	5,27%	5,06%	5,74%	5,75%	6,13%	5,61%	5,47%	6,41%	6,97%
UniCredit Bank Austria	6,49%	4,73%	5,10%	6,57%	7,05%	7,33%	6,99%	6,41%	6,81%	7,40%
	Mean	4,48%	4,93%	5,32%	5,19%	5,16%	5,11%	5,49%	6,26%	6,32%

Q4/2005 - Q4/2009	Leverage F	Ratio 2 (Tier	1 Capital/To	otal Assets)						
European Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Royal Bank of Scotland Group	3,51%	3,63%	3,47%	3,45%	3,08%	2,68%	3,38%	3,15%	3,70%	5,02%
Deutsche Bank AG	1,74%	2,21%	2,15%	1,49%	1,37%	1,47%	1,42%	1,41%	1,88%	2,29%
Credit Agricole	1,91%	1,95%	1,89%	1,71%	1,96%	1,98%	1,94%	1,86%	1,86%	1,99%
Barclays PLC	2,34%	2,04%	2,13%	2,31%	2,11%	2,23%	2,03%	1,81%	2,76%	3,60%
UBS	1,84%	1,94%	1,76%	1,73%	1,84%	1,44%	1,80%	1,65%	2,04%	2,37%
	Mean	2,35%	2,28%	2,14%	2,07%	1,96%	2,11%	1,98%	2,45%	3,05%
US Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Bank of America	6,34%	6,25%	5,88%	6,24%	6,19%	4,86%	5,91%	6,65%	7,89%	7,21%
JP Morgan Chase & Co	5,96%	6,04%	5,65%	6,00%	5,84%	5,68%	5,56%	6,26%	6,03%	6,54%
Citigroup Inc	5,42%	5,21%	5,59%	4,82%	4,16%	4,08%	5,09%	6,13%	6,86%	6,84%
Wells Fargo & Co	7,13%	6,77%	6,78%	7,63%	7,10%	6,81%	6,97%	6,60%	8,00%	7,54%
US Bancorp	7,87%	7,23%	7,89%	7,77%	7,58%	7,38%	7,55%	9,19%	8,18%	8,04%
	Mean	6,30%	6,36%	6,49%	6,17%	5,76%	6,22%	6,96%	7,39%	7,24%
Austrian Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
ÖVAG	4,24%	3,60%	3,79%	3,95%	3,47%	3,52%	3,28%	4,75%	6,19%	5,64%
Hypo Group	4,68%	3,77%	3,82%	3,85%	4,01%	4,66%	4,61%	6,34%	6,11%	4,91%
Erste Group	3,89%	3,35%	4,84%	3,40%	2,99%	3,33%	3,31%	3,70%	4,43%	5,68%
RZB	4,85%	4,24%	3,83%	4,89%	4,49%	5,34%	4,49%	4,76%	5,62%	5,96%
UniCredit Bank Austria	4,51%	3,92%	3,81%	5,51%	5,14%	4,63%	3,97%	4,09%	4,46%	5,10%
	Mean	3,78%	4,02%	4,32%	4,02%	4,30%	3,93%	4,73%	5,36%	5,46%

Q4/2005 - Q4/2009	Tier 1 Capi	tal excludin	g Minorities	s to Total As						
European Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Royal Bank of Scotland Group	2,43%	3,36%	2,97%	2,84%	2,59%	0,36%	0,95%	2,17%	2,70%	3,91%
Deutsche Bank AG	1,68%	2,14%	2,09%	1,44%	1,33%	1,40%	1,33%	1,36%	1,81%	2,20%
Credit Agricole	1,55%	1,55%	1,54%	1,34%	1,58%	1,57%	1,58%	1,61%	1,49%	1,69%
Barclays PLC	1,61%	1,29%	1,37%	1,55%	1,44%	1,48%	1,26%	1,29%	2,05%	2,79%
UBS	1,47%	1,57%	1,49%	1,43%	1,59%	1,14%	1,42%	1,25%	1,54%	1,80%
	Mean	1,98%	1,89%	1,72%	1,71%	1,19%	1,31%	1,54%	1,92%	2,48%
US Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Bank of America	6,32%	6,25%	5,88%	6,24%	6,19%	4,86%	5,91%	6,55%	7,81%	7,19%
JP Morgan Chase & Co	5,04%	4,99%	4,69%	5,04%	4,95%	4,72%	4,72%	5,46%	5,18%	5,58%
Citigroup Inc	5,33%	5,17%	5,52%	4,77%	3,99%	3,89%	5,01%	6,06%	6,80%	6,74%
Wells Fargo & Co	7,03%	6,77%	6,78%	7,63%	7,10%	6,81%	6,97%	6,35%	7,47%	7,33%
US Bancorp	7,63%	7,13%	7,79%	7,45%	7,27%	7,09%	7,27%	8,93%	7,91%	7,80%
	Mean	6,06%	6,13%	6,22%	5,90%	5,48%	5,98%	6,67%	7,04%	6,93%
Austrian Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
ÖVAG	2,41%	1,98%	1,94%	1,98%	1,57%	1,48%	1,82%	2,88%	4,38%	3,68%
Hypo Group	3,31%	2,44%	2,50%	2,55%	2,44%	3,33%	2,83%	5,16%	4,88%	3,64%
Erste Group	2,37%	1,82%	3,49%	1,79%	1,48%	1,86%	1,85%	2,20%	2,86%	3,98%
RZB	3,15%	2,72%	2,36%	3,25%	2,83%	3,34%	2,61%	3,06%	4,01%	4,22%
UniCredit Bank Austria	4,21%	3,52%	3,42%	5,37%	4,81%	4,31%	3,67%	3,76%	4,21%	4,83%
	Mean	2,49%	2,74%	2,99%	2,63%	2,86%	2,56%	3,41%	4,07%	4,07%

Q4/2005 - Q4/2009	Core Tier 1	Capital to	Total Assets	5						
European Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Royal Bank of Scotland Group	1,17%	1,33%	1,18%	1,16%	1,17%	0,00%	0,00%	1,09%	1,80%	2,83%
Deutsche Bank AG	1,28%	1,78%	1,70%	1,16%	1,10%	1,11%	0,97%	0,92%	1,26%	1,50%
Credit Agricole	1,10%	1,27%	1,29%	1,11%	1,38%	1,38%	1,40%	0,73%	0,54%	0,79%
Barclays PLC	1,26%	0,96%	1,02%	1,20%	1,15%	1,10%	0,89%	1,00%	1,67%	2,33%
UBS	1,03%	1,06%	0,97%	0,95%	1,15%	0,86%	1,06%	0,88%	1,07%	1,26%
	Mean	1,28%	1,23%	1,12%	1,19%	0,89%	0,86%	0,92%	1,27%	1,74%
US Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Bank of America	4,52%	5,18%	5,00%	4,95%	4,90%	3,62%	3,52%	3,48%	4,56%	5,42%
JP Morgan Chase & Co	4,78%	4,99%	4,69%	5,04%	4,95%	4,72%	4,72%	4,00%	4,78%	5,18%
Citigroup Inc	3,44%	4,68%	4,87%	4,20%	3,51%	2,81%	2,58%	1,18%	1,48%	5,63%
Wells Fargo & Co	6,36%	6,70%	6,67%	7,55%	6,98%	6,74%	6,85%	4,00%	5,02%	6,68%
US Bancorp	5,33%	5,67%	5,89%	5,34%	5,19%	4,97%	5,03%	4,43%	5,83%	5,65%
	Mean	5,44%	5,43%	5,42%	5,11%	4,57%	4,54%	3,42%	4,33%	5,71%
Austrian Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
ÖVAG	1,75%	1,16%	1,22%	1,32%	1,00%	0,92%	1,36%	2,17%	3,68%	2,91%
Hypo Group	2,76%	1,50%	1,68%	1,82%	1,90%	2,79%	2,34%	4,89%	4,59%	3,32%
Erste Group	1,76%	1,23%	2,92%	1,11%	0,85%	1,23%	1,26%	1,58%	2,25%	3,40%
RZB	2,50%	1,85%	1,58%	2,54%	2,19%	2,74%	2,10%	2,37%	3,49%	3,66%
UniCredit Bank Austria	4,21%	3,52%	3,42%	5,37%	4,81%	4,31%	3,67%	3,76%	4,21%	4,83%
	Mean	1,85%	2,16%	2,43%	2,15%	2,40%	2,15%	2,95%	3,64%	3,62%

Q4/2005 - Q4/2009	Leverage F	Ratio 3 (Tota	al Capital/To	tal Assets)						
European Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Royal Bank of Scotland Group	5,05%	5,58%	5,44%	5,39%	5,17%	4,11%	4,90%	4,42%	4,72%	5,73%
Deutsche Bank AG	2,32%	3,42%	3,19%	2,17%	1,91%	1,98%	1,86%	1,70%	2,12%	2,53%
Credit Agricole	2,03%	1,99%	2,03%	1,83%	2,16%	2,10%	2,10%	2,02%	2,02%	2,04%
Barclays PLC	3,49%	3,30%	3,42%	3,48%	3,23%	3,47%	3,25%	2,86%	3,80%	4,60%
UBS	2,35%	2,13%	2,08%	2,15%	2,31%	1,96%	2,44%	2,25%	2,74%	3,05%
	Mean	3,28%	3,23%	3,00%	2,96%	2,72%	2,91%	2,65%	3,08%	3,59%
US Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Bank of America	8,83%	8,39%	7,94%	8,58%	8,80%	7,79%	9,03%	9,44%	9,33%	10,17%
JP Morgan Chase & Co	8,40%	8,54%	8,00%	8,53%	8,39%	8,47%	8,17%	8,49%	8,28%	8,71%
Citigroup Inc	7,38%	7,12%	7,58%	6,54%	5,91%	6,13%	7,16%	8,07%	8,95%	8,94%
Wells Fargo & Co	10,06%	9,58%	9,60%	10,66%	9,72%	9,47%	9,51%	9,95%	11,29%	10,81%
US Bancorp	11,33%	11,01%	11,67%	11,17%	11,55%	10,91%	11,16%	12,37%	11,31%	10,83%
	Mean	8,93%	8,96%	9,10%	8,87%	8,56%	9,00%	9,67%	9,83%	9,89%
Austrian Banks		Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
ÖVAG	6,09%	5,13%	5,73%	6,23%	5,70%	5,51%	4,65%	6,47%	7,73%	7,65%
Hypo Group	7,52%	6,07%	6,31%	6,50%	6,64%	7,58%	8,04%	9,64%	9,54%	7,34%
Erste Group	6,11%	5,64%	6,93%	5,56%	5,17%	5,40%	5,49%	6,05%	6,72%	8,02%
RZB	6,94%	5,54%	5,67%	6,58%	6,37%	7,65%	6,58%	7,24%	8,12%	8,67%
UniCredit Bank Austria	6,04%	5,76%	5,41%	6,98%	6,67%	6,29%	5,36%	5,58%	5,79%	6,50%
	Mean	5.63%	6.01%	6.37%	6.11%	6.49%	6.02%	6.99%	7.58%	7.64%

Q4/2005 - Q4/2009	ROE								
European Banks	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
Royal Bank of Scotland Group	15,24%	16,23%	15,89%	16,70%	15,66%	5,66%	-43,44%	-41,81%	-5,28%
Deutsche Bank AG	12,64%	14,46%	19,08%	19,95%	18,54%	9,07%	-11,32%	-6,27%	14,77%
Credit Agricole	13,99%	15,63%	14,96%	16,70%	10,67%	2,73%	2,48%	1,15%	2,58%
Barclays PLC	20,71%	22,96%	24,56%	25,14%	20,50%	16,19%	12,61%	13,16%	22,39%
UBS	35,99%	38,12%	26,16%	29,33%	-12,12%	-54,09%	-61,35%	-32,52%	-7,44%
mean	19,71%	21,48%	20,13%	21,56%	10,65%	-4,09%	-20,20%	-13,26%	5,40%
US Banks	Q4/2005	Q2/2006	Q4/2006	Q2/2007	Q4/2007	Q2/2008	Q4/2008	Q2/2009	Q4/2009
	1								

CC Danke		QL/2000	a.,	QL/L001	Q.112001	QL/2000	a.,	QL/L000	a.,,_0000
Bank of America	16,35%	15,68%	18,08%	16,59%	10,81%	6,02%	1,81%	2,12%	-1,32%
JP Morgan Chase & Co	8,40%	7,98%	10,96%	12,96%	14,65%	12,86%	8,63%	3,82%	2,48%
Citigroup Inc	20,16%	21,52%	22,01%	18,66%	18,06%	3,08%	-13,28%	-31,90%	-19,48%
Wells Fargo & Co	19,51%	19,61%	19,72%	19,77%	20,09%	17,39%	15,52%	4,95%	6,21%
US Bancorp	22,36%	22,66%	23,57%	23,35%	23,86%	21,19%	20,24%	14,60%	7,48%
me	an 17,36%	17,49%	18,87%	18,26%	17,49%	12,11%	6,59%	-1,28%	-0,93%

Abstract

English Version:

This master thesis examines the role of leverage in commercial banks. Under Basel I and II, banks have to fulfil minimum capital requirements for taking certain risk. This became evident under the first pillar of the Basel II framework. For calculating certain capital ratios, the Basel Committee set different types of capital and divided it into Tiers. The minimum capital is specified as a percentage of the risk-weighted assets of a bank. Moreover, this risk-weighted approach got criticized during the recent financial crisis. A lot of banks reported high capital ratios, but went into trouble and some of them into bankruptcy. This could be seen as a regulatory failure. In the end of the last year the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision published consultative documents to strengthen the liquidity of the global banking sector which was widely named 'Basel III'. One of the big topics was the introduction of a leverage ratio which is already applied in some countries. In this paper I discuss different types of leverage ratios and compare such naïve ratios to the risk-weighted ratios. Furthermore I look deeper into the definition of bank's capital. For the analysis, I examine five global banks in the US and in Europe and compare them to each other and to the biggest Austrian banks. Furthermore, empirical research is also done on the relationship between leverage growth and asset growth. Are those leverage ratios a good predictor of bank failure over time and do simpler ratios outperform the complex ratios?

German Version:

Diese Magisterarbeit beschäftigt sich mit dem Thema "Leverage in Banken". Unter den Basler Akkord von 1988 und den überarbeiteten Vorschriften 2006 (Basel II) mussten Banken bisher bestimmte Mindestkapitalanforderungen für die eingegangen Risiken erfüllen. Unter der ersten Säule, der drei Säulen von Basel II, wurden die Bestimmungen zu den Mindestkapitalanforderungen für Banken umgesetzt. Der Basler Ausschuss für Banken teilte für die Berechnungen das Eigenkapital in sogenannte "Tiers", je nach Haftungsqualität. Das Eigenkapital wurde dabei in Relation zu den risikogewichteten Aktiva gestellt. Der risikogewichtete Ansatz wurde jedoch in Folge der aktuellen Finanzkrise von vielen Experten kritisiert. Einige Banken veröffentlichten hohe Kernkapitalquoten, obwohl die kurzfristige Liquidität oft fehlte. Ende des letzten Jahres veröffentlichte der Basler Ausschuss für Banken zwei Konsultationspapiere um den Bankensektor global zu verändern und der aktuellen Entwicklung entgegenzuwirken. Diese Vorschläge, die unter Basel III angeführt wurden, beinhalteten unter anderem eine Einführung eines Leverage Ratios. Die Berechnungen sollen vor allem, entgegengesetzt dem risikogewichteten Ansatz, keine Gewichtungen der Risiken beinhalten, um mögliches Hedging der eingegangenen Risiken zu vermeiden. In der vorliegenden Arbeit werden dabei verschiedene Berechnungsmöglichkeiten eines Leverage Ratios analysiert und mit den bisherigen Kapitalquoten verglichen. Um die Analysen durchzuführen, wurden fünf weltweit führende Großbanken in Amerika und Europa miteinander verglichen und den großen österreichischen Banken entgegengestellt. Die Fragen, die sich dabei stellten sind, ob ein Leverage Ratio tatsächlich ein guter Indikator für die finanzielle Situation der Bank ist und ob ein solches Verhältnis zwischen Eigenkapital zu den Aktiva die tatsächliche Entwicklung einer Bank besser spiegelt, als die bisher verwendeten risikogewichteten Berechnungen?

Curriculum Vitae

Philipp Hackl, BA MA Myrthengasse 6/2 A-1070 Vienna

Date of Birth: 1983-07-30 Place of Birth: Salzburg Nationality: Austrian E-Mail: phackl@gmx.at

Work Experience	
Date (from – to)	September 2010 - current
Company	BAWAG PSK
Field of competence	Credit Risk Management
profession	Trainee
Date (from – to)	July 2009 – August 2010
Company	Ithuba Capital AG
Field of competence	Asset Management, Advisory, Fund Analysis, Risk
	Reporting, Quantitative Equity Research
profession	Analyst
Date (from – to)	June 2008 – October 2008
Company	Financial Market Authority
Field of competence	Actuarial Issues and Models (Project Solvency II)
profession	Internship
Date (from – to)	January 2007 – April 2007
Company	CB Richard Ellis GmbH
Field of competence	Real Estate Investment, Valuation and Research
profession	Internship

Education	
Date (from – to)	2007 – 2010E
Institution	University of Vienna
Field of Specialization	Investment Analysis and Corporate Finance
Qualification	Master in Business Administration (Mag.rer.soc.oec.)
Date (from – to)	2007 – 2009
Institution	University of Applied Sciences Wiener Neustadt
Field of Specialization	Investment Consultancy and Corporate Finance
Qualification	Master of Arts in Business (MA)
Date (from – to)	2004 – 2007
Institution	University of Applied Sciences Wiener Neustadt
Field of Specialization	Real Estate Management, Investment Consultancy
	and Corporate Finance
Qualification	Bachelor of Arts in Business (BA)
Date (from – to)	1999 – 2003
Institution	Commercial Highschool Gmünd (BHAK)
Qualification	Higher School Certificate (Matura)
Languages	
German	Native
English	Fluent
Spanish	Basic
Italian	Basic
Czech	Basic
Other Skills and Qualifications	
	Matlab, R, SPSS
	Bloomberg
	MS Office Package
	Qualified SAP Certified User
	MS Navision